IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS FUND 600 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, #15180 Washington, DC 20003 *Plaintiff*, v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530 *Defendant*.	Civil Action No. 25-cv-02791 (CKK)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1. This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552. Plaintiff Democracy Defenders Fund ("Plaintiff" or "DDF") seeks injunctive and other appropriate relief for the processing and release of agency records requested by Plaintiff from Defendant the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ" or "Defendant") on July 22, 2025 and July 28, 2025. Specifically, DDF seeks information from DOJ's investigative files of Jeffrey Epstein ("Epstein") and Ghislaine Maxwell ("Maxwell") that mention President Trump or his home in Mar-a-Lago (the "Epstein Files" or the "Files").

2. The Epstein Files include information of great national significance, including information on President Trump's involvement (or lack thereof) with Epstein and Maxwell in relation to the sex crimes they perpetrated.

3. Despite months of fanfare and promises to release DOJ's investigative files, DOJ in July unceremoniously announced that it would not be releasing any of the files.

4. The outrageous nature of the alleged activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of DOJ to release the Files has caused the public much outrage and alarm.

5. The contents of the files also may elucidate any impact that mentions of President Trump in the Epstein Files may have had on DOJ's decision not to release these documents to the public.

6. On July 22, 2025, Plaintiff filed a Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") with the Criminal Division ("CRM") of DOJ seeking these files in order to provide the public information on actual or alleged federal government activity.

7. On July 28, 2025, Plaintiff filed an additional FOIA request with CRM seeking additional files related to communications and records concerning Epstein and Maxwell and actions taken by the Department of Justice to review and make decisions about whether to disseminate such files.

8. Plaintiff also filed a FOIA request identical to the July 28, 2025 request to CRM with the Office of the Attorney General ("OAG") and the Office of the Deputy Attorney General ("ODAG") on July 28, 2025.

9. To date, CRM has not responded to either of DDF's requests to that office.[1]

10. OAG and ODAG have not provided a substantive response to DDF's request to those offices. DOJ's Office of Information Policy ("OIP") has estimated that it will take OAG and ODAG 650 days to respond to DDF's request.

11. The purpose of FOIA was "to establish a general philosophy of full agency disclosure unless information is exempted under clearly delineated statutory language." S. Rep.

[1] DDF also sent similar FOIA requests to other DOJ offices, who have responded. DDF is currently working with the other offices to address the scope of its additional requests, as is warranted.

No. 813, 89th Cong., 1st Sess., 3 (1965). A goal of the statute was to ensure an "informed electorate," which was seen as "vital to the proper operations of a democracy." *Id.* at 2-3.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(a)(4)(B), (a)(6)(E)(iii) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 2201, and 2202.

13. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C.§ 1391(e).

14. Because Defendant has failed to comply with the applicable time-limit provisions of FOIA, DDF is deemed to have exhausted its administrative remedies pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and is now entitled to judicial review.

PARTIES

15. Plaintiff DDF is a nonpartisan, non-profit section 501(c)(3) organization committed to defending and strengthening democracy while ensuring that all Americans can freely exercise their fundamental civil rights by defending the rule of law, fighting corruption, and protecting elections. DDF strategically uses FOIA and public records requests to gather and analyze information to shine a light on abuses of power. DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about information of national significance. The organization is incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia.

16. Defendant Department of Justice is a department of the Executive Branch of the United States Government, and an "agency" of the federal government within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1). DOJ is headquartered in Washington, D.C. DOJ has possession, custody, and control of records that DDF seeks.

BACKGROUND

President Trump and the Epstein Files

17. The "Epstein Files" refers to the thousands of pages of documents related to two criminal investigations into sex trafficking by financier Jeffrey Epstein. [2] The content of the Files, including the people mentioned in them and whether they should be released to the public, has been a hotly contested topic of public conversation since Epstein's death in 2019.[3] Of particular interest has been the prospect of a "client list" naming Epstein's associates who were also involved in sex trafficking.[4]

18. In the run-up to the 2024 election, President Trump announced that he would release documents related to Epstein's investigation and his client list,[5] making repeated promises over the years to release the Epstein files.[6]

19. Following President Trump's inauguration, DOJ reportedly undertook a major review of the Epstein Files, with the apparent goal of releasing information about Epstein's associates.[7]

