1				
2	Milton W. Hathaway, Jr.			
3	AZ State Bar No. 005442 milthathaway@qwest.net			
4	MURPHY, LUTEY, SCHMITT & FUCHS, P.L.L.C.  ATTORNEYS AT LAW  ELKS BUILDING, 117 E. GURLEY STREET			
5	POST OFFICE BOX 591 PRESCOTT, ARIZONA 86302-0591 (928) 445-6860			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Interveners			
7	Tubandt, Henry, Brazle and Grubbs			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
10				
11	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission,	No. CV05-618 PCT-DGC		
12	Plaintiffs,			
13	vs.	AMENDED COMPLAINT OF		
GLC Restaurants, Inc. d/b/a McDonald's HENRY, BRAZLE AND G Restaurant, an Arizona corporation,		PLAINTIFFS/INTERVENERS TUBANDT, HENRY, BRAZLE AND GRUBBS		
15				
16	Defendant.			
17	Jessica J. Tubandt, Amanda Henry, Tiara M. Brazle, and Tamara A. Grubbs,			
18	Plaintiffs/Interveners			
19	VS.			
20	GLC Restaurants, Inc. d/b/a McDonald's			
21	Restaurant, an Arizona corporation; Steven Alan Ehresman and Shari Louise			
22	Ehresman, husband and wife; Cindy Keppel and John Doe Keppel, wife and			
23	husband,			
24	Defendants.			
25				
26				

Plaintiffs Jessica J. Tubandt, Amanda Henry, Tiara M. Brazle and Tamara A. Grubbs, for their causes of action against Defendant, allege as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Jessica J. Tubandt is a single woman and is a resident of Yavapai County, Arizona.
  - 2. Amanda Henry is a single woman and is a resident of Yavapai County, Arizona.
  - 3. Tiara M. Brazle is a single woman and is a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 4. Tamara A. Grubbs is a single woman and is a resident of Yavapai County, Arizona.
- 5. Defendant GLC Restaurants, Inc., d/b/a McDonald's Restaurant (hereinafter "McDonald's"), is an Arizona corporation authorized to and doing business in the state of Arizona.
- 6. Defendants Steven A. Ehresman and Shari Louise Ehresman are husband and wife and are residents of Yavapai County, Arizona.
- 7. All acts and/or obligations of Defendant, Steven A. Ehresman, hereinafter set forth, were committed and/or incurred on behalf of the marital community of Steven A. Ehresman and Shari Louise Ehresman, which is, therefore, responsible for same.
- 8. Defendants Cindy Keppel and John Doe Keppel are wife and husband and are residents of Yavapai County, Arizona.
- 9. All acts and/or obligations of Defendant Cindy Keppel hereinafter set forth, were committed and/or incurred on behalf of the marital community of Cindy Keppel and John Doe Keppel, which is, therefore, responsible for same.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' Title VII claims against Defendant McDonald's pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345 and 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e et sea.

- 11. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs state common law claims against Defendants McDonald's, Ehresman and Keppel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 12. Defendants McDonald's, Ehresman and Keppel and/or their agents, servants or employees all acted in Yavapai County, Arizona, or caused events to occur in Yavapai County, Arizona out of which Plaintiffs' claims arise and, therefore, venue is proper in this Court.

# STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 13. Defendant McDonald's owns and operates several McDonald's Restaurants in northern Arizona, including the McDonald's Restaurant located in Cordes Junction, Arizona, and at all times material hereto has had at least 15 employees.
- 14. Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt is currently 19 years old and began working at Defendant McDonald's Cordes Junction Restaurant, her first job, on or about May 2002.
- 15. Plaintiff Amanda Henry is currently 19 years old and began working at Defendant McDonald's Cordes Junction Restaurant, her first job, on or about July 2002.
- 16. Plaintiff Tiara M. Brazle is currently 18 years old and began working at Defendant McDonald's Cordes Junction Restaurant, her first job, on or about August 2002.
- 17. Plaintiff Tamara Grubbs is currently 18 years old and began working at Defendant McDonald's Cordes Junction Restaurant, her first job, on or about September 2000.
- 18. Defendant Steven A. Ehresman, at all material times hereto, was employed as an Assistant Manager in a supervisory capacity by Defendant McDonald's.
- 19. In his capacity as Assistant Manager, Defendant Steven A. Ehresman, had supervisory responsibility over Plaintiffs and other subordinate employees which responsibility included various human resource functions, such as hiring, training, scheduling, discipline, job assignment, and firing of these employees.
- 20. In his capacity as Assistant Manager and supervisor of subordinates, Defendant Steven A. Ehresman worked in close proximity with his subordinate employees, including Plaintiffs herein.

