UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF NEWARK, et al.,

Defendant.

HON. MADELINE C. ARLEO, U.S.D.J.

Civil Action No. 16-cv-1731

ORDER EXTENDING MONITORING PERIOD

THIS MATTER HAVING BEEN BROUGHT BEFORE THE COURT

pursuant to a request made by the United States and the City of Newark (the "City") (collectively, the "Parties"), asking the Court to extend the monitoring period outlined by Paragraph 206 of the Consent Decree until September 30, 2025; and the Parties having shown good cause as to why extending the monitoring period is reasonably necessary in order to assess and facilitate full and effective compliance with the Consent Decree:

IT IS ON THIS day of March 2025,

ORDERED that the application of the Parties is granted; and it is further **ORDERED** that

- (1) The monitoring period for the Consent Decree is extended until September 30, 2025. During this period, the Parties agree that Independent Monitor Peter C. Harvey and members from his monitoring team (together, the "Independent Monitoring Team" or "IMT") shall continue to monitor the implementation efforts of the City and the Newark Police Division ("NPD") as set forth in this Order.
 - (2) The Independent Monitoring Team shall conduct and complete a limited review

of NPD's Internal Affairs Division. The Internal Affairs Division assessment will consist of a review of twenty-six (26) Internal Affairs files selected by the United States. NPD will produce 26 Internal Affairs files to the Independent Monitoring Team on a rolling basis beginning in March 2025. The last file will be produced no later than May 1, 2025. The Independent Monitoring Team will address the specific questions and employ the methodology set forth in Exhibit A to this Order to conduct the Internal Affairs assessment.

- (3) Commencing on Monday, March 10, 2025, NPD will begin providing updated supervisory training to NPD's supervisors. The training will focus on Consent Decree Paragraphs 27(a), 38, 44, and 79.
- (4) The Independent Monitoring Team shall conduct and complete a limited review of NPD's supervisory practices with respect to Consent Decree Paragraphs 27(a), 38, 44, and 79. The assessment will involve reviews of stop, search, arrest, and use-of-force incidents occurring throughout the month of April 2025 that will be selected for review by the United States and broken down as follows:

a. Consent Decree Paragraph 27(a) no more than 20 incidents;

b. Consent Decree Paragraph 38 no more than 20 incidents;

c. Consent Decree Paragraph 44 no more than 15-20 incidents; and

d. Consent Decree Paragraph 79 no more than 15-20 incidents.

The incidents concerning Consent Decree Paragraphs 27(a), 38, 44, and 79 shall include video review and all reviewed incidents concerning these paragraphs will include incidents where the responding officers were equipped with Axon Body Worn Cameras. NPD will produce the April 2025 incidents to be reviewed as part of the targeted Supervision assessment to the

Independent Monitoring Team on a rolling basis with the last incident produced no later than May 31, 2025. The Independent Monitoring Team will address the specific questions and employ the methodology set forth in Exhibit B to this Order to conduct the streamlined Supervision assessment.

- (5) On or before August 15, 2025, the Independent Monitoring Team will present its findings made in connection with the streamlined Internal Affairs and Supervision assessments to the Parties.
- (6) On or before August 31, 2025, the Monitor will file a closeout report addressing the full scope of all Consent Decree activities and improvements made by NPD from the inception of the Consent Decree in May of 2016 through August 2025. The closeout report will also include the Independent Monitoring Team's findings made in connection with the most recent 2025 streamlined Internal Affairs and Supervision assessments.
- (7) The Parties and the Monitor have agreed to a firm cap of \$175,000.00 of additional funding from the City to complete all remaining work under this extension agreement including but not limited to:
 - a. Conducting the streamlined Internal Affairs assessment addressed in Paragraph 2 of this Order.
 - b. Conducting the streamlined Supervision assessment addressed in Paragraph 4 of this Order.
 - c. Preparing and filing the closeout report by August 31, 2025.
 - d. Attending any and all Court conferences and Court-sponsored community forums concerning the Consent Decree process and the

Monitor's closeout report.

