

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

JANE DOE, JOHN DOE 1, JOHN DOE 2,	)	
and JOHN DOE 3, individually and	)	
on behalf of all other	)	
students similarly situated,	)	
	)	Case. No. 1:24-cv-04125-JRB-JC
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Honorable John Robert Blakey
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY,	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

FIRST AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, for their consolidated class action complaint against Northwestern University (“Northwestern”), state as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. In exchange for receiving federal funds, Northwestern is required to comply with Title VI, which requires Northwestern to refrain from discriminating against or tolerating harassment of members of protected classes. This includes a duty to protect Jewish students from antisemitic harassment which interferes with or limits their ability to participate in or benefit from the services, activities, or opportunities offered by the school. And, certainly, Northwestern may not encourage antisemitism while also receiving federal dollars.

2. For certain protected classes, Northwestern takes this obligation very seriously. For instance, when, in Spring 2019, students reported seeing on campus three stickers and a handwritten note with the message “It’s okay to be white,” Northwestern

immediately sprang into action, recognizing this as a white supremacist dog-whistle. It indicated it took “such incidents seriously, and will investigate thoroughly.” It took care to note that while “to some, the expression may seem harmless and legitimate on the surface,” “for that very reason, it has been adopted in recent years by white-supremacists and neo-Nazi groups as a means to divide communities and harass many of their members.” It filed police reports, worked closely with local authorities, and implored “whoever is doing this to stop.” Northwestern steadfastly claimed it would “not tolerate such acts of hate occurring at Northwestern and causing any members of our community to feel unsafe or singled out for discrimination.”¹ In the wake of Northwestern’s staunch opposition to these messages, the harassing notes ceased.

3. Northwestern was similarly vehement in opposing anti-black racism and police brutality in the aftermath of George Floyd’s death. It announced to its community, clearly and unequivocally, “we vehemently oppose anti-blackness and police brutality. It should not be a controversial or a political statement to declare that Black Lives Matter. We promise to work, as individuals and as an institution...to identify and address all forms of implicit and explicit racism and bias on our campuses.”²

4. In outlining its various commitments to eradicate racism and discrimination, Northwestern promised its community that: “**Words are nothing without actions**, and we pledge to act decisively to uphold the standards of this

¹ <https://news.northwestern.edu/stories/2019/04/university-condemns-acts-of-hate/#:~:text=The%20Northwestern%20Police%20Department%20can,Center%20on%20the%20Evanston%20Campus> (last accessed May 1, 2026).

² <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/2020/commitments-toward-social-justice.html> (last accessed May 1, 2026).

university and to ensure that each of its members is treated with the dignity and respect that we all deserve.” *Id.*

5. Northwestern also claims to pursue an inclusive learning environment. Its Principles of Inclusive Teaching, issued by the Office of the Provost in August 2021, warn faculty that they “should avoid implicitly centralizing one or two token perspectives,” and should avoid “microaggressions” which “negatively affect students,” and “reveals the biases and prejudices of the transgressor and severely affects the experience of the student who experiences it.”³

6. But for all this apparent energy to stamp out racism against certain minority groups, Northwestern’s zeal fades when its Jewish students are singled out for blatant discrimination and harassment. Instead, Northwestern has tolerated, normalized, and institutionalized antisemitism in campus life.

7. A poll conducted in April 2025 by *The Daily Northwestern*, the University newspaper, found that over 60% of Jewish students and 30% of the student-body at large viewed antisemitism as a “very serious problem” or “somewhat serious problem.”⁴ The students’ concern is not surprising given nearly three out of five Jewish students stated that they or someone they know have experienced antisemitic behavior on campus (58%), along with 19.5% of the general student body.

8. When testifying before the U.S. House Committee on Education and Workforce on August 5, 2025, former-President Schill stated that he agreed with the 58%

³ <https://searle.northwestern.edu/docs/inclusive-teaching.pdf> (last accessed May 1, 2026).

⁴ <https://apps.dailynorthwestern.com/s25poll/> (last accessed April 7, 2026).

of Jewish students as “you have to have your head in the sand if you [disagree],” and that he “wonder[ed] about what the other 42% think” because “it should be 100%.”

9. The antisemitism Jewish students face on campus comes in the form of tolerating the day-to-day harassment of Jewish students – this occurred most prominently (but not exclusively), in the “encampment on Deering Meadow” which former-President Schill admitted was a “major antisemitic event on campus,” and also comes in the form of Northwestern’s overall intentionally and institutionally lax approach to its own policies when it comes to Jewish students – which is, in and of itself, discriminatory.

10. In the face of repeated and daily antisemitism on campus, Northwestern’s administration buried their heads. As part of a congressional investigation into “[t]he Rise of Radical Antisemitism on College Campuses,” it was revealed that Northwestern received at least 121 reports of antisemitic discrimination and harassment between October 7, 2023 and August 5, 2025 (at the latest); nearly half the number of reported incidents of all forms of discrimination and harassment Northwestern received in the 2022-2023 academic year. Yet, not a single student has been disciplined, suspended, or expelled.

11. This failure to respond to the scores of antisemitic complaints reported to Northwestern was a *fait accompli* given Northwestern’s Office of Civil Rights and Title IX (“OCR”), the office responsible for investigating such complaints, is staffed with employees who subscribe to hateful tropes about Jews. *See* ¶80, *infra*.

12. Notably, the antisemitism on campus, including the 121 formal complaints of antisemitic harassment and discrimination, did not go unnoticed by Northwestern. In his congressional testimony, former-President Schill declared that “we were attentive to

this.” Accordingly, Northwestern’s permissive approach to antisemitism on campus, which resulted in no student suspensions, expulsions, or other discipline was no accident, but a deliberate decision based upon indifference to the hostile environment faced by Plaintiffs and other Jewish students on campus. Northwestern has essentially taken the position that it is better off appeasing those who engage in discrimination against Jewish students to obtain a temporary pause in the most egregious acts of hate, rather than to **discipline** those who engage in this behavior in order to send a message that it will not be tolerated now or in the future.

13. The university’s institutionally soft approach to one particular kind of hatred is animated by the public conduct of many Northwestern employees, including many at Northwestern’s satellite campus in Qatar, where Northwestern partners with the Qatari government – the same entity that supports Hamas and pumps antisemitic propaganda worldwide as a matter of state policy. Northwestern’s faculty and staff in Evanston and Qatar openly promote violence against Jews and peddle antisemitic rhetoric on campus; this fosters a hostile and discriminatory environment on Northwestern’s Evanston campus for its Jewish students.

14. Northwestern’s discriminatory approach to addressing antisemitism is also on display in its historically permissive attitude to student organizations such as Students for Justice in Palestine (“SJP”), who flout university rules and promote Hamas ideology and calls for violence at the expense of Jewish students without any consequence. Unsurprisingly, these same student groups took advantage of Northwestern’s lawless approach to Jew-hatred on campus following Hamas’ October 7th terror attack in Israel.

15. What has unfolded at Northwestern is a toxic blend of apathy towards campus harassment of Jewish students, and an institutionally discriminatory approach

to enforcing Northwestern's written policies when hatred of Jews is at issue. Northwestern's institutional tolerance for the promotion of hatred, violence, and discrimination against its Jewish students is incompatible with its receipt of taxpayer dollars via federal funding, and flatly violates Title VI.

16. Northwestern's discriminatory non-enforcement of its policies also constitutes a breach of its contract to Plaintiffs. In exchange for paying tuition, Plaintiffs expected Northwestern to fulfill a modest core promise it made to them and all other similarly situated, tuition-paying students: the conduct of your student peers and faculty will be governed by rules, and – once you enroll – you will be free to safely move about and avail yourself of our campus in accordance with those rules.

17. Northwestern's response to the "encampment" – which was a climax of hostile conduct towards Jewish students but by no means the only source of it – laid bare Northwestern's breach of these promises. Rather than conduct the business of the campus in accordance with the clear rules of conduct that everyone signed up for, Northwestern ignored those rules, opting instead to facilitate, coddle, and ultimately reward the participants of the "encampment on Deering Meadow" – a gathering of Hamas-supporting students, faculty, and outsiders beating a constant drum of anti-Jewish hatred and violence for days and days on the school's central lawn.

18. In its apathy towards enforcing rules for the benefit and protection of its Jewish students (while apathetic to no other protected group), Northwestern violates Plaintiffs' civil and contractual rights. This lawsuit seeks to remedy that.

PARTIES

19. At all relevant times, Jane Doe was a Jewish graduate student at Northwestern and has since completed her program.

20. John Doe 1 was a Jewish first-year undergraduate student at Northwestern. He lived on campus in Evanston, Illinois, but decided to transfer to another school after enduring the campus climate in the Spring of 2024 described herein.

21. John Doe 2 was a Jewish graduate student at Northwestern's Kellogg School of Business. He lives in Evanston, Illinois near the Northwestern campus.

22. John Doe 3 is a Jewish graduate student at Northwestern's Weinberg College of Arts & Science. He lives in Chicago, Illinois near Northwestern's campus.

23. Northwestern University is one of the country's top private, not-for-profit, research and teaching universities. Its main campus is in Evanston, Illinois. Its only satellite campus abroad is in Doha, Qatar. Northwestern receives substantial direct federal assistance and indirect federal assistance through, among other things, tuition paid with federal financial aid.

24. Northwestern has approximately 1,200 Jewish undergraduate students, representing 13% of the undergraduate student body, and 1,000 Jewish graduate students, which represent 7% of the graduate student body.⁵

JURISDICTION & VENUE

25. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because Plaintiffs bring suit under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's breach of contract claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because it arises out of the same case or controversy as Plaintiff's federal claim.

26. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Northwestern because it is based and operates in Evanston, Illinois.

⁵ <https://www.hillel.org/college/northwestern-university/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

27. Venue over this dispute is proper in the Northern District of Illinois pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in the Northern District of Illinois.

BACKGROUND

Hamas and the Encampment on Deering Meadow

28. Antisemitism is a repugnant form of bigotry that is no better or worse than any other form of bigotry based on race, religion, national origin, ethnic identity, sex, or any other classification protected by law. Yet antisemitism is somewhat unique in that it is a shapeshifting form of hatred that morphs depending on the time, place, and historical moment in which its ugliness appears.

29. Pursuant to Executive Order 13899, titled: “Combating Anti-Semitism,”⁶ issued on December 11, 2019, the U.S. Department of Education (“DOE”) must consider the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance’s (“IHRA”)⁷ working definition of antisemitism when enforcing Title VI.

30. The IHRA defines antisemitism as “a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of antisemitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities.”⁸

⁶ <https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/executive-order-13899-combating-anti-semitism> (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/qa-titleix-anti-semitism-20210119.pdf> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁷ The IHRA is comprised of 35 Member Countries, including the United States, United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, Austria, Germany, France, Italy, Israel, and Poland.

⁸ <https://holocaustremembrance.com/resources/working-definition-antisemitism> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

31. According to the IHRA definition, antisemitism includes “the targeting of the state of Israel, conceived as a Jewish collectivity,” when it involves:

- a. “Calling for, aiding, or justifying the killing or harming of Jews in the name of a radical ideology or an extremist view of religion.”
- b. “Making mendacious, dehumanizing, demonizing, or stereotypical allegations about Jews as such or the power of Jews as collective — such as, especially but not exclusively, the myth about a world Jewish conspiracy or of Jews controlling the media, economy, government or other societal institutions.”
- c. “Accusing Jews as a people of being responsible for real or imagined wrongdoing committed by a single Jewish person or group, or even for acts committed by non-Jews.”
- d. “Accusing Jewish citizens of being more loyal to Israel, or to the alleged priorities of Jews worldwide, than to the interests of their own nations.”
- e. “Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, e.g., by claiming that the existence of a State of Israel is a racist endeavor.”
- f. “Applying double standards by requiring of it a behavior not expected or demanded of any other democratic nation.”
- g. “Using the symbols and images associated with classic antisemitism (e.g., claims of Jews killing Jesus or blood libel) to characterize Israel or Israelis.”
- h. “Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis.”
- i. “Holding Jews collectively responsible for actions of the state of Israel.”

32. Though antisemitism has been an ever-present and growing problem on Northwestern’s campus for several years (*see infra* ¶¶173-194), the hatred towards Jewish students on campus exploded in the wake of Hamas’ October 7th terror attack in Israel, in which it murdered, kidnapped, raped, and mutilated thousands of civilians. As detailed below, the hatred, violence, and discrimination Jews have recently faced at Northwestern is wrapped up in, and must be understood within the context of, Hamas’ global campaign of terror and hatred against Jews.

33. Hamas, an acronym for Harakat al-Muqawama al-Islamiya (Islamic Resistance Movement), is a designated terror organization⁹ that is explicitly dedicated to murdering Jews worldwide. Its founding charter plainly states: “Our struggle against the Jews is very great and very serious. It needs all sincere efforts...The Movement (Hamas) is but one squadron that should be supported by more and more squadrons from this vast Arab and Islamic world, until the enemy is vanquished and Allah’s victory is realized.”¹⁰

34. Hamas’ leadership have repeated this objective time and again. Of the abundant examples, a member of Hamas’ political bureau, Fathi Hammad, called for the slaughter of Jews wherever they can be found¹¹, and recommends decapitating Jews with knives¹²:

⁹ On October 8, 1997, the U.S. State Department designated Hamas a Foreign Terrorist Organization (“FTO”). https://www.dni.gov/nctc/terrorist_groups/hamas.html (last accessed May 1, 2026); <https://www.state.gov/foreign-terrorist-organizations/> (last accessed May 1, 2026).

¹⁰ https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/hamas.asp (Hamas Covenant 1988) (last accessed May 1, 2026). Other parts of Hamas’ charter state: “The Day of Judgment will not come about until Moslems fight Jews and kill them;” and “[Hamas] consider[s] itself to be the spearhead of the circle of struggle with World Zionism... Islamic groups all over the Arab world should also do the same, since they are best equipped for their future role in the fight against the warmongering Jews.”

¹¹ <https://www.memri.org/tv/hamas-political-bureau-fathi-hammad-explosive-belts-knives-slaughter-kill-jews-all-over-world-israel-one-week-ultimatum> (last accessed May 1, 2026) (clip now accessible via request form only).

¹² <https://www.memri.org/tv/snr-hamas-official-fathi-hammad-urges-people-jerusalem-cut-off-heads-jews-knives-day-reckoning-moment-destruction> (last accessed May 1, 2026) (clip now accessible via request form only)



35. Its foot soldiers also make clear that Hamas' goal is to murder Jews worldwide. As one of its terrorists threatened at a Hamas press conference¹³:



¹³ <https://palwatch.org/page/569> (last accessed May 1, 2026).