- 21. All actions of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman alleged herein, were in furtherance of, on behalf of the interest of and within the scope of his employment with his employer Defendant McDonald's.
- 22. Defendant Cindy Keppel at all material times hereto was employed as a Manager by Defendant McDonald's.
- 23. In her capacity as Manager, Defendant Cindy Keppel had supervisory responsibility over Plaintiffs, Defendant Steven A. Ehresman and others. In her capacity as manager, Defendant Cindy Keppel was responsible for the assignment of job functions for Defendant Steven A. Ehresman.
- 24. Beginning in the latter part of 2001, and continuing through September, 2002, Defendant Steven A. Ehresman, in his capacity as Assistant Manager for Defendant McDonald's, engaged in constant illegal, inappropriate, and/or offensive conduct toward Plaintiffs, and others, which included, but was not limited to, the following acts:
- (a) placing his hand and arm over Plaintiff Tiara M. Brazle's right shoulder and groping her right breast;
- (b) standing close to Plaintiff Tiara M. Brazle so that his leg and/or body rubbed against her leg and/or body;
- (c) grabbing and rubbing Plaintiff Tiara M. Brazle's hands while she made change for the cash register;
  - (d) massaging and rubbing the shoulders of Plaintiff Amanda Henry;
  - (e) slapping the thighs of Plaintiff Amanda Henry;
  - (f) rubbing the belly of Plaintiff Amanda Henry;
  - (g) placing his hands in the pants pocket of Plaintiff Tamara A. Grubbs;
  - (h) grabbing the hands of Plaintiff Tamara A. Grubbs;
  - (i) grabbing the hands of Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt;

- (i) touching Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt around her waist;
- (k) rubbing the stomach of Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt;
- (I) rubbing the sides of Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt; and
- (m) massaging the shoulders of Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt.
- 25. From late 2001 to September 2002, Defendant Steven A. Ehresman, in his capacity as an assistant manager of Defendant McDonald's, engaged in illegal, inappropriate and/or offensive verbal communications directed at Plaintiffs which included, but was not limited to:
- (a) a statement to Plaintiff Tamara A. Grubbs when she pulled out her pants pocket, that Mr. Ehresman wanted to "lick between the bunny ears";
  - (b) a statement to Plaintiff Tamara A. Grubbs that she had "beautiful hands";
- (c) repeated questions to Plaintiff Amanda Henry asking whether she had a boyfriend;
- (d) comments by Steven A. Ehresman to Plaintiff Tiara M. Brazle that she had "pretty hair";
- (e) statements to Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt that Steven A. Ehresman wanted to "bend her over and spank her";
  - (f) a statement to Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt that Steven A. Ehresman "liked her";
- (g) a statement to Plaintiff Jessica J. Tubandt that Steven A. Ehresman wanted to "lay her down and spread her legs open".
- 26. In late 2001 to September 2002, Steven A. Ehresman, in his capacity as an assistant manager of Defendant McDonald's, engaged in illegal, inappropriate and offensive physical and verbal conduct involving those other than Plaintiffs, some in Plaintiffs' presence, which included, but was not limited to:
  - (a) regular incidents of hugging of co-employees;