---

[2] Tracy Grant, *The Epstein Files: A Timeline*, BRITANNICA (Aug. 5, 2025), https://www.britannica.com/topic/The-Epstein-Files-A-Timeline.
[3] *Id.*
[4] Tom Geoghegan and James FitzGerald, *What do we know about the Epstein Files?*, BBC (Aug. 19, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/c20r07dg6kro.
[5] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, THE GUARDIAN (July 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.
[6] Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC NEWS (July 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.
[7] *Memo on Epstein Investigation*, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, FED. BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, (July 7, 2025), https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

20. However, and despite Attorney General Bondi's assertion[8] in February 2025 that she had the list of Epstein's clients on her desk, on July 7, 2025, the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), a component of DOJ, issued a memo stating that their "systematic review" of the Epstein Files "revealed no incriminating 'client list.'" *Memo on Epstein Investigation*, U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, (July 7, 2025), at 1, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline ("FBI Memo"). The FBI Memo also asserted that "no credible evidence found that Epstein blackmailed prominent individuals as part of his actions." *Id.*

21. The FBI concluded that no further disclosures from the Epstein Files were "appropriate or warranted," in part due to their containing sensitive information relating to Epstein's many victims, instead, sharing only "enhanced" footage of the area surrounding Epstein's cell at the time of his death. *Id.* at 1, 2.

22. It was recently reported that Attorney General Bondi orchestrated a frenzied, urgent review of the Epstein Files in May 2025, months after stating she had the Epstein client list on her desk. Attorney General Bondi reportedly tasked nearly 1,000 FBI officials—during 24-hour shifts—with combing through tens of thousands of pages of documents related to Epstein and "flag[ging]" any mentions of the President.[9] Attorney General Bondi also reportedly personally

[8] Haliey Chi-Sing, *Bondi says Epstein client list 'sitting on my desk right now,' and is reviewing JFK, MLK files,* FOX NEWS (Feb. 21, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/bondi-says-epstein-client-list-sitting-my-desk-right-now-reviewing-jfk-mlk-files.

[9] *See, e.g.*, Alexander Bolton, *Durbin: FBI agents were told to 'flag' Epstein records that mentioned Trump*, THE HILL (July 18, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/senate/5409002-trump-epstein-files-ag-bondi-patel-bongino-fbi-agents-durbin-wall-street-journal/. *See also* Senator Richard Durbin to Attorney General Bondi (July 18, 2025), https://www.judiciary.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/2025-07-18%20RJD%20Letter%20to%20AG%20Bondi%20re%20Epstein.pdf.

briefed the President on instances of his name appearing in documents related to Jeffrey Epstein in May.[10].

DDF'S First FOIA Request to DOJ's Criminal Division

23. On July 22, 2025, DDF sent a FOIA request to the Criminal Division of DOJ seeking to uncover the requested information in furtherance of the public interest. A true and correct copy of the request is attached as Exhibit A.[11]

24. Specifically, DDF requested the following records:

> 1. All records relating to the Department of Justice's investigation(s) of Jeffrey Epstein that mention, refer to, or include the name of "Donald J. Trump", "Donald Trump", "President Trump" or other variations of his name, "Mar-a-Lago," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or other cryptonym that is used to refer to Donald J. Trump or Mar-a-Lago, including records contained in its investigative files of Jeffrey Epstein.
>
> 2. All records relating to the Department of Justice's investigation(s) of Ghislaine Maxwell that mention, refer to, or include the name of "Donald J. Trump", "Donald Trump", "President Trump" or other variations of his name, "Mar-a-Lago," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or other cryptonym that is used to refer to Donald J. Trump or Mar-a-Lago, including records contained in its investigative files of Ghislaine Maxwell.

Exhibit A at 1.

25. DDF requested a fee waiver under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k). Exhibit A at 2–4.

26. DDF also requested expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and DOJ's implementing regulations at 28 C.F.R. §§ 16.5(e)(1)(ii), (iv), all of which require DOJ to inform the requester of whether expedited processing will be granted within ten days of receiving

---

[10] Maggie Haberman and Glenn Thrush, *Attorney General Told Trump His Name Appeared in Epstein Files*, THE NEW YORK TIMES (July 23, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/23/us/politics/trump-epstein-files-named.html.

[11] Letter from Norm Eisen, Executive Chair and Founder, *et al.*, to Christina Butler, Chief, FOIA/PA Unit, Criminal Division, DOJ (July 22, 2025) ("Exhibit A").

the request. *Id.* at 4–7. Pursuant to FOIA and DOJ requirements, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi), 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(3), DDF included the requisite, certified statement articulating the basis for its request for expedited processing. Exhibit A at 4–7.