36. Hamas' religious preachers share the same message. Just months before Hamas' October 7th terror attack, Hamas' Sheikh Hamad Al-Regeb, shared the following at a Friday sermon in Rafah, Gaza¹⁴:



37. Hamas acted out its desire to kill all Jews on October 7th when it stormed into Israel (the only Jewish state on earth) and slaughtered, tortured, and raped civilians mercilessly. As one Hamas terrorist gloated to his parents by phone, still panting from his killing spree: “Your son killed Jews! [...] Dad, I’m talking to you from a Jewish woman’s phone. I killed her and I killed her husband. I killed ten with my own hands! [...] Mom your son is a hero! Kill, kill, kill, kill them!”¹⁵

¹⁴<https://www.memri.org/tv/rafah-gaza-friday-sermon-hamas-official-regeb-allah-necks-jews-annihilate-them> (last accessed May 1, 2026) (clip now accessible via request form only).

¹⁵<https://www.timesofisrael.com/idf-publishes-audio-of-hamas-terrorist-calling-family-to-brag-of-killing-jews/> (last accessed May 1, 2026).

38. As President Biden has noted, Hamas is “a group whose stated purpose for being is to kill Jews.”¹⁶

39. *Hamas is thus an anti-Jewish hate group in addition to being a terrorist organization.*

40. During the encampment, Northwestern tolerated individuals openly dressed in Hamas attire and roaming its property and harassing Jews; it allowed Hamas supporters to torment Jewish students for days on campus, then offered them benefits if they promise to stop. Northwestern did not discipline any students engaged in pro-Hamas (and, thus, anti-Jewish) conduct during the encampment, before it, or since.

Northwestern faculty and staff across campuses embrace Hamas and call for violence against Jews without consequence

41. Northwestern also hires and retains faculty who call for violence against Jews and openly support Hamas.

42. The only satellite campus Northwestern maintains outside of Illinois is located in Qatar’s “Education City,” a pop-up campus created by the Qatari government to host a handful of satellite branches of prestigious U.S. universities, who lend their institutional support and reputational gravitas to these outposts, in exchange for large sums of money.¹⁷ Qatar, through its government-controlled Qatar Foundation (“QF”), has paid Northwestern \$737 million for this privilege, including annual operating support ranging from \$25 million to \$35 million, annual management fees which are used in part to support the School of Communication and its School of Journalism in Evanston, a \$15

¹⁶<https://ar.usembassy.gov/remarks-by-president-biden-on-the-terrorist-attacks-in-israel/> (last accessed May 1, 2026).

¹⁷ Northwestern’s satellite campus is called “Northwestern Qatar,” sometimes referred to as “NU-Q” (while the Evanston campus is marked “NU-E”).

million endowment funding professorships at Northwestern's Evanston campus, and capital investments including a 515,000 square-foot campus building.

43. Northwestern repeatedly emphasized the financial benefits as a reason to remain in Doha despite cultural differences and other challenges in Northwestern's 2024 internal assessment of its Qatar campus. Specifically, Northwestern concluded that that **"[t]he range of financial benefits**—from the funds to fully cover NU-Q operations to the unrestricted management fees, which benefit the University as well as the relevant schools, to the initial endowment—were... **significant and impactful to the University.**"

44. In exchange for this "significant and impactful" contribution to Northwestern, Qatar is able to exert substantial influence over NU-Q, which reverberates back to Evanston, by exercising the various rights afford to it under the NU-Q agreement.

45. The NU-Q agreement grants the QF substantial influence over the selection of NU-Q's senior academic leadership. Specifically, the NU-Q agreement provides that "[t]he Dean and all Associate Deans of NU-Q shall be appointed in accordance with NU policies and procedures for such appointments, **following consultation with the Qatar Foundation during the candidate review and selection process.**"

46. The NU-Q agreement further establishes a Joint Advisory Board ("JAB") with equal representation between Northwestern and the QF. Three members are appointed by Northwestern, three members are appointed by the QF, and up to three additional members are jointly appointed. The QF's President serves as a non-voting ex officio member.

47. The JAB is empowered to "comment[] on candidates proposed for appointment as Dean and Associate Dean positions" and to make recommendations

regarding "operational and academic matters," "long-term strategy," "research and program enhancements," and "proposed annual and four-year budgets and plans."

48. Through these provisions, the QF—an entity controlled by members of the Qatari royal family—exercises institutionalized influence over the selection and evaluation of the principal administrators responsible for directing NU-Q's educational mission, curriculum, and campus culture.

49. The NU-Q agreement grants the QF veto authority over Northwestern's annual operating budget for NU-Q. The NU-Q agreement provides that "the Qatar Foundation Board of Directors and NU shall each approve the annual budget."

50. By exercising its contractual rights and exerting the influence it has based on the range of financial benefits it affords Northwestern, Qatar ensures a deep and intertwined relationship between students, faculty, and staff of the two campuses.

51. Northwestern in Evanston maintains a Qatar Support Office ("QSO"), which is "the Evanston campus administrative resource for Northwestern University in Qatar's faculty, staff, and students."¹⁸ The QSO collaborates "with NU-Q leadership and partners across Northwestern" to "promote[] strong academic, operational, and other connections between campuses."¹⁹ Northwestern touts its QSO as "represent[ing] NU-Q's interests in Evanston" by "provid[ing] a direct line of intercampus communication."

52. Northwestern established an exchange program for NU-E students to "visit their Wildcat counterparts in Doha" for entire semesters, spring break, and residencies.²⁰

¹⁸ <https://www.northwestern.edu/qatar-support/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

¹⁹ <https://www.northwestern.edu/qatar-support/about/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

²⁰ <https://news.northwestern.edu/stories/2013/03/spring-break-in-nu-q/> (last accessed August 2, 2024) (link since disabled).

It also has a “Semester in Qatar Program” which it describes as an “intercampus initiative that builds on community ties between both campuses...”²¹ Northwestern’s administration promotes NU-Q on its Evanston campus in multiple ways, including by hosting a series of lectures and presentations (such as presentation titled: “NU-Q in Evanston”) where members of the Doha campus come to showcase the “Northwestern Experience in Qatar” to Evanston campus students and faculty.²² Likewise, NU-Q students are invited to join the NU-E campus through various exchange programs.²³

53. Similarly, NU-E faculty are frequently appointed as visiting professors at NU-Q for a semester, and vice versa, which is facilitated through NU-E’s QSO.

54. NU-Q and NU-E also have a partnership through which Northwestern “delivered a suite of training courses to staff focusing on leadership and communication skills” for staff.

55. Many Northwestern Evanston students, such as Plaintiffs, are not welcome at Northwestern Qatar, as Jews and other non-Muslims are not permitted to publicly worship in Qatar.²⁴

<https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/news/articles/2016/10-evanston-exchange.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

²¹ <https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/news/articles/2024/10-siq-2024.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026)

²² <https://news.northwestern.edu/stories/2015/05/nuq-in-evanston-highlights-student-accomplishments/> (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.northwestern.edu/qatar-support/visiting-qatar/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

²³ <https://www.gulf-times.com/story/492760/nu-q-participates-in-home-campus-event-in-evanston> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

²⁴ <https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/international-travel/International-Travel-Country-Information-Pages/Qatar.html> (“Public worship by non-Islamic faiths and atheism are illegal and subject to prosecution.”) (last accessed May 4, 2026).

56. Notably, Northwestern’s agreement with QF provides that Northwestern constituents, including “employees, students, faculty, [and] families,” are “subject to the applicable laws and regulations of the State of Qatar, and shall respect the cultural, religious and social customs of the State of Qatar.” This arrangement affords Qatar significant influence over Northwestern and effectively restricts employees from criticizing Qatar or condemning its support for Hamas, with implications that extend across both of Northwestern’s campuses.²⁵

57. Significantly, Qatar sponsors Hamas financially and harbors Hamas leadership.²⁶ Qatar “has been known to be perhaps the largest financial patron of Hamas” and “harbor[s] Hamas figurehead Khaled Meshaal,” among other Hamas leaders.²⁷ Qatar’s foreign ministry even stated *on October 7th* that “Israel [was] solely responsible” for Hamas’ atrocities.²⁸

²⁵ Qatar’s penal code criminalizes criticism of its government. See <https://www.ecdhr.org/freedom-of-expression-in-qatar/> (last accessed April 6, 2026). Indeed, it was reported that in November 2022, an NU-Q student had been arrested over a tweet.

²⁶ On August 2, 2024, the Emir of Qatar, Sheikh Tamim Bin Ahmad Aal Thani, sat at the front row of the funeral of assassinated Hamas leader Ismail Haniyeh, who was buried in the Qatari capital of Doha that day. <https://www.jpost.com/middle-east/article-813041> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

²⁷ See Hamas’ Benefactors: A Network Of Terror: *Hearing before Subcommittee on the Middle East and North Africa, Subcommittee on Terrorism, Nonproliferation, and Trade, and Committee on Foreign Affairs* (Serial No. 113-213), 113th Cong (2014), available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-113hhrg89738/html/CHRG-113hhrg89738.htm> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

²⁸ <https://mofa.gov.qa/en/latest-articles/statements/qatar-expresses-concern-over-the-developments-in-gaza-strip-and-calls-for-de-escalation> (last accessed May 4, 2026)

58. Not surprisingly, then, faculty and staff at Northwestern Qatar call for violence against Jews and support Hamas, consistent with the Qatari government.

59. The following examples of open incitement and violence towards Jews among NU-Q's staff were included in Plaintiffs' prior pleading filed in 2024. Upon information and belief, Northwestern has taken no action to investigate, let alone discipline, these faculty members for these outrageous, violent, and hateful public remarks. This, again, is part and parcel of Northwestern's tolerance of harassment and intimidation towards Jewish students – and no other minority group – because the cost of actually enforcing its non-discrimination policies to its NU-Q campus is outweighed by the lucrative benefits it receives from the State of Qatar to turn a blind eye to this problem.

60. Jewish students at Northwestern's Evanston campus thus not only feel the impact of NU-Q's influence and presence on the Evanston campus, they are also chilled from participating in any abroad or exchange programs with NU-Q – programs offered by Northwestern in Evanston - because Northwestern does not take any action when its NU-Q faculty call for violence towards Jews.

61. For example, Northwestern Qatar's Assistant Director of Communications and Public Affairs (<https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/directory/profiles/rageh-abrara.html>) (last accessed May 1, 2026) has publicly posted:



62. This rallying cry is shorthand for the phrase “*khaybar khaybar, ya Yahud (oh Jews)!*” which is a call for violence against Jews via reference to a Muslim army’s seventh-century massacre of Jews in the middle east.²⁹ “Intifada time” (especially when juxtaposed to *Khaybar Khaybar* and *#Israel*) similarly refers to violence against Jews and Israelis, as most famously in the “Second Intifada” which was a four-year wave of suicide bombings and other terror attacks in Israel (many perpetrated by Hamas) from the years 2000-2004.³⁰

63. Northwestern has been no notice of Rageh’s public calls for violence against Jews since at least 2024 when Plaintiffs filed their last pleading, yet she remains in her position and, upon information and belief, no action has been taken to investigate her racist conduct, let alone discipline her for it.

64. In addition to publicly calling for violence against Jews, this Northwestern leader, responsible for “communications and public affairs,” has also publicly celebrated the founder of Hamas, Sheik Ahmed Yasin, who was the architect of Hamas’ terrorism

²⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Khaybar_Khaybar_ya_yahud (last accessed May 4, 2026).

³⁰ The term “intifada” translates to “shaking off” or “uprising” in Arabic, and, in the context of Palestinian terror, is most closely associated with two specific periods during which Hamas and other terrorist groups engaged in a string of terror attacks against Israelis from December 1987 to September 1993 (the “First Intifada”), and September 2000 to late 2005 (the “Second Intifada”). <https://www.britannica.com/topic/intifada> (last accessed May 1, 2026); <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-oslo-accords-at-25-the-second-intifada-at-18/> (last accessed May 4, 2026). The terrorist methods used during these two Intifadas included suicide bombings, shootings, stabbings, and rocket barrages all aimed against civilians. As one example, on June 1, 2001, a Hamas suicide bomber killed 20 civilians, mostly teenage girls, waiting to enter a teen dance club called the Dolphinarium Discotheque in Tel Aviv. <https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/20th-anniversary-of-the-infamous-dolphinarium-disco-terror-attack-669853> (last accessed May 4, 2026). On May 1, 2024, the White House issued a statement explaining that “the use of the term ‘intifada’” is “tragic and dangerous hate speech.” https://www.cnn.com/business/live-news/university-protests-palestine-04-30-24/h_ebd5ad0bd15bfdc20c573ba170ed973f (last accessed May 4, 2026).

and created the organization to, in his own words, “enter into confrontation with the Jews.”³¹ Northwestern Qatar’s Assistant Director of Communications and Public Affairs implores the public to “Never Forget” him:



65. Northwestern Qatar’s Professor in Residence, Khaled AL-Hroub (<https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/directory/profiles/al-hroub-khaled.html>) (last accessed May 1, 2026) has also publicly glorified Hamas terrorists who have killed or attempted to kill Jews – though, as described below, since the filing of Plaintiffs’ earlier pleading in 2024, has removed these posts from his public-facing social media profiles). This includes glorification of: the planner of a civilian bus hijacking where two passengers were executed; a terrorist who stabbed two teenagers in Jerusalem; a Hamas operative

³¹<https://www.aljazeera.net/videos/2023/12/17/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B4%D9%8A%D8%AE-%D8%A3%D8%AD%D9%85%D8%AF-%D9%8A%D8%A7%D8%B3%D9%8A%D9%86-%D9%8A%D8%AA%D8%AD%D8%AF%D8%AB-%D8%B9%D9%86-%D8%AA%D9%81%D9%83%D9%8A%D8%B1%D9%87-%D9%81%D9%8A?playlist=6125126> (interview summary, as translated) (last accessed May 4, 2026)

involved in the drive-by shooting of a rabbi; and an unsuccessful but attempted suicide bomber who disfigured her own face during the failed detonation.³²

66. He and his friends publicly celebrated Hamas' October 7th terror attack.³³

67. Three days later he called for a Third Intifada to begin in the West Bank which he said would "flip the equation and sweep away the occupier" (*i.e.* the Jews).³⁴

68. He not only celebrates Hamas and calls for and glorifies violence against Jews, he also publicly advocates for and strategizes about how Hamas can rebrand itself under a new name and identity without changing its character. He has emphasized "the need for creative ideas by everyone to get out of the predicament" of Hamas' Western-facing reputation as a terror organization.³⁵

³²<https://www.facebook.com/ThingsHappenx/posts/pfbid02FGLX5BzNxFMtVn4uzVzNnEjjrga3iXa7kxHBnSV1MSxuoH487QnPYeV1ovWel> (glorifying planner of "Mothers' Bus Attack" – a 1988 hijacking of civilian bus (mostly filled with working mothers) where three civilians were killed) (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link since disabled); <https://www.facebook.com/ThingsHappenx/posts/10155519112165718> (glorifying terrorist who stabbed two teenagers in Jerusalem) (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link since disabled); (<https://www.facebook.com/ThingsHappenx/posts/10155171521995718> (glorifying head Hamas operative in drive-by shooting of Rabbi) (last accessed July 29, 2024) ((link since disabled); <https://www.facebook.com/ThingsHappenx/posts/pfbid02FpRjLu1tdWwkruqd4eYFZPrhZgk5GzZxuaWvnjrxBvGA1a36o5orkzViqXYxBk2Cl> (glorifying suicide bomber who disfigured her face (and lived) after detonating her explosives) (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link since disabled).