- (b) regular incidents of kissing of co-employees;
- (c) whispering in the ears of female co-employees;
- (d) constant "dirty" talking and/or telling dirty jokes loud enough for other employees, including Plaintiffs, and even customers to hear;
- (e) placing his hands in the pockets of the shirts and pants of other female coemployees;
  - (f) numerous references to oral sex;
  - (g) rubbing/holding hands of other female co-employees;
- (h) a statement made to a female co-employee, in the presence of a customer, that he wanted the employee to jump up on the counter so he could have her for lunch.
- 27. Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' parents reported to Plaintiffs' supervisors, including Defendant Cindy Keppel, illegal, inappropriate and/or offensive conduct of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman directed toward Plaintiffs and others. Defendants McDonald's and Cindy Keppel did nothing in response and assisted and allowed the actions to continue.
- 28. At least one customer complained of the inappropriate verbal conduct of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman. This complaint was referred to an assistant manager of Defendant McDonald's, and this customer's complaint was referred by this assistant manager to supervisors including Defendant Cindy Keppel. Defendants McDonald's and Cindy Keppel did nothing in response and assisted and allowed the actions to continue.
- 29. Based upon the nature and frequency of the illegal, inappropriate and/or offensive conduct of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman, and/or based upon reports to Defendants McDonald's and Cindy Keppel, Defendants McDonald's and Cindy Keppel were actually aware of and/or should have been aware of the illegal, inappropriate and/or offensive conduct of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman.

- 30. Prior to working at the restaurant at Cordes Junction, Arizona, owned by Defendant McDonald's, Defendant Steven A. Ehresman was employed by Defendant McDonald's in at least one other restaurant owned by Defendant McDonald's.
- 31. Prior to his employment at the restaurant in Cordes Junction, Arizona, owned by Defendant McDonald's, Defendant Steven A. Ehresman, while employed by Defendant McDonald's at another of its restaurants, engaged in similar illegal, inappropriate and offensive conduct toward his subordinates.
- 32. Defendant McDonald's was informed and aware of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman's propensity to commit illegal, inappropriate and offensive conduct toward his subordinates, even before he began working at the restaurant in Cordes Junction, Arizona, owned by Defendant McDonald's.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cindy Keppel was informed and aware of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman's propensity to commit illegal, inappropriate and offensive conduct toward his subordinates, even before he began working at the restaurant in Cordes Junction, Arizona, owned by Defendant McDonald's.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants and each of them, as more fully alleged below, Plaintiffs have suffered injuries to their persons, causing physical and mental pain and suffering, mental anguish, diminished quality of life, and a loss of past and future earnings and income.
- 35. The actions of Defendants alleged herein were consciously pursued by Defendants to serve their own interests knowing that it created a substantial risk of harm to Plaintiffs and/or those actions were motivated by spite and/or ill will and support an award of punitive damages against all Defendants.

## **COUNT 1**

36. Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 37. Plaintiffs timely filed charges with the United States Equal Employment Opportunities Commission ("EEOC") on or about March 17, 2003.
- 38. The actions of Steven A. Ehresman alleged herein were severe, pervasive, created an abusive and hostile work environment, and constituted sexual harassment in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e *et seq.* for which Defendant McDonald's is responsible.
- 39. Defendant McDonald's actually knew and/or should have known of the illegal, inappropriate and/or offensive conduct of Steven A. Ehresman failed to warn Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated, failed to take any steps to prevent that conduct and, in fact, knowingly allowed that conduct to continue in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e *et seq*.
- 40. In failing to warn Plaintiffs and failing to take appropriate steps to prevent the conduct of Steven A. Ehresman, and in knowingly allowing that conduct to continue, Defendant McDonald's was negligent, and grossly so, and this negligence is a violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, et seq.
- 41. Defendant McDonald's failed to investigate reports of Steven A. Ehresman 's illegal, inappropriate and offensive conduct toward Plaintiffs, failed to disseminate and enforce an appropriate anti-harassment policy and complaint procedure and, in fact, ignored the complaints of Plaintiffs and others, and failed to take appropriate action against Steven A. Ehresman after receiving reports of his illegal, inappropriate and offensive conduct toward Plaintiffs and this is a violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, et seq.
- 42. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were and are intentional and done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Jessica Tubandt, Amanda Henry, Tiara Brazle and Tamara Grubbs and support an award of punitive damages.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendant, Plaintiffs have suffered injuries to their persons, causing physical and mental pain and suffering, mental anguish, diminished quality of life, and a loss of past and future earnings and income.

Because of the wrongful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff Tiara Brazle was forced to resign her employment and was constructively discharged.