27. Although DOJ's Office of Public Affairs ("OPA") was copied on the original request, out of an abundance of caution, on July 23, 2025, DDF contacted OPA via its online FOIA portal, referencing the FOIA request to CRM and reiterating the request in support of its fee waiver and expedited processing requests. A true and correct copy of the confirmation of that submission is attached as Exhibit B.[12]

28. On July 24, 2025, DDF emailed CRM's FOIA Officer requesting confirmation of receipt of the FOIA request, as well as a tracking number. A true and correct copy of that email is attached as Exhibit C.[13]

29. On July 24, 2025, CRM's FOIA/PA Unit sent DDF an email confirming receipt of the July 22, 2025 FOIA request and providing a tracking number of CRM-302309194. A true and correct copy of that email is attached as Exhibit D.[14]

30. FOIA requires federal agencies to respond to a request for records within twenty business days after receiving a request, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i), in the absence of specified "unusual circumstances," *id.* § 552(a)(6)(B). Agencies' responses to FOIA requests must inform the requester whether the agency will comply with such request and the reasons therefore. *Id.*

31. To date, DDF has not received any response from CRM indicating whether CRM will comply with the request or the timeframe for any CRM response, nor has CRM alleged any

[12] FOIA.gov confirmation (July 23, 2025) ("Exhibit B").
[13] Email from Gabriel Lezza, Senior Policy Strategist, to CRM FOIA Officer (July 24, 2025) ("Exhibit C").
[14] Email from CRM FOIA/PA Unit to Gabriel Lezza, Senior Policy Strategist, DDF (Aug. 12, 2025) ("Exhibit D").

"unusual circumstances" per 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(B) that would require an extension of the original August 19, 2025, deadline. CRM, OPA, or any other DOJ office have also not informed DDF of whether it will be granted expedited processing.

32. To date, CRM has failed to produce a single record in response to Plaintiff's request.

DDF'S Second FOIA Request to DOJ's Criminal Division

33. On July 28, 2025, DDF sent a FOIA request to CRM seeking the below requested information in furtherance of the public interest. A true and correct copy of the request is attached as Exhibit F.[15]

34. Specifically, DDF requested the following records:

1. Records of all communications, including, but not limited to, letters, faxes, emails, voicemails, direct messages, or any form of electronic message, regardless of whether maintained on an official device or account or a personal device or account, that refer to "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," that were sent from, received by, or transmitted to any Department of Justice official including, but not limited to, Attorney General Pamela Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, and FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino. This includes any attachments, including, but not limited to, meeting agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed by agency personnel. This request includes direct correspondence as well as communications facilitated by or through third parties.

2. Any communications, in any form, including audiovisual media such as digital video, VHS, DVD, or other similar format, discussing or explaining the process of how department officials should approach or address references to Donald Trump or Mar-a-lago appearing in any files related to the Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell cases, including any communications that describe how to flag, categorize, or memorialize mentions of President Trump. This request includes, but is not limited to, videos circulated on unclassified networks within the Department.

[15] Letter from Norm Eisen, Executive Chair and Founder, *et al.*, to Christina Butler, Chief, FOIA/PA Unit, Criminal Division, DOJ (July 28, 2025) ("Exhibit F").

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting.

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs.

Exhibit F at 1–2.

35. DDF requested a fee waiver under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k). Exhibit F at 2–3.

36. DDF also requested expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and DOJ's implementing regulations at 28 C.F.R. §§ 16(e)(1)(ii), (iv), all of which require DOJ to inform the requester of whether expedited processing will be granted within ten days of receiving the request. *Id*. at 5–7; 28 C.F.R. § 16.15(4). Pursuant to FOIA and DOJ requirements, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi), 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(3), DDF included the requisite, certified statement articulating the basis for its request for expedited processing. Exhibit F at 5–7.

37. On July 28, 2025, DDF received confirmation that its request was being sent to the Criminal Division. A true and correct copy of this confirmation is attached as Exhibit G.[16]

38. FOIA requires federal agencies to respond to a request for records within twenty business days after receiving a request, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i), in the absence of specified

[16] FOIA.gov confirmation (July 28, 2025) ("Exhibit G").

"unusual circumstances," *id.* § 552(a)(6)(B). Agencies' responses to FOIA requests must inform the requester whether the agency will comply with such request and the reasons therefore. *Id.*

39. To date, CRM has not produced a single record in response to Plaintiff's request, nor has CRM informed DDF of whether it will be granted expedited processing and a fee waiver.