- (8) The total cap for all extension period activities set forth in Paragraph 7 above will be \$240,000.00 (\$175,000.00 of new funds from the City and \$65,0000.00 of remaining funds from the \$2.4 million expended by the City in connection with the previous, May 2022 extension order). The City will not be required to make any additional payments.
 - (9) Payment of the \$175,000.00 of new funds from the City shall be made as follows:
 - a. \$75,000.00 on or before March 31, 2025;
 - b. \$50,000.00 on or before July 15, 2025; and
 - c. \$50,000.00 within ten (10) days after filing of the Monitor's closeout report.
- (10) On or before July 15, 2025, notice shall be given to the public concerning the impending filing of the Monitor's closeout report on August 15, 2025, and the potential termination of the Consent Decree.
- (11) At a date to be set in September 2025, the Court will hold a public forum to give community members the opportunity to discuss NPD's implementation efforts, the Consent Decree process, and the potential termination of the Consent Decree.
- (12) Pursuant to Paragraph 224 of the Consent Decree, at the expiration of the Monitoring Extension Period, on September 30, 2025, the City shall have the right to file an application with the Court to terminate the Consent Decree Agreement.

HONORABLE MADELINE COX ARLEO United States District Court

EXHIBITA

Case 2:16-cv-01731-MCA-MAH		Filed 03/31/25	Page 6 of 9 PageID:
	6470		

l allegations of Serious Misconduct as defined in this Agreement.	Policies, misconduct investigations and data	IMT review of investigative ass report. Audit question: Were
I complaints based on the preponderance of the evidence. This standard will be clearly delineated in es and be accompanied by extensive examples to ensure proper application by investigators.	Policies, misconduct investigations and data	NPD to send relevant policies clearly explained. IMT to chec standard during audit. Audit q reasons for their finding(s)? 2 the preponderance of the evid
NPD will collect and consider all relevant circumstantial, direct, and physical evidence, including officer- ecomes available through NPD's implementation of in-car and body-worn cameras pursuant to this	Misconduct investigations	IMT to check NPD collecting a Audit questions include: 1) "D circumstantial, direct and phy BWC or ICC associated with the reviewing supervisor document
e that investigators consider patterns in officer behavior based upon disciplinary history and other Early Warning System.	-	NPD to send relevant policies complaint and disciplinary his
e efforts to resolve material inconsistencies in officers' and witnesses' statements and will not discount solely due to minor inconsistencies.	Policies, misconduct investigations Misconduct investigations	and account for any relevant r IMT to check officers are not a minor inconsistencies during inconsitency between officer; witness statements are incon: witness statement due to min
y the same criteria in assessing the credibility of all witnesses' testimony, whether they are civilians, spects. Investigators will not give greater weight or credibility to officers' statements merely because they vestigators discredit, disregard, or give less weight to a statement merely because of the relationship nt or subject of police action and the person who made it. An individual's criminal history will not be solely this or her credibility. e limited to the allegations that prompted it. NPD will evaluate all relevant police activity and evidence of	Misconduct investigations	IMT to assess during audit. Ch an officer's statement more co yes, please explain) 2) if comp appear to give that criminal hi unknown
duct uncovered during the course of the investigation, including each use of force (i.e., not just the type of ut) and any stops, searches, or seizures that occurred during the incident.		Audit question: Is there evider investigation including all rele