³³<https://www.facebook.com/ThingsHappenx/posts/pfbid0HLtTT3cQCci2Pjp88kxL6Lr59iLXWYyGDPbL4NP2bYURLZ35Q2vWVwFpKJFTPAGwl> (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link since disabled).


³⁴<https://www.facebook.com/ThingsHappenx/posts/pfbid02hQNetkMXKDQHVtmAjk5V9EqkKByAzbqHHzrxYZmsdf2uCva672HDrNB7kJ4f8jwel> (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link since disabled).

³⁵<https://www.facebook.com/ThingsHappenx/posts/pfbid0cGfiqVr41e46PTvAvFB6ZxSf3m1in64g6o8HFRPThKo79T8oAYLM995fPEsw64Zil> (last accessed July 20, 2024) (link since disabled).

69. Since Plaintiffs' last pleading in 2024, AL-Hroub has removed this content from the public domain. But, upon information and belief (since he is still identified in the NU-Q directory), he remains in his position, and has not been investigated, let alone disciplined, for these discriminatory remarks which glorify violence against Jews in no uncertain terms.

70. Northwestern extends the platform of NU-E's daily newspaper, *The Daily Northwestern*, to AL-Hroub to spread his ideology on Northwestern's Evanston campus. On this school-sponsored platform, AL-Hroub has espoused his support of Hamas and a whitewashing of their crimes by accusing Israel of spreading a "demonization campaign against Hamas."³⁶

71. Examples of support for violence against Jews, and casual antisemitism, among Northwestern's Qatar faculty goes on and on. An Assistant Professor (<https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/directory/profiles/al-noaimi-haya.html>) celebrated Hamas breaking into Israel to begin their terror attack on October 7th:



³⁶ <https://dailynorthwestern.com/2023/10/27/lateststories/al-hroub-academics-have-the-right-to-question-israels-war-misinformation-campaign/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

72. Marc Owen Jones, an associate professor of media analytics at NU-Q, compared Israel to ISIS, stated “Israel is worse than Hamas,” and circulated the blood libel conspiracy theory that “Israelis steal Palestinian organs.”

73. The Director of the Liberal Arts Program, Sami Hermez, (<https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/directory/profiles/hermez-sami.html>) describes Israelis as “unwanted” “Europeanized people of Jewish faith”:



74. In July 2025, Mr. Hermez stated: “Zionists have control over European policy and power. If you want to make the leap that Jews control Europe, I don't care. We can say the former without being accused of the latter. They have been able to take control because they haven't allowed anyone to name their [power] grab.” Days later, he retweeted a post that said, “Zionist Jews are disgusting liars.” Former-President Schill, who is Jewish, testified that Mr. Hermez’s statements “make [him] sick to [his] stomach” and “intimidate [him] just reading it.”

75. Things do not fare much better among Northwestern’s Evanston faculty. Steven Thrasher, the Daniel H. Renberg Chair of social justice in reporting, and assistant professor of journalism, also promotes Hamas and erases violence against Jews. His public-facing twitter profile³⁷ has featured the symbol: ▼ which Hamas uses to identify

³⁷ https://x.com/thrasherxy?ref_src=twsrc%5Egoogle%7Ctwcamp%5Eserp%7Ctwgr%5Eauthor (last accessed July 29, 2024) (similar to AL-Hroub, Thrasher has also deactivated his X profile)

targets in its propaganda videos and is widely recognized as a call for violence specifically against Israelis and Jews.

76. Thrasher has described Zionism – the belief that Jews should enjoy sovereignty and self-determination in part of their ancestral homeland – as an attempt to “trick people into religious fights where there weren’t any.”³⁸ Thrasher thus promotes the antisemitic trope that Jews are conniving and try to “trick” the world, while simultaneously erasing the historic massacre of Jews prior to the establishment of the State of Israel.³⁹ Thrasher has also compared Israel to Nazis and enslavers in Africa, two of the most evil actors in a millennium.

77. Thrasher also publicly denies Hamas’ widespread rape of Jewish Israeli women on October 7th.⁴⁰

78. A professor at Northwestern’s school of medicine, Alithia Zamantakis, also publicly declared that “resistance is justified when a people are occupied” and objected to any condemnations of “Hamas for their resistance.”⁴¹

³⁸ <https://x.com/thrasherxy/status/1782056747386986903?s=46> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

³⁹ See, e.g. a summary of the 1929 Hebron Massacre https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1929_Hebron_massacre#:~:text=The%20Hebron%20massacre%20was%20the,scores%20seriously%20wounded%20or%20maimed (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://medium.com/@Ksantini/the-list-of-crimes-committed-by-muslims-against-jews-since-the-7th-century-0ff1a8eb0ad0> (list of dozens of violent expulsions and massacres committed by Muslims against Jews in North Africa and the Middle East since the 7th century) (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁴⁰ <https://x.com/thrasherxy/status/1778791548336881928?s=46> (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://x.com/thrasherxy/status/1748021631132270941?s=46> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁴¹ <https://x.com/blobfishfemme/status/1750241753725079980?s=46> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

79. Northwestern's Asian American Studies Program faculty defended Hamas as a "political group."⁴² and attempted to parse the claims that "Hamas beheaded babies" as a "false" and "baseless claim[... meant to incite hate]."⁴³

80. Several Northwestern administrators, including Liv Harmening, the former outreach and education coordinator for Northwestern's Office of Civil Rights and Title IX, and virtually each administrator of Northwestern's Women's Center (detailed below), including Mayadet Patitucci Cruz (Program Coordinator), Sarah Brown (Director), Melisa Stephen (Program Manager), Sana Nanlawala (Graduate Programming and Research Coordinator), and River Kim (Student Program Assistant), signed public statements drafted by SJP (detailed below), which accuses "Zionists" of maintaining a "large apparatus of propaganda, [and] white-washing" which is used to legitimize "genocide" of Palestinians.⁴⁴

⁴² https://www.instagram.com/aasp_nu/?hl=en (last accessed August 2, 2024) (link since disabled). This was previously pinned as a top post on the Program's Instagram account. The post contains Northwestern's trademarked logo, indicating that it is a University approved statement.

⁴³ Secretary Blinken stated five days prior to the faculty's statement that "we did see photographs, videos,... A baby, an infant, riddled with bullets. Soldiers beheaded. Young people burned alive in their cars or in their hideaway rooms. I could go on, but it's simply depravity in the worst imaginable way. It almost defies comprehension, and as I've been saying, to me, it, in the most immediate future, hearkens back to ISIS and some of the very things we saw when it was on its rampage that, thankfully, was stopped." <https://www.state.gov/secretary-antony-j-blinken-at-a-press-availability-39/> (last accessed May 13, 2024) (link disabled, but available at: <https://kr.usembassy.gov/101323-secretary-antony-j-blinken-at-a-press-availability/>) (last accessed May 4, 2026). Likewise, *USA Today* reported that "[a]n international group of forensic pathologists also have confirmed babies were found decapitated[.]" <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2023/10/31/post-falsely-claims-newspaper-reported-no-babies-beheaded-hamas-israel-fact-check/71394076007/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁴⁴ <https://docs.google.com/document/d/159TyQaHKmBoa79tNCCTUetplk8tr2ZI4wLITdrKFx4/edit> (last accessed August 3, 2024) (link since disabled).

81. These are but just a handful of examples of Northwestern faculty openly supporting Hamas, an anti-Jewish terrorist group, downplaying, justifying, or falsely denying their murder and rape of Jews, and encouraging further violence against Jews. What this repeated, accumulation of messages from Northwestern faculty across its Evanston and Qatari campuses tells Jewish students is: murder, rape, and violence against your people is “justified” because you have behaved badly. It is unfathomable to think of any other group of students being expected to endure a campus learning environment where so many professors exude such openly hateful and violent sentiment towards just one demographic of students and no other.

82. Northwestern employees deny that this hate exists. Sarah Schulman, a Northwestern faculty member stated that “No one is being persecuted because they are Jewish on any college campus.”⁴⁵ This statement categorically denies the documented and pervasive antisemitic harassment of Jewish students at Northwestern, including the incidents alleged herein. The harmful nature of retaining faculty members who deny campus antisemitism was acknowledged by Northwestern's own former-President Schill before Congress. When asked whether employing a faculty member who denies the existence of antisemitism in higher education could contribute to a hostile environment on Northwestern's campus, Mr. Schill testified: “I would think that a hostile environment is only one of the things you would wonder about the person.” Despite this acknowledgment that employing faculty who deny campus antisemitism raises concerns about their contribution to a hostile environment, Northwestern has taken no action to address, discipline, or remove Professor Schulman or other faculty members whose public

⁴⁵ <https://chireviewofbooks.com/2025/04/24/the-fantasy-and-necessity-of-solidarity/>

statements deny (and contribute to) the antisemitic harassment experienced by Jewish students.

Northwestern's Women's Center – a formal department and critical resource for female students - has actively promoted violence against Jews without consequence


83. In 1986, Northwestern established the Women's Center to “foster[] a campus climate that promotes equity and enriches the personal, professional and academic lives of all members of the University community, particularly women students, staff and faculty.”⁴⁶ The “center serves as a space for support, community, advocacy, and affirmation.”⁴⁷

84. Northwestern tells students that the “The Women's Center offers private* support, services, referrals, and resources.”⁴⁸ The Center further tells students that “[a]s mandated reporters and responsible University employees we are obligated to promptly report... discrimination, harassment, and stalking if we become aware of it in the scope of our work for the University to the Office of Civil Rights and Title IX Compliance.” The Women's Center is across the street from Deering Meadow:

⁴⁶ <https://www.northwestern.edu/womenscenter/about/our-history/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁴⁷ <https://www.northwestern.edu/womenscenter/#:~:text=Our%20center%20serves%20as%20a%20space%20for%20support%2C%20community%2C%20advocacy%2C%20and%20affirmation.> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁴⁸ <https://www.northwestern.edu/womenscenter/resources/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).



85. Northwestern's Women's Center, institutionally, also promotes Hamas' violence against Jews. As publicly circulated by Northwestern's Associate University Chaplain for Muslim Life⁴⁹, just weeks after Hamas' October 7th slaughter, the Northwestern Women's Center publicly distributed the "All Out For Palestine" digital Toolkit.⁵⁰



⁴⁹<https://www.facebook.com/tahera.ahmad.5/posts/pfbid0pGLduuM2mHF42rGg26ZmvG7LdCR6wkgoqUdjQonvb3v7ujczq15H8H7XQSKtVY8Ll> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁵⁰https://actionnetwork.org/user_files/user_files/000/098/772/original/All_Out_Palestine_Toolkit_3.0.pdf (last accessed May 4, 2026).

86. This “resource” distributed by Northwestern’s official Women’s Center, openly promotes terrorism and violence against Jews, by urging students and faculty to chant:

*Resistance is justified when people are occupied⁵¹
There is only one solution intifada revolution
Globalize the intifada*

87. The Northwestern Women’s Center’s circulated “toolkit” also urges the use of the hashtag #AlAqsaFlood which is what Hamas named its October 7th terror operation, and provides readers with resources regarding “resistance” (i.e., violence against Jews), including interviews with the leadership of designated terrorist organizations like the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, a designated terrorist organization, like Hamas, with a long history of murdering Jews, and which actively took part in the October 7th atrocities.⁵²

Northwestern looks the other way, normalizing antisemitism among its faculty

88. It is difficult to imagine such widespread, open hatred of any other marginalized group flourishing among the ranks of Northwestern’s faculty.

89. Indeed, Northwestern is not powerless to rein in this explicit hatred. It has ample policies that are supposed to curb it.

90. For starters, Northwestern is required by Illinois law to maintain a Campus Violence Prevention Plan. *See* 110 ILCS 12/20.

⁵¹ This slogan is widely regarded as justifying Hamas’s atrocities, including their murder, rape, and mutilation of Jews, because “people are occupied.”

⁵² <https://www.ngo-monitor.org/reports/pflp-involvement-in-the-october-7-atrocities/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

91. Northwestern's plan (though it does not appear to have been updated as required by law), was once located at: <https://www.northwestern.edu/up/docs/NorthwesternUniversityCampusViolencePreventionPlan.pdf> (last accessed July 29, 2024) (referred to as the "Plan"). This was the Plan in place during the encampment on Deering Meadow, though since the filing of Plaintiffs' last pleading, upon information and belief the link was disabled sometime after April 2025.

92. The stated overarching policy of the Plan was that "Northwestern University does not tolerate acts or threats of violence committed by or against employees, faculty, students, visitors, or other third parties on University owned, controlled, or leased properties or in connection with University events or programs."

93. The Plan emphasized that "unacceptable behavior" includes "intimidating, threatening, or violent behaviors that affect the ability to learn, work, or live in the University environment." "These behaviors," Northwestern assures, "have no place in the academic community." The Plan defined discrimination and harassment as including "displaying or circulating written materials or pictures that degrade a person or group." It defines Violence as "behavior that causes harm to a person...or causes fear for one's safety or the safety of others..."

94. Northwestern's Plan promised that "a community member who has violated this policy is subject to disciplinary action..."

95. The Plan offered the Women's Center as a resource to ensure campus safety – noting that "The Northwestern University Women's Center is dedicated to fostering a campus climate that promotes equity and enriches the personal, professional and academic lives of all members of the University community."