DDF's FOIA Request to OAG and ODAG

40. On July 28, 2025, DDF sent a FOIA request to OAG and ODAG seeking to uncover the requested information in furtherance of the public interest. A true and correct copy of the request is attached as Exhibit H.[17]

41. Specifically, DDF requested the following records:

> 1. Records of all communications, including, but not limited to, letters, faxes, emails, voicemails, direct messages, or any form of electronic message, regardless of whether maintained on an official device or account or a personal device or account, that refer to "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," that were sent from, received by, or transmitted to any Department of Justice official including, but not limited to, Attorney General Pamela Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, and FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino. This includes any attachments, including, but not limited to, meeting agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed by agency personnel. This request includes direct correspondence as well as communications facilitated by or through third parties.
>
> 2. Any communications, in any form, including audiovisual media such as digital video, VHS, DVD, or other similar format, discussing or explaining the process of how department officials should approach or address references to Donald Trump or Mar-a-lago appearing in any files related to the Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell cases, including any communications that describe how to flag, categorize, or memorialize mentions of President Trump. This request includes, but

[17] Letter from Norm Eisen, Executive Chair and Founder, *et al.*, to Andrew Fiorillo, Acting Chief, Initial Request Staff, Office of the Attorney General and Office of the Deputy Attorney General (July 28, 2025) ("Exhibit H").

is not limited to, videos circulated on unclassified networks within the Department.

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs

Exhibit H at 1–2.

42. DDF requested a fee waiver under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A) and 28 C.F.R. § 16.10(k). Exhibit H at 2–5.

43. DDF also requested expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and DOJ's implementing regulations at 28 C.F.R. §§ 16.5(e)(1)(ii), (iv), all of which require OAG/ODAG to inform the requester of whether expedited processing will be granted within ten days of receiving the request. *Id.* at 5–7. Pursuant to FOIA and DOJ requirements, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi), 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(3), DDF included the requisite, certified statement articulating the basis for its request for expedited processing. *Id.* at 5–8.

44. On July 28, 2025, DDF concurrently submitted a request to OPA of DOJ seeking OPA's approval of expedited processing pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §§ 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and 16.5(e)(1)(iv). A true and correct copy of this request is attached as Exhibit I.[18]

45. On August 7, 2025, OIP's Acting Chief sent DDF a letter confirming receipt of the July 28, 2025 FOIA request, and denying DDF's request for expedited processing under 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii). A true and correct copy of that letter is attached as Exhibit J.[19]

46. OIP informed DDF that it forwarded DDF's request for expedited processing under 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv) to the Director of Public Affairs. Exhibit J at 1.

47. OIP informed DDF that DDF's request fell within "unusual circumstances" pursuant to U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(B)(i)-(iii) and would need to extend the time limit to respond to DDF's request beyond the ten additional days provided by the statute. *Id.*

48. OIP assigned DDF's request to the complex track and suggested that DDF narrow the scope of their request to try to speed up OIP's response process. *Id.* at 1–2.

49. On September 8, 2025, DDF emailed OIP requesting time to discuss narrowing the scope of the request. A true and correct copy of that email is attached as Exhibit K.[20]

50. On September 17, 2025, DDF and OIP had a phone call to discuss the FOIA request to OAG and ODAG. In that call, OIP informed DDF that OAG and ODAG had received numerous similar requests for information related to Epstein and Maxwell and that a search is being conducted for information responsive to all of those requests. For this reason, narrowing DDF's

[18] Letter from Norm Eisen, Executive Chair and Founder, *et al.*, to Director, Office of Public Affairs, DOJ (July 28, 2025) ("Exhibit I").
[19] Letter from Andrew Fiorillo, Acting Chief, Office of Information Policy DOJ (August 7, 2025) ("Exhibit J").
[20] Email from Taryn Wilgus Null, Senior Counsel, to OIP FOIA Officer (September 8, 2025) ("Exhibit K").

request would not change the search that OAG and ODAG are conducting since they are simultaneously searching for information responsive to numerous requests and DDF's request is no broader than other requests that have been sent. Later that day, OIP emailed DDF memorializing their telephone conversation. OIP estimated that the average response for a complex request like DDF's is 650 days. OIP also informed DDF that they should expect to receive a letter addressing the Office of Public Affairs' expedition determination under 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv). A true and correct copy of that email is attached as Exhibit L.[21]

51. To date, OIP (on behalf of OAG and ODAG) has not produced a single record in response to Plaintiff's request, nor has any DOJ office informed DDF of whether it will be granted a fee waiver.

