

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RELATED CASE ORDER

A Motion for Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related or a *Sua Sponte* Judicial Referral for Purpose of Determining Relationship (Civil L.R. 3-12) has been filed. The time for filing an opposition or statement of support has passed. As the judge assigned to case [25-cv-03140-JSW, Doe v. Trump, et al.](#), I find that the more recently filed case(s) that I have initialed below are related to the case assigned to me, and such case(s) shall be reassigned to me.

Case	Title	Related	Not Related
25-cv-3475	Qui v. Lyons	JSW	

ORDER

The parties are instructed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear the initials of the newly assigned judge immediately after the case number. Any case management conference in any reassigned case will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the dates for the conference, disclosures and report required by FRCivP 16 and 26 accordingly. Unless otherwise ordered; any deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules remain in effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order continue to govern, except dates for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly assigned judge. At this stage, the Court is relating the cases. The issue of consolidation is not before the Court. **The Court maintains the briefing schedule set by Judge Orrick for Defendant's opposition but notes would urge Defendant to file earlier if possible. The parties shall appear for a hearing on the TRO at 9:00 a.m. on April 25, 2025. Plaintiff may file a reply by 8:00 a.m. on April 25, 2025 or may reply during oral argument.**

Dated: April 23, 2025

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Jeffrey S. White

United States District Judge