96. Northwestern’s faculty handbook likewise does not permit discrimination or intimidation. It states that “harassment, whether verbal, physical, visual, or through any other medium, that is based on any [protected] characteristics is a form of discrimination.”⁵³

97. The faculty handbook also makes clear that while “The University is firmly committed to free expression and academic freedom...discrimination, harassment, and retaliation, through speech or otherwise, are not protected expression nor the proper exercise of academic freedom.”

98. And the school’s policy on discrimination and harassment indicates that the school “is committed to fostering an environment in which all members of our community are safe, secure, and free from prohibited harassment, discrimination, and sexual misconduct in any form. When learning of conduct or behavior that may not meet these standards, community members and the University are expected to take an active role in upholding this policy and promoting the dignity of all individuals.”⁵⁴

99. Northwestern has ample other policies that echo similar commitments.

100. Yet when it comes to Jewish students, application of Northwestern’s seemingly robust policies is nowhere to be found. Swaths of Northwestern’s faculty – and, indeed, entire institutional departments, like the Women’s Center, are engaged in public support of Hamas (a genocidal anti-Jewish terror group), classic antisemitic

⁵³ https://www.northwestern.edu/provost/docs/faculty_handbook_aug2021.pdf (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁵⁴ <https://www.northwestern.edu/civil-rights-office/policies-procedures/policies/policy-on-discrimination-harassment-and-sexual-misconduct.pdf> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

stereotyping, rape denial, and tacit (or explicit) support of past violence, and calls for future violence, against Jews.

101. Instead of applying its policies to thwart (or at least curb) the raging public antisemitism and calls for violence against Jews which permeates its academy, Northwestern has decided that – when it comes to Jewish students – there is a margin of acceptable harassment and discrimination. This is why Jew-hatred among its staff has metastasized.

102. Instead, rather than take action, Northwestern platforms and normalizes these violent and discriminatory sentiments.

103. For example, in Northwestern Qatar’s first public post following Hamas’ October 7th terror attack that related to the topic, the school announced an event entitled “Palestine in Wartime Media Narratives” where Khaled AL-Hroub, its professor – the one who glorifies Hamas terrorists, openly strategizes for its continued violent resistance against Jews, and who called for a Third Intifada days after the terror attack – spoke on a Northwestern-sponsored panel about “Hamas and the framing of terrorism,” while another Northwestern speaker covered “Narratives of Palestinian Resistance,” (more Orwellian doublespeak that simply refers to Palestinian violence against Jews).⁵⁵

104. And it is not as though Northwestern is unaware of AL-Hroub’s outrageous, hateful and discriminatory rhetoric. Just weeks before Northwestern platformed him on a school-sponsored panel to explain why Hamas isn’t a terrorist organization, AL-Hroub went on public radio and stated he had not seen “any credible media reporting” that Hamas killed women and children on October 7th.

⁵⁵ <https://x.com/nuqatar/status/1719202567715000578?s=46> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

105. Following this, Northwestern issued a milquetoast statement condemning “AL-Hroub’s attempt to minimize or misrepresent the horrific killing of Israeli civilians by Hamas,” but later revised the statement to remove his name from that sentence.⁵⁶ Northwestern then slinked back to comfortably tolerating (and platforming) this faculty member’s open support of Hamas. Less than two weeks later, he was platformed in *The Daily Northwestern*. See ¶ 70, FN 36, *supra*.

106. Northwestern also saw fit to have Steven Thrasher – the professor who denies Hamas’ systematic rape of Jewish women on October 7th – to officially represent the school’s Institute for Sexual and Gender Minority Health:



107. In other words, Northwestern is aware of its faculty members’ proclivities towards supporting Hamas (an anti-Jewish terror group), and chooses to do nothing (at best), and platforms them to spread their hatred, at worst. Operating freely under Northwestern’s prestigious purple umbrella, and crowned with @northwestern.edu e-

⁵⁶ <https://dailynorthwestern.com/2023/10/18/campus/northwestern-condemns-prof-khaled-al-hroub-comments-on-hamas/> (“This article has been updated to reflect that Northwestern altered its statement to condemn “any attempt to minimize or misrepresent the horrific killing of Israeli civilians by Hamas on Oct. 7,” rather than “AL-Hroub’s attempt” to minimize or misrepresent the attack.”) (last accessed May 4, 2026).

mails, these faculty are provided a full platform to promote their hateful and inherently discriminatory agenda.

108. It is difficult to imagine Northwestern tolerating (let alone platforming) this kind of widespread hatred against any other protected class; it is inconceivable that Northwestern would hire supporters of the KKK. Yet when it comes to its faculty's support of the most front-and-center violent Jewish hate group, Northwestern has an unwritten but de facto policy of suspending its rules and codes of conduct. It applies its rules differently when Jews are at issue, and has an institutionalized policy of looking the other way when Jewish students are harassed, assaulted, and otherwise endangered.

Northwestern allows, and even encourages, a “major antisemitic event” in the middle of campus

109. Against the backdrop of the bubbling antisemitism of its faculty, and years of antisemitic activity on campus (more on that below), Northwestern faced “the encampment on Deering Meadow.”

110. The encampment, also dubbed by its organizers as the “Liberated Zone,” was erected on April 25, 2024 on Deering Meadow (the central lawn of Northwestern's Evanston campus) by SJP and their allies.⁵⁷ Northwestern SJP stated it was “inspired by

⁵⁷ SJP was founded by the same individual that founded American Muslims for Palestine (“AMP”), an organization that provides financial backing, organizational instructions, marketing materials, and overall strategic support for SJP chapters nationwide. In 2021, the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals found that the parents of David Boim, an American citizen, who was murdered by Hamas in 1996 plausibly alleged that AMP is the alter ego of three entities that “fundraised for and funneled money to Hamas operatives in the West Bank and Gaza, who in turn used those funds to carry out the attack on David,” and therefore, “provided material support or resources to terrorism and to a foreign terrorist organization.” *Boim v. Am. Muslims for Palestine*, 9 F.4th 545, 548 (7th Cir. 2021).

actions at Columbia”⁵⁸ and the purpose of the encampment was made clear in the organizers’ Instagram posts: “Occupy [and] disrupt.”



111. Among the littering of tents, individuals dressed up as members of Hamas and stalked passersby, demanding they state whether they spoke Hebrew.⁵⁹



⁵⁸ A similar encampment was set up at Columbia University in New York. That encampment has featured threats to Jews that “the 7th of October will be every day for you” and is or was led by a student who stated “Zionists do not deserve to live.” See Complaint in *C.S. v. the Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York*, Case No. 1:24-cv-03232 (S.D.N.Y., April 29, 2024); see https://www.instagram.com/p/C6O9TMXLVPC/?igsh=NTc4MTIwNjQ2YQ%3D%3D&img_in dex=1 (SJP Instagram post announcing the Liberated Zone) (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁵⁹ https://x.com/rich_goldberg/status/1783566767098384884?s=46 (last accessed May 4, 2026).

112. This particular “protestor” who walked freely around Deering Meadow – who was in **plain view** of anyone who cared to see what was openly going on in the center of campus – wore a sweatshirt lionizing Abu Obaida, the spokesman for Hamas’ al-Qassam Brigades, who were responsible for the October 7th massacre. Abu Obaida is a known terrorist that is individually named on U.S. Treasury Department and European Union sanctions lists.⁶⁰

113. The perimeter of the “Liberated Zone” featured antisemitic signs such as a Star of David with a red slash through it, and a cartoon of former-President Schill (Northwestern’s Jewish president) with horns and blood dripping from his mouth.



114. Former-President Schill testified before a Congressional sub-committee that he was aware of the poster depicting him with horns and blood, “which is, of course a very old antisemitic trope.”

115. In and around the encampment, Jewish students were physically assaulted by encampment participants.

⁶⁰<https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/us-sanctions-target-hamas-spokesperson-drone-program-leaders-2024-04-12/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

116. In and around the encampment, at least one Jewish student wearing a *kippah* was spat on. Former-President Schill testified that the spitting was “an outgrowth of the...encampment.”

117. In and around the encampment, Jewish students were subjected to slurs, including, but not limited to: “burn in hell,” “dirty Jews,” “go back to Poland!” “go back to Germany!” “get gassed!” “settlers, settlers go back home,” and “Zionist pigs are not welcomed in this space.” In another incident, a Jewish student was surrounded by a mob screaming, “Shame, shame, shame.” These were repeated incidents that occurred openly and notoriously in the center of campus, uttered by those who were emboldened that nobody – including school administrators, and mandated reports whose offices were just across the street – would do anything to stop them.

118. The encampment also featured signs justifying violence against Jews via posters proclaiming that resistance – *i.e.*, Hamas’s brutal October 7th massacre against civilians – is not terrorism, and rhetorically suggesting that that de-colonization must be a “tangible event,” and not abstract – *i.e.*, it requires more violence against Jews⁶¹:

⁶¹ The phrase “This is what decolonization looks like” became a rallying cry used at pro-Hamas demonstrations around the world when referring to October 7th. This sentiment championing violence against the civilians of the only Jewish state is echoed in national SJP’s pro-Hamas toolkit, which states, “Liberation is not an abstract concept.... Rather, liberating colonized land is a real process that requires confrontation by any means necessary. In essence, decolonization is a call to action... Resistance comes in all forms [including] armed struggle[.]” <https://dw-wp-production.imgix.net/2023/10/DAY-OF-RESISTANCE-TOOLKIT.pdf>. This call to violence against Jews is also reflected through the toolkit promoted by Northwestern’s Women’s Center. See ¶¶ 83-87, *supra*.



119. For days on end, dozens and sometimes hundreds of participants gathered at the unauthorized and unlawful encampment, banged their drums and shouted through megaphones Jew-terrorizing and Jew-hating slogans including “Intifada, Intifada, Long live the Intifada,” “Globalize the Intifada,” “Resistance is justified when people are occupied,” and “From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free.”⁶² The only way the school could have been unaware of what was occurring on Deering Meadow is if its administrators willfully put their head in the sand.

⁶² On April 16, 2024, the U.S. House of Representatives passed a bipartisan resolution, H.R. 883, condemning the chant “From the River to the Sea, Palestine Will be Free” as antisemitic. The resolution recognizes, *inter alia*, that: (i) “the slogan ‘from the river to the sea, Palestine will be free’ is an antisemitic call to arms with the goal of the eradication of the State of Israel, which is located between the Jordan River and the Mediterranean Sea;” (ii) “the slogan seeks to deny Jewish people the right to self-determination and calls for the removal of the Jewish people from their ancestral homeland;” (iii) “ Hamas... and other terrorist organizations and their sympathizers have used and continue to use this slogan as a rallying cry for action to destroy Israel and exterminate the Jewish people,” which “can promote violence against the State of Israel and the Jewish community globally;” (iv) “this slogan incites fear within the Jewish community in the United States and around the world;” and (v) “this slogan has been used recently by violent protestors throughout the United States and the world.” The phrase is also found in Hamas’ 2017 Charter, which states: “Hamas rejects any alternative to the full and complete liberation of Palestine, from the river to the sea.” <https://www.middleeasteye.net/news/hamas-2017-document-full> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

120. Jewish students were threatened in other ways in and around the encampment. Masked terror-supporters signaled the upside-down triangle ▼ – the same one that appears on Steven Thrasher’s public Twitter profile – to intimidate and threaten violence. *See* ¶ 75, *supra*.



121. The upside-down red triangle also appeared on posters displayed on campus which contained a call to “fight the Zionists” (*i.e.*, Plaintiffs and the vast majority of other Jews who consider Zionism to be a central to their Jewish identity).

122. The encampment featured additional glorification of known terrorists who have killed or attempted to kill Jews. For example, signs calling to “Free Ahmad Manasra” and posters glorifying Leila Khaled were seen throughout. Ahmad Manasra is imprisoned for attempted murder after stabbing two Jews in Jerusalem, critically injuring a 13-year-old boy.⁶³ For her part, Leila Khaled is a member of the Popular Front for the Liberation

⁶³<https://www.timesofisrael.com/palestinian-teen-convicted-in-jerusalem-stabbing-attack/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

of Palestine, another designated terrorist organization, who hijacked civilian aircraft and engages in conspiracy theories about Jews' supposed control of the world.

123. Encampment “protestors” also spraypainted “Death 2 Israel” on Northwestern’s Jacobs Center, just north of Deering Meadow.

124. Jewish students were harassed and targeted in and around the encampment in other ways – both verbally and physically.

125. When Jewish students entered the encampment in the middle of Northwestern’s campus, the protesters attempted to push them out and threatened them, shouting: “settlers, settlers go back home” and “we don’t want no Zionists here.” Others at the encampment shouted, “racist, Zionist pigs are not welcomed in this space” and “Zionists aren’t welcome. Zionists go home.”

126. In a video posted on Instagram, the organizers of the unauthorized encampment proudly promote how they kicked Jews off of the center of Northwestern’s campus:⁶⁴

⁶⁴ The Instagram video mocks the slogan “Bring Them Home” that was adopted by Israeli and Jewish advocates for the hostages kidnapped by Hamas.



127. When Jewish students sought to document the antisemitic activities of the unauthorized encampment, they were physically assaulted, blocked from entering Deering Meadow, pushed away, and had their phones and cameras knocked out of their possession—all in the presence of Northwestern employees including University Police which refused to act. For instance, when an unauthorized protester stole American and Israeli flags from an individual standing outside the Deering Meadow fence, University Police refused to retrieve the flags from within the encampment.

128. In the early morning of April 25, 2024, before the unauthorized encampment took over a central part of campus, Chief of Police for Northwestern Bruce Lewis notified students they were violating University policy requiring reservations for events on Deering Meadow and demanded that “[t]ents shall come down... ***This is your final warning.***” But everyone knew the threat was a mere suggestion and that no discipline would be imposed for failing to heed the hollow threat. Unsurprisingly, the

tents and unauthorized demonstrators remained loose on campus following the University's statement. Ultimately, Northwestern, including the University Police failed to react, allowing the encampment to grow. On information and belief, the University Police did not issue a single citation or arrest any individual relating to the unauthorized encampment.

129. Former-President Schill acknowledged many of these and other incidents of antisemitism at Northwestern in his April 30, 2024 video message to the community. https://www.youtube.com/watch?si=OtaStXBM33SIcFU1&v=HFFkYEEvW_s&feature=youtu.be (“Jewish students are feeling threatened and unsafe...when I see a Star of David with an ‘X’ on it, when I see a picture of me with horns, or when I hear that one of our students has been called a ‘dirty jew’ there is no ambiguity. This needs to be condemned...Jewish students must feel safe walking past Deering Meadow and everywhere on our campus.”).