Case 2:16-cv-01731-MCA-MAH Document 412 Filed 6471 e a finding that an allegation of misconduct is unfounded or not sustained, or otherwise exonerate an se the complaint is withdrawn or the complainant is unavailable, unwilling, or unable to cooperate with an es to provide medical records or proof of injury; rather, the investigation will continue as necessary to egation(s) where possible based on the evidence and investigatory procedures and techniques available. Is guilty or is found guilty of an offense, NPD will not consider the fact of conviction to be evidence whether	03/31/25 Page 7 of 9 PageID: Misconduct investigations Misconduct investigations	Y/N/Unknown) 4) did investigate should revise its policies, strate incident forwarded to the policies Audit questions: 1) was the counwilling or unable to cooperate records? Y/N/Unkown, 1a) If y unfounded, not sustained, or y/n/unknown/NA
ed in misconduct, nor will it justify discontinuing the investigation.		Audit questions: 1) was comp
	Misconduct investigations	to give that weight in determin
int investigation may not be conducted by any supervisor or officer who authorized, engaged in conduct	Ç	ŭ ŭ
se participated in the incident complained of; who was on the scene at the time of the incident; or who has s defined by NPD policy.		Audit questions: 1) did the mix officer who authorized, engag the incident complained of; w
	Misconduct investigations	who has a conflict of interest a
reliminary inquiry into an allegation of misconduct, or at any other time during the course of an	Ç	Audit question: 1) Is there evic
gation, the OPS has cause to believe that an officer or employee might have engaged in criminal conduct,		officer/employee might have e
matter to the ECPO, DOJ, or other law enforcement agency as appropriate.		the matter to ECPO, DOJ or ot
	Misconduct investigations and records	Y/No/unknown (please explair
eferral and unless otherwise directed by the prosecutive agency, NPD will proceed with its administrative		
no circumstances will OPS compel a statement from the subject officer without first consulting with the		IMT to flag if they see evidence
with the prosecuting agency.		without first consulting with cl
		Audit questions: "1) Is there a
		there is a related criminal inve
		statement from a subject offic
	Misconduct investigations and records	and with the prosecuting ager
ically end its administrative investigation in matters in which the prosecuting agency declines to prosecute	:	IMT to flag if NPD automatical
ation of criminal charges. Instead, NPD will require investigators to conduct a complete investigation and		which the prosecuting agency
vant evidence.		of criminal charges. Audit que
		into the same matter? 1a) If so
		investigation because the pro:
	Misconduct investigations and records	the matter?"

EXHIBIT B

onal circumstances, a supervisor will immediately for obstructing or resisting an officer, any disorderly or a vehicle infraction or as required by the use of force ie event that a supervisor is unable to respond to the case file the circumstances preventing his or her involve obstructing or resting arrest, any disorderly le infraction; (b) Did the supervisor respond to the ond to the scene, did the supervisor document the er presence?

Arrest Report (DPI 800); Incident Report (DPI 802); Incident Details Report (CAD Summary); RMS reports.

Discuss methodology with IMT and NPD and determine the sampling of Paragraph 38 arrests to create a sample Supervisory Logs; Supervisory update for the mini-audit (e.g., 20-30 incidents)

Given that it's Roll Call Train distribute content slides fo also suggest that NPD cond create learning objectives, design and pre- and post-tra

tigatory stops and detentions, searches, and arrests for PD policy. NPD's supervisors will:

l incidents in which the supervisor suspects, for any have complied with law or NPD policy; and selection of video recordings of stops and detentions, 10 percent of all stops and detentions, searches, and sor review all written documents; (b) Did the rideo recordings; (c) Did the supervisor maintain a of stops and detentions, searches, and arrests stops and detentions, searches, and arrests?

Stop Report (DPI 1388); Arrest Report (DPI 800); Incident Report (DPI 802); BWC/ICC audit logs; Supervisory Logs.

Discuss methodology with IMT and NPD and determine the sampling of stop, search, and arrest incidents to create a sample for the mini-audit (e.g., 20-30 incidents)

will include at least the following requirements: te form and narrative each time the officer uses force. officer will complete a supplemental narrative. iolstering or pointing a weapon or unresisted ne supervisor of an officer using force will respond to

ch the use of force should be categorized. A supervisor vould usually be required for the level of the force used, le incident.

es of force or multiple officers, the entire incident will t level of force used by any officer during the incident. is otherwise personally involved in any type of force, a

Incident Report (DPI 802); Supervisor use of force report (DPI 1005); AFIT use of force summary.

Discuss methodology with IMT and NPD and determine the sampling of use of force incidents to create a sample for the mini-audit (e.g., 20-30 incidents)