3

## **COUNT 2**

4 5

44. herein.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

18

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth

45. Defendant McDonald's knew or reasonable should have known of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman's dangerous and exploitive propensities and/or that Defendant Steven A. Ehresman was an unfit agent and, despite such knowledge, Defendant McDonald's negligently employed, retained, failed to properly supervise and/or failed to monitor Defendant Steven A. Ehresman and failed to provide adequate warning to Plaintiffs or their families and, therefore, allowed Defendant Steven A. Ehresman to commit the wrongful acts against Plaintiffs and others alleged above.

# **COUNT 3**

- 46. Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- The action and inaction of Defendant McDonald's and Defendant McDonald's 47. agents, knowing of the dangerous propensities and unfitness of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman, was both extreme and outrageous.
- 48. Defendant McDonald's acted in reckless disregard of the near certainty that physical injury and emotional distress would result to Plaintiffs and others by ignoring the clear risk of harm to Plaintiffs and others, ignoring the complaints of Plaintiffs and others and thereby allowed Defendant Steven A. Ehresman to continue his illegal, inappropriate and offensive conduct toward Plaintiffs and others thereby intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon Plaintiffs.

1		COUNT 4	
2	49.	Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth	
3	herein.		
4	50.	In engaging in the acts earlier described and others, Defendant Steven A.	
5	Ehresman c	ommitted the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress as against all	
6	Plaintiffs.		
7		COUNT 5	
8	51.	Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth	
9	herein.		
10	52.	McDonald's is vicariously liable for the acts of Defendant Steven A. Ehresman	
11	in committing	g the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress as against all Plaintiffs.	
12		COUNT 6	
13	53.	Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth	
14	herein.		
15	54.	In engaging in the acts earlier described and others, Defendant Steven A.	
16	Ehresman committed the tort of assault as against all Plaintiffs.		
17		COUNT 7	
18	55.	Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth	
19	herein.		
20	56.	Defendant McDonald's is vicariously liable for the acts of Defendant Steven A.	
21	Ehresman in	committing the tort of assault as against all Plaintiffs.	
22		COUNT 8	
23	57.	Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth	
24	herein.		
25	58.	In engaging in the acts earlier described and others, Defendant Steven A.	
26	Ehresman co	ommitted the tort of battery as against all Plaintiffs.	
l l			

1 COUNT 9 2 59. Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth 3 herein. 4 60. Defendant McDonald's is vicariously liable for the acts of Defendant Steven A. 5 Ehresman in committing the tort of battery as against all Plaintiffs. 6 COUNT 10 7 61. Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth 8 herein. 9 62. In engaging in the acts earlier described and others. Defendant Steven A. 10 Ehresman tortiously interfered with Plaintiffs' contractual employment rights as against all 11 Plaintiffs. 12 **COUNT 11** 13 63. Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth 14 herein. 15 64. In engaging in the acts earlier described and others, Defendant Cindy Keppel 16 is liable for tortiously interfering with Plaintiffs' contractual employment rights as against all 17 Plaintiffs. 18 COUNT 12 19 65. Plaintiffs incorporate all paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth 20 herein. 21 66. In engaging in the acts earlier described and others, Defendant Cindy Keppel 22 tortiously aided and abetted and/or acted in concert with Defendant Steven A. Ehresman with 23 respect to his wrongful conduct and is responsible therefor as against all Plaintiffs. 24 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request judgment against Defendant McDonald's as follows: 25 Α. For their statutorily allowed damages at the maximum limit allowed by 42 U.S.C. 26 §§ 2000e, et seq.;

1	В.	For a fair and reasonable amount as determined by the trier of fact to	
2	compensate Plaintiffs for their respective general and special damages;		
3	C.	For punitive damages against Defendant, to prevent similar future conduct and	
4	to make an example of Defendant;		
5	D.	For the reasonable costs incurred herein;	
6	E. For their attorneys' fees incurred herein; and		
7	F.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
8	JURY TRIAL DEMAND		
9	Plaintiffs request a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by their Complaint.		
10		MURPHY, LUTEY SCHMITT & FUCHS, P.L.L.C.	
11			
12		By s/ Milton W. Hathaway, Jr.	
13		Milton W. Hathaway, Jr. P.O. Box 591	
14		Prescott, Arizona 86302 Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Interveners	
15		Tubandt, Henry, Brazle and Grubbs	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			