130. Regarding the encampment, in his testimony before Congress on May 23, 2024, President Schill testified that the encampment was “a threat to our community,” was “breaking our rules,” and that it was “***the major antisemitic event on our campus.***” He testified that the encampment made “Jewish students feel unsafe,” and admitted “they couldn’t walk through Deering Meadow. They couldn’t pass Deering Meadow.” Northwestern knew what was going on.

131. Nevertheless, some of Northwestern’s faculty, including Steven Thrasher, encouraged students to attend the encampment, held mandatory classes at the encampment, or cancelled class altogether in order to facilitate attendance at the encampment. Other Northwestern employees, including SaShay Butler, Assistant Director of Student Enrichment Services, actively facilitated the encampment by

collecting University supplies, including water bottles, blankets, feminine hygiene products, and portable chargers to distribute to the participants.

Northwestern first suggests it will take action, but instead tolerates, and rewards the organizers of the major antisemitic event on campus

132. Northwestern allowed the “major antisemitic event on campus” to clamor for five days uninterrupted.

133. At first, on the day the encampment was erected, Northwestern issued a statement that the encampment was prohibited, and acknowledged that protests on campus since October 7th “have interfered with classes in nearby buildings, as well as chalkings, flyers, banners and chants that many have found hateful, ***intimidating***, offensive and ***difficult to avoid***.”⁶⁵ The university threatened that “Students who refuse to remove their tents will be subject to arrest and their tents will be removed by the University. Community members who do not adhere to University policies will face discipline.”⁶⁶ Neither of these things occurred.

134. Later that day, Northwestern conveyed that the demonstrators declined the school’s offer to “peacefully assembly if they comply with Northwestern policies, including removing tents and ceasing the use of bullhorns and speakers.” Northwestern promised that it would “move forward with other options to protect the safety of the community and the continued operations of the Evanston campus.”⁶⁷

⁶⁵ <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/2024/northwestern-enacts-interim-addendum-to-student-code-of-conduct.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁶⁶ <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/statements/2024/statement-on-april-25-encampment.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁶⁷ <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/statements/2024/update-on-demonstration-on-deering-meadow.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

135. Of course, Northwestern did have the policies and wherewithal to follow through with its promise to remove the encampment. Its Campus Violence Prevention Plan specifically contemplated “Disruption” and provides that “students who commit a disruption...shall be subject to University disciplinary procedures, which may include fines, probation, suspension, or exclusion from the University.” Its Plan prohibited students from engaging in “unauthorized...use of University facilities [or] property.” It defined “disorderly conduct” as including “action that disturbs or endangers the peace or comfort of others in the community.” The Plan prohibited “disobeying a directive or command by any University or emergency official in connection with a...safety [or] security matter.” And, most importantly, the Plan indicated that the University had the infrastructure to implement the Plan, noting that the “University Police has primary responsibility for developing and deploying services, programs and strategies for maintaining a reasonably safe campus...deriv[ing] their law enforcement authority from State of Illinois statutes (110 ILCS 1005/0.01-3.0 / Private College Act)...”⁶⁸

136. And there was no question that the encampment violated a slew of content-neutral policies, including Northwestern’s Demonstration Policy, Outdoor Event Request Portal, and even the “interim rules” that Northwestern created specifically to address the

⁶⁸<https://www.northwestern.edu/up/docs/NorthwesternUniversityCampusViolencePreventionPlan.pdf> (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link disabled).

encampment (as though its other policies were somehow insufficient, which they were not).⁶⁹ The University's statements reflected as much.⁷⁰

137. Northwestern acquiesced to the protesters during the next four days (and thereafter), as the encampment festered, its participants continuing to assault and harass Jewish students.

138. Northwestern turned off its regularly scheduled lawn sprinklers to accommodate the encampment.

139. Northwestern also allowed the encampment demonstrators not affiliated with the school to access the Multicultural Center ("MCC") facilities, which are normally only accessible to students who are required to scan their school ID cards to gain entry. When unauthorized encampment demonstrators entered the MCC, Northwestern employees directed the outside agitators to non-public areas of the building – campus facilities they would normally be prohibited from entering.

140. Then, on April 29th, Northwestern triumphantly announced that it had reached an "agreement" with the organizers of the major antisemitic event on campus.⁷¹

⁶⁹ <https://policies.northwestern.edu/docs/demonstration-policy-final.pdf> (Northwestern's Demonstration Policy) (last accessed May 6, 2024); <https://www.northwestern.edu/norris/events/event-management/outdoor-event-request-information.html> (Northwestern's Outdoor Event Request Portal) (last accessed May 6, 2024); <https://www.northwestern.edu/communitystandards/student-handbook/final-addendum-to-student-handbook-42524.pdf> (interim rules) (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link since disabled).

⁷⁰ <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/statements/2024/statement-on-april-25-encampment.html> (last accessed May 6, 2024).

⁷¹ <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/2024/april-29-agreement-on-deering-meadow.html> (last accessed July 29, 2024) (link since disabled).

141. The Agreement provides that the demonstrators would do what they were already required to do – remove the tents at Deering Meadow (except for an “aid tent”). In exchange – **and rather than disciplining the organizers of the “major antisemitic event” on campus**, Northwestern rewarded the organizers with promises to fulfill some of their demands, like promising to pay the undergraduate tuition of five Palestinians; and to renovate a house for MENA/Muslim students as soon as practicable (a project that was, apparently, already underway). Northwestern was later forced to terminate this agreement as part of its settlement with the Federal Government which was actively investigating Title VI violations against Jewish students at Northwestern.

142. Particularly appalling, the Agreement provides that “[t]he University will publicly condemn the doxing of any community member and will advise employers not to rescind job offers for students engaging in speech protected by the First Amendment.” In other words, Northwestern **promised to help** the rule-violating organizers of the “major antisemitic event” avoid the public repercussions of their actions.

143. Northwestern thus sent a clear message: Not only will there be no consequences for harassing Jews, but Northwestern would agree to “publicly condemn,” *i.e.* retaliate against, anyone that attempts to inform others of the identity of the antisemitic protestors. Northwestern promised bigots a shield against any backlash to their hatred.

144. The organizers of the encampment, SJP, rightly viewed this as a capitulation, and felt energized that it was a step in the right direction.”⁷²

⁷² <https://www.instagram.com/p/C6ZsZ5uPuEt/?igsh=NTc4MTIwNjQ2YQ==> (Northwestern SJP Instagram, April 30, 2024) (“Once again, this agreement does not mark the cessation of our resistance but an essential and tactical pivot that enhances our leverage moving forward...”) (last accessed May 4, 2026).

145. Indeed, knowing that they could act without consequence, the organizers immediately breached the Agreement. The very next day, Northwestern SJP organized an “all night sleepover on the lawn” where “sleeping bags will be provided.” For days after the pact was announced, Deering Meadow was still littered with tents, garbage, and signage above piles of tents stating “DO NOT TAKE – for NU Sleepovers.” In other words, the encampment organizers did not adhere to their side of the “bargain,” but Northwestern yet again did nothing to hold them accountable.

146. And the discriminatory, rule-violating behavior extended beyond the Deering Meadow encampment.

147. On May 1, 2024, a demonstration called “Strike for Gaza” was held at The Rock on Northwestern’s campus. The campus was plastered with posters promoting this unauthorized demonstration. Some faculty members again cancelled classes so that students would participate, while other professors lectured at the demonstration. As described in more detail below (*infra* at ¶¶ 216, 226), the demonstration was filled with posters stating: “resistance is justified when people are occupied. #AlAqsaFlood,” and individuals shouting similar violent rhetoric.⁷³

148. Northwestern again showcased that, when it comes to the harassment (and, in this case, physical assault) of Jewish students, there is wiggle room in enforcing policies that are on the books.

⁷³ The unauthorized rally and flyer that was posted around campus promoting it violated several University policies, including requirements that “only registered organizations of the Northwestern community are allowed to advertise and promote their events on University property” and “all advertisements and other forms of publicity must clearly state the name(s) of the sponsoring student(s) and/or organization(s).”

149. Not only did Northwestern *tolerate* and *normalize* the encampment's hatred, both by letting it go on unchecked for days, and by then negotiating with its organizers to please stop; but Northwestern actively promoted the event.

150. Northwestern's official school library encouraged the participants of the encampment to "please consider saving your protest materials (both physical and digital!) to include your voice in Northwestern University Archives...Privacy concerns? We'll keep you anonymous – just contact us!"



151. Northwestern thus officially condoned what President Schill testified was the "major antisemitic event on campus" where Jewish students could not pass through, by encouraging participants to share their "materials" (anonymously, if they so desired) so that the school could forever preserve these historic gems – like the Star of David slashed out, the calls for the eradication of the only Jewish state, the poster of President Schill with horns, the signs justifying murder and rape of Jewish civilians, and the posterized blood libels. As the school's library website makes clear, participating in this

type of project would allow the participants to “preserve your group’s legacy” and “Guarantee your successors can continue to carry the torch.”⁷⁴

152. Upon information and belief, Northwestern’s library has never sought to preserve print or digital materials expressing this kind of hatred towards any other protected class. For example, upon information and belief, the “It’s Okay to be White” stickers are not included in the library’s archives, nor did Northwestern seek to preserve them.

Northwestern Qatar (and Hamas) supports the major antisemitic event on campus

153. Students from Northwestern Qatar – whose faculty support Hamas and violence against Jews – also participated in the major antisemitic event on campus:



154. This is unsurprising given the open and flowing two-way channel between Northwestern’s Evanston and Qatar campuses. See ¶¶ 42-54, 70, *supra*. Indeed, President Schill testified that one of the values of having a satellite campus located in Qatar is that it provides “benefits to faculty and students who want to go back and forth.”

⁷⁴ <https://www.library.northwestern.edu/libraries-collections/mccormick-library/collection-highlights/special-projects/make-your-mark.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

155. On information and belief, throughout the course of the 2023-2024 and 2024-2025 school years, Qatari representatives from the QF and QF selected NU-Q administrators communicated with Northwestern administrators relating to the antisemitic incidents occurring at NU-Q and sought to influence the administration's actions.

156. In the immediate aftermath of the October 7th atrocities, QF held a meeting with deans of American universities in Doha, including Northwestern, on October 17, 2023. During this meeting, QF instructed Northwestern's communications team to be "aligned and in touch" with QF. QF "requests[ed] information sharing and no surprises," the read-out states, adding that "any communications received, or proposed to be sent to Government entities must go through [QF] first for advice." During this meeting, some of the other American universities raised serious concern about "how too much Pro Hamas/Anti-Israeli messaging from here will impact home campus perceptions of the Doha Campus."

157. Similarly, Provost Kathleen Hagerty serves as co-chair of the JAB, a position that places her at the nexus of Northwestern's relationship with the QF and its Qatari partners. In that capacity, Provost Hagerty has co-chaired JAB meetings, attended by other NU-E administrators including Jake Julia, Vice Provost for Administration and Chief of Staff, and Stephanie Mills-Graham, Vice President & General Counsel, that have lauded NU-Q faculty members who have publicly expressed antisemitic sentiments—including, at the October 7, 2024 meeting, celebrating the hiring of "outstanding new faculty members" Marc Owen Jones (who has circulated the antisemitic blood libel conspiracy that Israelis steal Palestinian organs and stated that "Israel is worse than Hamas")—without any apparent objections. On information and belief, NU-Q employees

and QF representatives used JAB meetings as an opportunity to influence Northwestern's response to antisemitic harassment and discrimination on its Evanston campus, as articulated by QF on October 17, 2023.

158. Provost Hagerty's conduct at Northwestern in Evanston reveals the fruit of the Qatari influence upon her. During the Deering Meadow encampment negotiations in April 2024, Provost Hagerty participated directly in negotiations with student protesters whose demands included adoption of the antisemitic BDS movement. In a text message dated April 26, 2024, Provost Hagerty wrote to Professor Kteily, who was advising the protestors, that "If the students really cared about actual divestment, then they need the patience to actually do the work to make it happen. You suggested some targeted strategies that could put genuine pressure on the trustees"—thereby, advising student protesters on more effective methods for achieving their goals. Through her dual role as Northwestern's Provost and co-chair of the JAB—a body that includes members who have themselves expressed antisemitic views, such as Rami Khouri, who in November 2023 tweeted about "extreme Zionist propaganda and intimidation" in Congress and the White House—Provost Hagerty serves as a conduit through which the QF's influence over Northwestern's response to antisemitism may operate, and her documented statements and conduct demonstrate, at minimum, a posture of accommodation toward antisemitic demands that is consistent with Northwestern's broader pattern of deliberate indifference to the hostile environment faced by Jewish students.

159. When the encampment arose in Evanston, in addition to the support the encampment protestors received from NU-E administrators, faculty, and staff, NU-Q was supporting the unauthorized and harassing event in public and behind closed doors.

160. Of course, Professor Khaled AL-Hroub, the open Hamas supporter, described the encampment participants – some of whom terrorized their Jewish classmates for days on end – as “heroes”:



161. After Professor Khaled AL-Hroub’s interview denying the terrorist acts that occurred on October 7th came to light, NU-Q’s Dean Kraidy sought to minimize any response taken by his colleagues in Evanston. In communications with Jon Yates, Northwestern’s Vice President for Global Marketing and Communications, and Jake Julia Vice Provost for Administration and Chief of Staff, Dean Kraidy wrote on October 17, 2023: “Not sure about the rape issue... [w]e really don’t want to be on the wrong side of the facts here.” Dean Kraidy also defended al-Hroub’s interview as “perfectly academic” and claimed that he was “advocat[ing] for precision and verification against propaganda.” Dean Kraidy further communicated his opposition to Northwestern administrators by email, stating: “I would not feel comfortable signing the below,” referring to the proposed statement condemning al-Hroub.

162. The Evanston campus then sent Dean Kraidy a revised statement about al Hroub’s comments. Northwestern’s associate provost for faculty also promised to work to “regain Khaled’s confidence[.]” after the statement.

163. Dean Kraidy’s attempts to downplay Hamas’ actions on October 7th and Northwestern’s response to Pro-Hamas sentiments circulated by its employees is unsurprising when it is understood that he serves at the pleasure of the Chairwoman of the QF.

164. Following the death of Hamas leader and mastermind of the October 7th massacre Yahya Sinwar in October 2024, Sheikha Moza bint Nasser, Chairwoman of the QF, praised the terrorist, stating: “The name Yahya means the one who lives. They thought him dead, but he lives... He will live on and they will be gone.”

