Case 1:25-cv-01933-JEB Document 32 Filed 06/18/25

Page 1 of 2

The Court has received and considered Defendants' Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, or in the Alternative, Motion to Stay; all responses and replies to the Motions, and all other appropriate and related documents. Having considered the parties' arguments and the relevant legal authority, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT**:

- 1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or Transfer is **GRANTED**.
- 2. Under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), venue for this action as pleaded is improper in the Northern District of California because venue does not lie in the Northern District as to each claim and each party.
- 3. This action is hereby **TRANSFERRED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1404(a) to the District of Columbia, as that district is the proper forum. Because that district is the "all-purpose," "universal venue for FOIA lawsuits," *In re Scott*, 709 F.2d 717, 720 (D.C. Cir. 1983); *Sierra Club v. TVA*, 905 F. Supp. 2d 356, 359 (D.D.C. Nov. 29, 2012) (quoting Dep't of Justice, Guide to the Freedom of Information Act § 3-17.100B (2012)), that district can consider the FOIA claims of all Plaintiffs in this action.
- 4. The Court need not consider the merits of Plaintiffs' allegations, and instead **TRANSFERS** the case to the District of Columbia for further proceedings there.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 18, 2025

United States District Judge