165. Hamas officially celebrated these campus activities. Izzat Al-Risheq, a member of its political bureau, issued a statement in support: “Today’s students are the leaders of the future.”⁷⁵ Similarly, the PFLP issued a statement, the day before Northwestern’s “Liberated Zone” was created on Deering Meadow praising the university protests. The designated terrorist group stated that it “condemns the repressive practices of the administrations of universities in the United States, and affirms its support for the struggle of the student movement in support of Palestine.” In fact, the PFLP specifically “confirm[ed] [its] steadfast support for the struggle of the student[] youth movements, [including] Students for Justice in Palestine (SJP).”⁷⁶ The PFLP went on to state that it “highly appreciate[s] the positions, movements, and struggles of our students in American universities, and call for the escalation of their struggle,” which Northwestern SJP did the following day. The PFLP’s statement concluded, “We highly value the supportive and solidary positions for the struggle of our people in various American universities and around the world[.]” Relatedly, the Lebanese-based designated terrorist organization Hezbollah stated, “We’re currently investing in protests and demonstrations in Western countries — especially among college students.”

⁷⁵<https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2024/04/24/hamas-iran-support-college-protests/73447123007/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁷⁶<https://www.bronxantiwar.org/statements/pflp-statement-in-support-of-us-student-gaza-solidarity-encampments> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

166. Qatar’s state-sponsored media outlet, Al Jazeera, provided glowing coverage of the encampments across the country, including an interactive map of all the “pro-Palestine campus protests around the world.”⁷⁷ One Al-Jazeera article described a Canadian university’s efforts to dismantle an encampment as “a crystallization of multiple layers of violence involved in sustaining settler control over Indigenous land.”⁷⁸

167. In addition to its agreement with the Qatari government to lend its name to a satellite campus in Doha, Northwestern also has a formal Memorandum of Understanding with Al Jazeera, which it boasts on its website as one of its “institutional partnerships.”⁷⁹ As part of Northwestern’s intercampus exchange program, NU-E students are taken to Al Jazeera’s offices in Doha.⁸⁰

168. Al Jazeera pumps antisemitic propaganda worldwide, at the behest of the Qatari government and Hamas. As just one example, Al Jazeera ran with this piece⁸¹:

⁷⁷<https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2024/4/29/mapping-pro-palestine-campus-protests-around-the-world> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁷⁸<https://www.aljazeera.com/opinions/2021/7/20/encampment-evictions-another-face-of-colonial-violence-in-canada> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁷⁹ <https://www.qatar.northwestern.edu/about/partnerships.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁸⁰ <https://news.northwestern.edu/stories/2013/03/spring-break-in-nu-q/> (last accessed Aug. 2, 2024) (link since disabled).

⁸¹<https://www.aljazeera.net/politics/2021/5/19/%D9%83%D9%8A%D9%81-%D8%AA%D8%B3%D8%AA%D9%85%D8%B1-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D9%85%D8%A7%D8%B9%D8%A7%D8%AA-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%87%D9%88%D8%AF%D9%8A%D8%A9> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

**Learn about the most prominent plans of
the Jewish lobby in America to defend the
Israeli aggression**



169. Al Jazeera has long been recognized as antisemitic and pro-terror. It has openly celebrated Samir Kantar, a Lebanese man who killed three Israelis, including a four-year old girl, and correspondents on its talk shows have said things including: “The Jews are the ones responsible for spreading this hostile view of Islam...The Jews dominate the Western media, and they feed the decision-makers this distorted view of Islam.” In a video it has since retracted, the narrator suggested millions of Jews did not die in the Holocaust and asked “What is the truth of the Holocaust and how did the Zionists benefit from it?”⁸²

170. At least four members of Congress have opened an inquiry on Northwestern’s relationship with Al Jazeera, citing Al Jazeera’s support of and platforming of Hamas leadership, and the fact that multiple Al Jazeera correspondents have been discovered to moonlight as members of Hamas in Gaza (one a commander in a Hamas anti-tank missile unit, and another who is accused of being a Hamas platoon

⁸² <https://www.nationalreview.com/2019/05/al-jazeera-anti-semitic-video-controversy-radical-agenda/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

deputy commander).⁸³ Indeed, Abdallah Aljamal was an Al Jazeera correspondent promoted on its website⁸⁴ until it was revealed that he held three October 7th hostages at his home in Gaza until Israeli forces rescued them.⁸⁵

171. But it is through this agreement with Al Jazeera, and by lending its name to the Qatari government, that Northwestern became an active participant in the injection of Hamas' anti-Jewish propaganda directly into the veins of American higher education.

172. Jew-hatred thus churns institutionally at Northwestern.

Northwestern's discriminatory reaction to the encampment is consistent with its historically lax approach to anti-Jewish hatred on campus

173. Though the encampment was a climactic example of antisemitism on Northwestern's campus, it certainly was not the first. Antisemitic incidents at Northwestern escalated in number and scale for years without any corresponding escalation in preventive and remediate actions by administrators.

174. For years, SJP, a student organization on campus, has coordinated local and national efforts to target and harass Jewish students on campus – in addition to the central role it played in fomenting the encampment.

175. For example, in 2017, Northwestern SJP invited Rasmea Odeh and Nadine Naber to Northwestern's campus speak. The former is a convicted terrorist and member of the PFLP (a designated terror organization) who coordinated terrorist bombings in

⁸³ [Letter-to-Northwestern-Regarding-Al-Jazeera.pdf \(d12t4t5x3vyizu.cloudfront.net\)](#) (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁸⁴ https://web.archive.org/web/20240724002227/https://www.aljazeera.com/author/abdallah_aljamal_190122103235277 (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁸⁵ <https://www.jpost.com/israel-hamas-war/article-811401>. One of the rescued hostages recounted that Aljamal was a "psycho" that tortured his captives. (Last accessed May 4, 2026).

Israel in the 1960s; the latter writes articles denying Jews' indigenous and historical connections to Israel, claiming that "Jews from all over the world were sent to settle a land [Israel] where they had no prior territorial affiliation," and further conspiring that "not all Jews today are the descendants of the original Semitic people." Northwestern SJP welcomed them to the Evanston campus to continue to spread their hatred towards Jews, with no pushback from the administration.

176. In November 2021, SJP protesters, known for their glorification of Hamas and other organizations that seek to kill Jews, stormed the field at a Northwestern's men's football game in protest of Israel.⁸⁶ Following the incident, the University issued a statement, titled "Demonstrations and Freedom of Expression," in which it stated that SJP's protest at the football game "represents a violation of our demonstration policy and will require the University to move promptly to end any such disruptions and hold individuals accountable. Ramifications can potentially involve suspension, expulsion or legal consequences as appropriate."⁸⁷ The statement further noted that Northwestern's "demonstration policy is designed to prevent the harm or harassment of our community members as they conduct their business. Under the policy, demonstrations may not infringe upon the rights of others, endanger the safety of individuals or disrupt University operations or events." Yet, on information and belief, no Northwestern student was disciplined for the unauthorized acts.

⁸⁶<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/1067405/blm-pro-palestine-protesters-storm-field-during-northwestern-university-football-game/> (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.si.com/college/northwestern/football/northwestern-vs-iowa-game-interrupted-by-on-field-protest> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁸⁷<https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/2021/demonstrations-and-freedom-of-expression.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

177. In November 2022, a Jewish student wrote an op-ed describing that she “faced hostility several times throughout [her] NU experience, most recently last weekend.” She explained why the Hamas slogan, “From the River to the Sea” was a “rallying cry to destroy the entire State of Israel and all of its Jewish inhabitants” and was a “phrase [that] originated more than 30 years ago, evolving from language in the 1988 Hamas charter that promoted the destruction of Jews, echoing Adolf Hitler’s messaging on the merits of the Protocols of the Elders of Zion.” She described how seeing that phrase around campus created hostility and invited students “who promote or subscribe to the harmful messaging” to meet with her, talk with her, ask questions, or even join her for Shabbat dinner.

178. In response, Northwestern SJP tied dozens of copies of her article together, graffitied it with the slogan “From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free,” and zip-tied it to fences around Deering Meadow – doubling down on the harassment against the Jewish author and other Jewish students on campus.



179. When Jewish students saw SJP's banner, they reached out to Northwestern Hillel's Executive Director in tears, understanding it to be an act of intimidation and harassment. Again, SJP was never disciplined.

180. Over the course of several years, Northwestern SJP and other student organizations learned one lesson: Northwestern will never penalize them. They took this lesson to heart, and Northwestern's refusal to regulate their conduct like they do with any other student organization ensured that SJP could continue harassing Jewish students without consequence.

181. On October 8, 2023, as Hamas' terror attack was still happening, the national SJP issued a "toolkit" to its various university chapters, including Northwestern SJP, calling the then-ongoing rape, murder, and kidnapping, "a historic win for the Palestinian resistance."⁸⁸ The document is an open call to arms, proclaiming, "As the Palestinian student movement, we have an unshakable responsibility to join the call for mass mobilization. National liberation is near—glory to our resistance, to our martyrs, and to our steadfast people."

182. On October 8, 2023, Northwestern SJP issued a public statement glorifying Hamas' terror attack against Jews in Israel (which was still ongoing). Northwestern SJP referred to the attack as an act of "defen[se]," which was "not only a moral imperative, but a right enshrined in global accords." According to Northwestern SJP, Israel "cannot, by any logical construct, claim victimhood" based on Hamas' attempt at genocide.

⁸⁸ <https://dw-wp-production.imgix.net/2023/10/DAY-OF-RESISTANCE-TOOLKIT.pdf> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

183. Days later, Northwestern SJP followed the marching orders of National SJP and the instructions of Khaled Meshaal, the former chief of Hamas (living in Qatar), to engage in a day of “jihad” on October 13, 2023 across the globe.⁸⁹

184. Beginning on October 11, 2023, Northwestern SJP have organized numerous rallies and marched on and near Northwestern’s Evanston campus waving a Hamas flag.

185. Northwestern SJP members have demonstrated in front of and called for the elimination of Northwestern’s Hillel (the center for Jewish life on campus), including by distributing fliers targeted at incoming undergraduate students suggesting Northwestern supports genocide by “funneling” Jewish students to Hillel. Northwestern faculty members attended the demonstration against Hillel.⁹⁰ In fact, Hillel’s offices on campus are located across Deering Meadow, such that any Jewish student who visited Hillel to attend prayer services, receive Kosher meals, or otherwise participate in Jewish life on campus was forced to endure the antisemitism spewed by the unauthorized protesters.

186. SJP student members, nor any other students, have not been disciplined for its clear and significant role in harassing, targeting, and discriminating against Jews on campus.

⁸⁹ See <https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/former-hamas-chief-calls-protests-neighbours-join-war-against-israel-2023-10-11/> (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://nypost.com/2023/10/11/ex-hamas-chief-calls-for-global-protests-against-israel-friday/> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁹⁰ <https://reason.com/volokh/2024/04/23/northwestern-dean-of-students-presence-at-anti-israel-protest/> (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/statements/2024/statement-on-april-15-demonstration.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

187. While other universities, including Brandeis University, Columbia University, Rutgers University, and George Washington University, had seen enough antisemitic, pro-terror, violent rhetoric out of their local SJP chapters (all of which take directives from National SJP) and suspended the student organization and many of its members from their campuses, Northwestern took no action against the group or its members.⁹¹ And despite their actions becoming more repugnant and contributing to an

⁹¹<https://www.brandeis.edu/president/letters/2023-11-08-free-speech-not-hate-speech.html> (“This decision was made because SJP openly supports Hamas, which the United States has designated as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, and its call for the violent elimination of Israel and the Jewish people.”) (last accessed May 13, 2024); <https://gwhatchet.com/2023/11/14/gw-suspends-sjp-for-three-months-after-anti-israel-library-demonstration/> (“Effective immediately, the university has prohibited SJP from participating in activities on campus”) (last accessed May 13, 2024); <https://news.columbia.edu/news/statement-gerald-rosberg-chair-special-committee-campus-safety> (“Columbia University is suspending Students for Justice in Palestine (SJP) and Jewish Voice for Peace (JVP) as official student groups through the end of the fall term. This decision was made after the two groups repeatedly violated University policies related to holding campus events, culminating in an unauthorized event Thursday afternoon that proceeded despite warnings and included threatening rhetoric and intimidation.”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://abc3340.com/news/nation-world/rutgers-suspends-students-for-justice-in-palestine-chapter-over-vandalism-disruption-new-brunswick-new-jersey-university-israel-hamas-middle-east-conflict-sjp> (“While Rutgers–New Brunswick is a public institution that protects and values free speech and an open exchange of ideas, this exchange cannot come at the expense of individual and campus safety.”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/quick-takes/2024/05/30/san-jose-state-suspends-sjp-chapters-faculty-adviser> (“San José State University has suspended a Justice Studies professor who’s also faculty adviser for the campus chapter of [SJP]”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.theeagleonline.com/article/2024/04/breaking-american-university-places-students-for-justice-in-palestine-chapter-on-disciplinary-probation> (“American University places [SJP] chapter on disciplinary probation”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.reuters.com/world/us/floridas-desantis-bans-pro-palestinian-student-group-2023-10-25/> (“Florida’s university system, working with Governor Ron DeSantis, ordered colleges on Tuesday to shut down [SJP]”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.unc.edu/safety-update-about-recent-campus-events/> (“the University [of North Carolina] suspended the campus chapter of [SJP]... [which] means the group may not participate in any University activities, use campus facilities, or receive funding from student fees or any other University sources.”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.jns.org/case-westerns-sjp-chapter-suspended-for-using-glue-when-posting-fliers/> (“Case Western’s SJP chapter suspended”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); *see also* <https://www.jpost.com/diaspora/antisemitism/article-787260> (“MIT suspends pro-Palestinian student group, saying it broke campus demonstration rules”) (last accessed May 4, 2026); <https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2024/4/23/harvard-psc-suspended/> (“Harvard Suspends

increasingly toxic environment for Jews on Northwestern's campus, as of fiscal year 2025, SJP continued to receive funds from Northwestern.

188. In addition to SJP, another registered student organization, Northwestern University Community for Human Rights ("NUCHR"), issued a statement on October 10, 2023, titled "Let's talk about Palestine." NUCHR claimed to explain "what's happening right now," by stating that the thousands of terrorists who left Gaza to attack Israel were simply "Palestinians [that] have returned home." NUCHR explained that the video and images Hamas took of themselves while committing these atrocities were simply "what decolonization look liked." The post concludes, "[NUCHR] stands in solidarity with Palestinian freedom fighters" (i.e. Hamas).

189. Additionally, as detailed *supra* at ¶¶ 66-68, 70-71, 75, 78-79, 81, 85-87, multiple Northwestern faculty members and staff issued statements in the wake of October 7th victim-blaming Jews and promoting Hamas' atrocities in Northwestern's name, without repercussions.

190. On October 26, 2023, President Schill and Provost Hagerty issued a statement in which they admit, "We have heard from **community members who feel unsafe on our campuses** and others who feel overwhelmed by the unfolding war in the Middle East, **making it difficult to focus on schoolwork, research, instruction or common tasks.**"⁹² The statement went on to note that "arguments or slogans in support of a terrorist group that killed innocent civilians" are "harmful and

Palestine Solidarity Committee Amid Wave of Protests on College Campuses") ") (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁹²<https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/2023/civility-during-conflict.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

dehumanizing” and warned that “[i]t is not the time for incendiary postings or banners.” But, consistent with its multi-year approach to antisemitism on campus, no actions were taken. Just empty words.

191. Jewish students have repeatedly and publicly shared how discriminatory and hostile the campus environment is, and how they feel unsafe moving about the campus as Jews.

192. These statements include, but are not limited, to one Jewish Northwestern student publicly stating: “I’m really overwhelmed. Honestly, I-I’m at a loss for words[.]... I feel unsafe on my own campus, I feel uncomfortable. I feel unwelcome, and I don’t think any university should allow students to feel that way.” This student reported that at least one of her professors canceled class for the day, citing the encampment, and warned students, “Take care of yourselves, and each other.”

193. Northwestern was aware of this and other public statements by Jews on campus expressing fear and alarm at the anti-Jewish campus climate.

194. And this report and others like it are entirely consistent with the hostility and harassment personally faced by Plaintiffs on campus solely due to their Jewish identities.

Plaintiff Jane Doe’s experience

195. Jane Doe was a Jewish student in a graduate program at Northwestern at all relevant times, who describes the campus atmosphere as lawless.

196. Jane Doe was known at Northwestern to be Jewish (she had appeared on the local news expressing dismay at the campus climate).

197. On Thursday, April 25, 2024, the director of the program in which Jane Doe was enrolled announced that certain parts of the program would be closed for several days

because Northwestern could not guarantee the safety of those participating. This meant that Jane Doe's program ceased operating while the encampment carried on.

198. Jane Doe developed substantial safety concerns as a result, and did not attend a seminar located close to Deering Meadow, out of fear for her safety.

199. Later that same day, Jane Doe was walking with a friend near the encampment when she was accosted by a demonstrator wearing a surgical mask and a keffiyeh. The woman assaulted Jane Doe and her friend, striking Jane Doe's friend with her protest sign and walked away.

200. Having been assaulted, Jane Doe normally would have availed herself of the services of Northwestern's Women's Center. However, at that point she understood that the Women's Center was hostile towards Jewish safety and encouraged the encampment. She saw that the Women's Center, which is across the street from Deering Meadow, was essentially a "revolving door" for encampment participants, and indeed the physical office was plastered with posters celebrating and promoting the "major antisemitic event on campus." Jane Doe's fears of utilizing the services of the Women's Center were corroborated when, weeks after Hamas' October 7th slaughter of Jews, the Women's Center published calls for violence against Jews on their social media via their "Toolkit" and openly celebrated "#AlAqsaFlood." (see ¶¶ 80, 83-87, *supra*).

201. The following day, when Jane Doe was walking near the encampment, protesters screamed at her to "burn in hell." As Jane Doe left the area near the encampment, she was followed by the protesters. Given the Women's Center's role in (and support of) the encampment, and its support of violence against Jews, Jane Doe again felt unsafe and unable to utilize the services of the Women's Center – a place she would normally go to for support in the face of violent screams and assaults.

202. In addition to the violent anti-Jewish incidents Jane Doe experienced firsthand, she was aware the overall climate towards Jewish students on campus, including the hostility emanating from Deering Meadow. The building where Jane Doe's program operated was close to Deering Meadow, and she had concerns for her safety on campus especially near and around her program building (including those which caused her to miss a seminar, and in addition to the temporary shutting down of her program activities).

203. Simply put, the encampment and its surroundings instantly became a place of verbal and physical threats of violence for Jane Doe, and a site of antisemitic vitriol directed at her; as a result, she is on heightened alert when walking around campus and avoids certain campus areas and buildings where she does not feel safe. And her inability to utilize the resources of the Women's Center, and her missed classes, constituted a deprivation of educational benefits.

Plaintiff John Doe 1's Experience

204. John Doe 1 was a Jewish undergraduate student who has also been impacted by Northwestern's discriminatory enforcement of its own rules.

205. John Doe 1 heard hateful expressions when walking near the encampment with his mother, who was visiting campus. This heightened John Doe 1's apprehension for his own safety on campus. John Doe 1 has previously been told on campus that Jewish students "should go back to Europe, to Poland!"

206. In addition to the violent anti-Jewish incidents John Doe 1 experienced firsthand, he was aware of the open and notorious hostility against Jews on full display for days at Deering Meadow, of which Schill testified he was aware, and which was anyway in plain view of anyone – including administrators – walking by.

207. Based on Northwestern's response to the encampment, and the antisemitism it features, John Doe 1 has concerns for his safety on campus. He is further concerned that this will become a recurring pattern on campus every time the pro-Hamas demonstrators wish to ratchet up demands on the administration. His concern was exacerbated upon learning of Northwestern's Agreement with, and appeasement of, the demonstrators.

208. As a result of Northwestern's failure to enforce its policies as to the encampment, and following the events of Spring 2024, John Doe 1 transferred out of Northwestern. The campus climate was intolerable and unsafe, and the administration failed to enforce rules for the protection of Jewish students. Instead, it negotiated with and rewarded those who were perpetuating the hateful climate openly in the center of campus rather than disciplining them or otherwise enforcing their policies.

Plaintiff John Doe 2's Experience

209. John Doe 2 was a Jewish graduate student attending the Kellogg School of Management. As he walked near the encampment with several friends, a protestor physically harassed one of the people in his friend group. Thereafter, John Doe 2 changed where he walked on campus, and since he and his friends were physically threatened at the encampment, he avoided that location altogether.

210. Indeed, after his group was harassed by a demonstrator, John Doe 2 learned that one of the encampment demonstrators published a picture of John Doe 2 as he walked near the encampment, in a derogatory and harassing online post.

211. In addition to the violent anti-Jewish incidents John Doe 2 experienced firsthand, he was aware of the open and notorious hostility against Jews on full display

for days at Deering Meadow, of which Schill testified he was aware, and which was anyway in plain view of anyone – including administrators – walking by.

212. John Doe 2 missed classes in order to meet with other Jewish students to discuss how they could best maintain their own safety on campus, and how they could assist in protecting undergraduate Jewish students for whom this was their first time away from home. John Doe 2 spent hours of his time – which would have been otherwise dedicated to classes, studying, and extracurriculars – to meet with other Jewish students who felt under threat by the encampment and wholly unprotected by Northwestern.

Plaintiff John Doe 3's Experience

213. John Doe 3 is a Jewish graduate student at Northwestern's Weinberg College of Arts & Science.

214. Since October 7th, 2023, John Doe 3 has had violent antisemitic rhetoric shouted at him on multiple occasions.

215. John Doe 3 has been the subject of false accusations as a form of retaliation by anti-Jewish students on campus, solely due to his Jewish identity. While countless reports of antisemitism on campus went uninvestigated, the false accusations brought against John Doe 3 resulted in a fulsome investigation by Northwestern's OCR. As part of this investigation, the accusations were reported to the Department Chair of John Doe 3's program. Ultimately, the investigation never led to any discipline against John Doe 3, as the accusations were baseless, but the investigation itself could have a negative impact on John Doe 3's reputation in the department and throughout his career.

216. Even after Northwestern capitulated to SJP and the Liberated Zone's protesters, John Doe 3 continued to experience antisemitism on campus. On May 1, 2024, John Doe 3 was walking near the unauthorized "Strike for Gaza" demonstration when he

found a woman giving a lecture to a group of students. John Doe 3 recognized that there were posters at the demonstration that stated “resistance is justified when people are occupied. #AlAqsaFlood.” One woman organizing the demonstration wore a mask and refused to state her name except to confirm that she was a Northwestern student. The woman then repeated the phrase “resistance is justified when people are occupied,” which John Doe 3 understood to be an acceptance of Hamas’ barbarism and call for further acts of violence against Jews. Mona Dugo, Northwestern’s Assistant Vice President for Wellness and Dean of Students for Northwestern, was present at this unauthorized demonstration. John Doe 3, approached Ms. Dugo to discuss the posters. Following the demonstration, John Doe 3 sent a picture of the poster to Ms. Dugo and filed a complaint with the OCR. On information and belief, no discipline was taken against any of the organizers or participants of this demonstration.

217. In addition to the violent anti-Jewish incidents John Doe 3 experienced firsthand, he was aware of many other incidents on campus which contributed to the overwhelming hostility he endured on campus.

218. As a result of Northwestern’s actions and inactions as alleged herein, John Doe 3 is anxious that a physical or verbal antisemitic incident can occur at any moment on campus and is afraid that other students and faculty members might identify him as Jewish and, as a result, target him because of his Jewish identity. When on campus, John Doe 3 purposefully avoids certain areas, facilities, and programs due to heightened antisemitism and hostility towards Jews in those locations. His ability to participate in his education at Northwestern has suffered, and he is frequently unable to focus, study, and perform his course work to the best of his ability.

219. Each of the Plaintiffs have thus suffered from the severe and pervasive harassment on campus, and also suffer from the institutional normalization of violence against Jews on and across Northwestern's campuses.

220. As President Schill testified to Congress, "If you don't feel safe, you cannot learn." Plaintiffs are also aware of the widespread calls for violence against Jews amongst Northwestern's faculty – both in Evanston and abroad. This culture of hatred – and one that is rooted in Hamas' call to kill Jews worldwide – has caused fear and anxiety in Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs reasonably fear for their safety on campus, given that Northwestern permits its faculty to support Hamas' violence, permits students to justify or call for the murder and rape of Jews in the name of "resistance" and "liberation" without consequence, and actively employs individuals who openly support Hamas and, upon information and belief, travel back and forth between Northwestern's Qatar and Evanston campuses.

Northwestern had actual knowledge of the antisemitic events on campus that affected Jewish students' ability to enjoy the benefits of the University.

221. The antisemitic events detailed above did not occur in secrecy. Rather, they were performed with impunity and brazenly for everyone to see. Indeed, many incidents were performed in the presence of Northwestern staff.

222. As noted above, Northwestern received at least 121 reports of antisemitic discrimination, intimidation, and harassment between October 7, 2023 and August 5, 2025 (at the latest). By contrast, Northwestern's OCR received a total of 268 reports of alleged discrimination and harassment in the 2022-2023 academic year, which was a

decrease of 53 from the 2021-2022 year.⁹³ Not only were 121 formal complaints relating to antisemitic incidents of harassment and discrimination, but Northwestern was aware of other antisemitic incidents where Jewish students were intimidated and/or dissuaded from filing formal complaints. In his congressional testimony, Mr. Schill admitted that “if we knew something happened and there was no student to complain about it, we couldn't bring a claim.”

223. Yet despite the shocking volume of formal (and informal) complaints of antisemitic incidents post-October 7th, not a single student has been disciplined, suspended, or expelled for antisemitic conduct on campus.

224. In his congressional testimony, former-President Michael Schill stated unequivocally that Northwestern “was attentive to” the antisemitism on campus.

225. The administration’s knowledge of the anti-Jewish harassment and discrimination on campus is also revealed by the fact that they issued several public statements acknowledging -- and nothing more -- the events on campus. For example, Northwestern’s October 26, 2023 statement explicitly states that “We have heard from community members who feel unsafe on our campuses..., making it difficult to focus on schoolwork, research, instruction or common tasks.”⁹⁴ Similarly, former-President Schill acknowledged in his April 30, 2024 video message that “Jewish students are feeling threatened and unsafe” and that he was aware of several antisemitic posters on campus.

⁹³ <https://www.northwestern.edu/civil-rights-office/docs/annual-report-22-23.pdf> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

⁹⁴ <https://www.northwestern.edu/leadership-notes/2023/civility-during-conflict.html> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

226. Northwestern's administration and employees also directly observed many of the antisemitic harassment and discrimination on campus. For example, Northwestern's Assistant Vice President for Wellness and Dean of Students for Northwestern, was present at the unauthorized May 1, 2024 "Strike for Gaza" demonstration, in which Hamas slogans were shouted at John Doe 3. Also in attendance for the "Strike for Gaza" demonstration were Jeny Amaya, a Graduate Student who taught Film Lab, and Nick Winters, Assistant Professor of Classics, both of which cancelled student classes so students could attend the unauthorized demonstration, and Professor Wendy Pearlman, who held a "Palestinian Resistance Discussion" at the demonstration. In its April 25, 2024 statement, Northwestern acknowledged that protests on campus since October 7th "have interfered with classes in nearby buildings, as well as chalkings, flyers, banners and chants that many have found hateful, intimidating, offensive and ***difficult to avoid.***"

227. In addition, Northwestern faculty and staff openly participated in and facilitated the antisemitic events described herein. Professor Steven Thrasher held mandatory classes at the encampment and stated that there were always at least four faculty members at the encampment who took four-hour shifts supporting the student protestors.⁹⁵ Professor Alithia Zamantakis openly admitted that she "participated in her university's encampment" and shared Instagram posts stating, "URGENT! BODIES NEEDED AT NORTHWESTERN SOLIDARITY ENCAMPMENT ASAP!" University staff also collected and distributed supplies to encampment participants. Faculty attended a

⁹⁵ <https://www.npr.org/2024/05/01/1248099600/campus-protests-faculty-arrests-letters-no-confidence-votes> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

demonstration against Hillel where fliers accused Northwestern of "funneling" Jewish students to Hillel in support of genocide. Staff turned off sprinklers to accommodate the encampment. Northwestern allowed demonstrators access to the Multicultural Center, directing them to non-public areas. The director of Jane Doe's program cancelled parts of her program because Northwestern could not guarantee the safety of those participating.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

228. Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated.

229. Plaintiffs seek to represent a class defined as: All Jewish students enrolled at Northwestern University in Evanston since the beginning of the 2023-2024 academic year, who did not participate in the encampment.

230. The following people are excluded from the Class: (1) any judge presiding over this action and members of their families; (2) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (3) persons whose claims in this matter have been finally adjudicated on the merits or otherwise released; and (4) the legal representatives, successors and assigns of any such excluded persons.

231. This action is properly maintained as a class action because the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; there are questions of law or fact that are common to the class; the claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the class claims; and the named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

232. There is a commonality of interest in the substantial questions of law and fact concerning the class – specifically, whether Northwestern has a de facto policy of relaxed enforcement of its codes of conduct and other relevant policies when it comes to discrimination, harassment, or protection of Jewish students; whether Northwestern

tolerated a severe and hostile environment for its Jewish students; and whether Plaintiff and the class are entitled to relief for Northwestern's Title VI violations as alleged.

233. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the class member interests, and there are no known conflicts of interest between Plaintiffs and the class members. The undersigned counsel has extensive experience litigating class actions and prosecuting cases of this nature.

234. The claims asserted by Plaintiffs are also typical of the class members they seek to represent, and common questions of law and fact predominate over any individual issues and class action treatment will allow a large number of similarly situated students to prosecute their breach of contract claims against Northwestern in an efficient manner and without the need for duplicitous litigation, which will waste individual and judicial resources.

COUNT I

(Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, *et seq.*)

235. Plaintiffs reincorporate and re-allege Paragraphs 1-234 as if fully stated here.

236. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

237. Northwestern receives financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Education and is therefore subject to suit under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

238. Plaintiffs are Jews and their status as Jews brings them within the scope of Title VI's protections.

239. Northwestern has an institutional, de facto, policy of ignoring its codes of conduct, and campus violence prevention plan, when it comes to the protection of Jewish students. Northwestern's decision to impose no disciplinary actions and to ignore its own

policies intended to protect students (including Jewish students), and failure to issue any—let alone progressively—punitive measures throughout the 2023 – 2025 school years was clearly unreasonable in light of the University’s prior actions, as well as the particularized risks to Jewish students faced on campus.

240. Northwestern permits its faculty to openly espouse threatening, violent, and discriminatory rhetoric against Jews, without consequence. With other forms of hatred, however, Northwestern takes a keener interest in applying its rules.

241. Northwestern does not equally enforce its policies applicable to faculty when Northwestern Qatar faculty openly promote or justify violence against Jews and support Hamas, an anti-Jewish hate group.

242. Northwestern does not equally enforce its policies applicable to faculty when Northwestern’s Illinois-based faculty openly promote or justify violence against Jews and support Hamas, an anti-Jewish hate group.

243. Northwestern does not equally apply its policies applicable to student organizations, student demonstrations, and student disruptions when those events cause and contribute to Jewish students’ reasonably fearing for their safety on campus; when the participants of those events assault and harass Jewish students; and when the participants of those events display openly antisemitic slogans and posters.

244. Instead, when it comes to Jews, Northwestern pays lip service to its policies, but does not actually enforce them.

245. Northwestern has a tacit (if not explicit) understanding with the Qatari government, and the leadership of Northwestern Qatar, that there is a tolerable quotient of Jew-hatred permitted, and Northwestern refuses to implement its policies to curb discriminatory conduct of its Northwestern Qatar faculty. This, combined with the role

Qatar plays globally, and via its state-sponsored media outlet Al Jazeera, results in an unsafe campus for Jews at Northwestern, especially given the back-and-forth flow of Northwestern Qatar's faculty and students with Northwestern's Illinois campuses.

246. Northwestern, either on its own, or in tandem with its Qatari counterparts, has made a decision to take a lax approach to instances of antisemitism at Northwestern's campus, whereas it takes a more stringent and unequivocal approach to other forms of discrimination and hatred.

247. Though Northwestern is free to lend its name to, and be in close relationship with, terror-supporting and Jew-hating institutions, it cannot do so while receiving federal funding at the same time.

248. By enforcing its policies in one manner when it comes to Jewish students, while enforcing them in another when it comes to all other protected classes, Northwestern is engaged in discrimination prohibited by Title VI.

249. This discrimination has deprived Plaintiff the benefits of Northwestern's program. Plaintiff has been made to suffer a harassing campus climate that is the direct result of Northwestern's lopsided and discriminatory policy enforcement.

250. In addition to (and as a consequence of) enacting this de facto discriminatory policy of turning a blind eye to calls for violence against, and harassment of Jews, Northwestern is also deliberately indifferent to severe and pervasive harassment of Plaintiff and other Jewish students on campus.

251. By tolerating the encampment for days on end (despite promises to the contrary that it would take swift action), Northwestern permitted a cloud of antisemitism to hover over the Evanston campus, and knowingly allowed Jewish students to suffer physical abuse and verbal harassment until it could reach an accord with the encampment

participants who, luckily for the Jewish students, agreed to Northwestern's terms (at least for the time being). Northwestern subjected its Jewish students to the whims of their antisemitic classmates, their safety predicated on the consent of those who openly justify violence against them.

252. Northwestern not only showed a deliberate indifference towards its Jewish students by allowing the encampment to continue for an extended period of time, it also did so by emboldening the harassers; Jewish students thus have no reasonable expectation that their campus won't erupt in antisemitic protest again and again, whenever the "demonstrators" so choose.

253. Northwestern's faculty and students (including student organizations) who fomented the hatred on campus have gone unpunished.

254. Northwestern's discriminatory policies and its resulting deliberate indifference to the harassment of Jewish students on campus also manifests through formal institutional channels. The Women's Center promotes violence against Jews and justifies Hamas' "resistance" (including the rape of Jewish women); the Northwestern library solicited the encampment participants to donate to its archives to create a time capsule of hate.

255. Instead of enforcing its formal institutional policies, Northwestern adheres to an unspoken but no less real institutional policy that the targeting and hatred of Jews is tolerable to a greater extent than other protected classes. Either the pervasive, institutional, and violent antisemitism – or the federal funding – must come to an end.

COUNT II

Breach of Contract

256. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference, as if fully set forth herein, the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-234 above.

257. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the Class.

258. Illinois law recognizes that the relationship between a student and a college is contractual in nature, and that the terms of student handbooks, university bulletins, regulations, codes, policies, and procedures become part of that contract.

259. When Plaintiffs applied to Northwestern, they reviewed the school's brochures, catalogues, and other online materials to evaluate whether they wanted to pay for and participate in Northwestern's programs. Through these documents and other published materials made available to Plaintiffs, Northwestern made express and implied contractual commitments concerning the campus environment, the opportunity for all students to learn and thrive, and protections against abuse, harassment, intimidation, and discrimination.

260. Specifically, Northwestern promised that campus conduct, generally, was governed by rules and that students were required to comply with those rules:

Remaining a member of the Northwestern community requires a student to continuously comply with policies governing students' academic progress, social interactions, and personal behavior. As stated in one of the policies below, 'Student status at Northwestern is a privilege earned by meeting standards of academic performance and adherence to policies governing conduct.'

Northwestern's Student Handbook, pg. 7
(<https://www.northwestern.edu/communitystandards/student-handbook/final-23-24-student-handbook.pdf>) (last accessed May 1, 2024) (link since disabled, current version

at: <https://www.northwestern.edu/communitystandards/student-handbook/student-handbook.pdf> (last accessed May 4, 2026).

261. The Student Handbook goes on to make clear that Northwestern would enforce its rules:

The exercise of individual rights by students and other members of the Northwestern community may not abridge the following rights, subject, in appropriate circumstances, to the University's right to take actions to protect the health and safety of the University community and its members, guests, and visitors...The right of the University to take actions reasonably determined to secure the rights outlined above and to assure that students, faculty, and staff may pursue their legitimate goals on University premises or at University functions without interference.

Student Handbook, pp. 7-8.

262. In the Student Handbook, Northwestern also shares its “policy statement” on “Student Rights and Responsibilities” by noting that:

At Northwestern University, life outside the classroom is an integral part of the educational process...The student's awareness of the extent of their rights and responsibilities is necessary to the exercise of responsibility within the University community. To further these objectives and in recognition of students as members of the Northwestern University community, the University has adopted the following statement of policy:

1. Northwestern University does not discriminate or permit discrimination by any member of its community against any individual on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin...in the educational programs or activities Northwestern operates...” [...]

12. Students are free to use campus facilities for meetings of recognized student organizations, subject to policies as to time and manner governing the facility. [...]

15. Students are free to assemble, to demonstrate, to communicate, and to protest, recognizing that freedom requires order, discipline, and responsibility and further

recognizing the right of all faculty and students to pursue their legitimate goals without interference. [...]

18. it is recognized that every member of the community has the responsibility to conduct themselves in a manner that does not violate the rights and freedoms of others and has the responsibility to recognize the principles within this statement of policy.

263. The Student Handbook also reflects that student organizations are subject to codes of conduct, too:

Groups of students and recognized student organizations (here after referred to as “organizations”) are expected to comply with all University policies, including the Student Code of Conduct and all additional policies pertaining to groups and organizations.

264. Northwestern also claimed to prohibit disorderly conduct, which its Student Handbook defines as including “engaging in behavior that objectively prevents a student or group of students from benefiting from a class, program or activity,” and “significantly interfering with the functioning of the University.” (Student Handbook, pg. 29).

265. In its policy on “Civility, Mutual Respect, and Unacceptability of Violence on Campus,” Northwestern indicates that it prohibits “demeaning, intimidating, threatening, or violent behaviors that affect the ability to learn, work, or live in the University environment.” “These behaviors,” Northwestern promises, “have no place in the academic community.” Northwestern promises that “a community member who has violated this policy is subject to disciplinary action...” (Student Handbook, pp. 78-79)

266. Northwestern’s Title IX policies also prohibit discrimination and harassment. Northwestern defines “Discrimination” as “treating someone differently because of their race, color, religion, creed, national origin, [and] ethnicity,” and “Harassment” as “verbal or physical conduct...that has the purpose or effect of

substantially interfering with, limiting, or depriving a member of the community from accessing or participating in the academic...environment [or] creating an academic...environment that a reasonable person would consider to be intimidating, hostile, or offensive.” (<https://www.northwestern.edu/civil-rights-office/policies-procedures/policies/policy-on-discrimination-harassment-and-sexual-misconduct.pdf>) (Northwestern’s Title IX policy).

267. Northwestern’s promises that the university is a place of civility that is governed in accordance with clearly communicated rules was an essential term of the contract between Plaintiffs, the Class, and Northwestern.

268. Students like Plaintiffs and the Class took and continue to take Northwestern’s commitments regarding the governance of the campus environment seriously when deciding whether to pay tuition, enroll in, and continue to attend Northwestern.

269. Northwestern specifically emphasizes its commitments in its publicly available materials and claims to pride itself on adhering to these values. By choosing Northwestern, Plaintiffs and the Class bargained for a campus environment that was governed by codes of conduct rather than mob rule. And they certainly did not bargain for the open, proud, and flowing efforts to intimidate Jewish community members on campus.

270. By allowing the above described uncontrolled, hateful, and dangerous conduct of students, faculty, staff, and unaffiliated persons on campus without consequence, Northwestern failed to provide a safe campus environment governed by its own rules and therefore breached its contractual obligations.

271. Moreover, by negotiating with and capitulating to SJP and other “Liberated Zone” demonstrators, Northwestern explicitly acknowledged its own breaches, and actively invited future lawless activities by rewarding them. In other words, Northwestern’s entire course of action has all but guaranteed that the harassment of Jews on campus will continue.

272. Northwestern also has breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in every contract with Plaintiffs, by unfairly applying Northwestern’s policies and procedures in a discriminatory way, improperly motivated by racial, national origin, shared ancestry, and ethnic characteristics bias. Northwestern exercised broad discretion in how—not if—it enforced the policies described above and protected Jewish students from a hostile educational environment, and Plaintiffs and the Class relied on Northwestern to exercise reasonable care when enforcing its own policies.

273. Had Plaintiffs known that Northwestern would utterly fail to enforce any of its policies, Plaintiffs would not have chosen to enroll at Northwestern or pay it tens of thousands of dollars in tuition.

274. Plaintiffs complied with their obligations under their agreement with Northwestern.

275. As a direct, proximate, and foreseeable consequence of the foregoing breaches, Plaintiffs have been injured and continue to sustain injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class, respectfully request that this Court enter an order:

A. Certify this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; declaring that Plaintiffs are proper Class representatives; and appointing Plaintiffs’ counsel as Class Counsel;

B. Declaring that Northwestern's actions, as alleged herein, violate Title VI and constitute a breach of contract;

C. Award Plaintiffs and Class members compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

D. Order appropriate injunctive and other equitable relief as necessary to protect the interests of the Class, including enjoining Northwestern from establishing, implementing, instituting, maintaining, or executing policies, practices, procedures, or protocols that penalize or discriminate against Jewish students including Plaintiffs, in any way, and ordering Northwestern to take all necessary and appropriate remedial and preventive measures, such as the following: (i) investigate and take disciplinary measures, including termination, against deans, administrators, professors, and other employees responsible for the antisemitic abuse permeating Northwestern leading to the intolerable hostile environment, whether because they engaged in or permitted it; (ii) investigate and take disciplinary measures, including suspension or expulsion, against students and student organizations who engaged in such conduct; (iii) implement required antisemitism training for Northwestern community members; (iv) investigate all instances of antisemitism that occurred during Northwestern's 2023-2024 academic year; and (v) appoint a neutral expert monitor to oversee compliance with this Court's order, Northwestern anti-discrimination and -harassment policies, and Title VI.

E. Award Plaintiffs the costs and disbursements of the action, along with reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;

F. Award pre- and post-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate; and

G. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs demand a jury trial on all claims so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

JANE DOE, JOHN DOE 1, JOHN DOE 2,
and JOHN DOE 3, individually and
on behalf of all other students similarly situated

By: /s/ Elizabeth A. Fegan

Elizabeth A. Fegan
(beth@feganscott.com)
FEGAN SCOTT LLC
150 S. Wacker Dr., 24th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
Ph: 312.741.1019
Fax: 312.264.0100

Jonathan D. Lindenfeld
(*Pro Hac Vice*)
(jonathan@feganscott.com)
FEGAN SCOTT LLC
305 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007
Ph: 332.216.2101
Fax: 917.725.9346

David Freydin
LAW OFFICES OF DAVID FREYDIN
8707 Skokie Blvd # 312
Skokie, IL 60077
Ph: 312.544.0365
Fax: 866.575.3765
david.freydin@freydinlaw.com

By: /s/ Steven P. Blonder

Steven P. Blonder
(sblonder@muchlaw.com)
Joanne A. Sarasin
(jsarasin@muchlaw.com)
Laura A. Elkayam
(lelkayam@muchlaw.com)
MUCH SHELIST, P.C.
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1800
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 521-2000