52. FOIA requires federal agencies to respond to a request for records within twenty business days after receiving a request, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i), and up to an additional ten days when there are specified "unusual circumstances." *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(B)(i). Agencies' responses to FOIA requests must inform the requester whether the agency will comply with such a request and the reasons therefore. *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(A)(i)(I).

53. To date, the Director of Public Affairs has not issued an expedited processing decision to Plaintiff under 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv).

[21] Email from Eric Hotchkiss, Senior government Information Specialist & Acting FOIA Public Liaison, DOJ (September 17, 2025) ("Exhibit L").

The National Significance of President Trump's Role in the Epstein Files

54. The requested records relate to matters of significant national public interest concerning President Trump's interactions with Epstein and Maxwell in relation to the sex crimes that they perpetrated.

55. President Trump's interactions with Epstein and Maxwell, specifically any interactions that would merit mention in the Epstein files, raise serious ethical concerns regarding the federal government's decisions about whether to publicize the files. These concerns have been heightened by Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche's recent meetings with Maxwell in jail to question her about information that might be contained in the requested files.[22] President Trump's relationship with Epstein and Maxwell is not fully understood, nor is the role that that relationship played in the federal government's decision not to publicize the files.

56. The requested records would inform the public of any involvement that President Trump had with Epstein and Maxwell that was sufficient to merit a mention in the files, including in regard to any sex crimes that were perpetrated, and any crimes or related events that may have taken place at his home in Mar-a-Lago.

57. The requested records would also shed light on the federal government's decision not to publicize the files despite President Trump's 2024 campaign promises to do so. Specifically, the requested records may reveal whether any mention of President Trump or Mar-a-Lago in the files may have affected President Trump's decision not to publicly release the Epstein files after repeatedly pledging to do so.

[22] *See, e.g.*, Rebecca Beitsch, *Maxwell attorney after second meeting with DOJ: 'The truth will come out,'* THE HILL (July 25, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/administration/5420763-ghislaine-maxwell-interview-blanche/.

58. The contribution to the public's understanding that would be served by releasing the requested files is significant. The public has a right to know how the federal government is making decisions about whether and how to publicize information about serious crimes that were committed, and whether a friendship between the President and criminals Epstein and Maxwell has led the federal government to withhold information that would have otherwise been made public.

59. Given that DDF is a nonpartisan, non-profit section 501(c)(3) organization, the request is entirely for non-commercial purposes. DDF does not seek these records for the purposes of commercial, trade, or profit interests. DDF requests these records for educational purposes—the organization intends to study the records responsive to these requests and to share its results with the public through investigative analyses, publications, press releases, or other mechanisms, including on DDF's website at https://www.democracydefendersfund.org.

Expedient Disclosure of the Requested Records is Warranted

60. This is a case of obvious "urgency to inform the public concerning actual or alleged Federal Government activity," 5 U.S.C. § 522(6)(E)(v), 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii), that is a "matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence." 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv).

61. The urgency is clear even under DOJ's own standards for expedited processing: The existence of numerous articles published on a given subject can be helpful in establishing the requirement that there by an "urgency to inform the public on the topic." 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(3).

Over the past eight months alone, hundreds of news articles on this Administration's handling of the Epstein files have been published.[23]

62. High-profile actions by public officials further underscore this urgency. On August 5, 2025, a bi-partisan coalition of House members issued a subpoena requesting the same documents DDF has requested.[24] In early August, the President assembled the Vice President and high-level DOJ officials behind closed doors to discuss how to strategically address allegations

[23] *See, e.g.*, Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, FOX NEWS (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, FOX NEWS (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112; Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, THE NEW YORK TIMES (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, THE NEW YORK TIMES (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, THE NEW YORK TIMES (July 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html; Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* WALL ST. JOURNAL (July 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?g aa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* WALL ST. JOURNAL (July 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial Board, Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, WALL ST. JOURNAL (July 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa at; *Trumps' "Epstein Files" Problem*, WASHINGTON POST PODCAST (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, WASHINGTON POST (July 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, WASHINGTON POST (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//.

[24] Subpoena from Representative James Comer, Chairman, House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform to Attorney General Bondi (Aug. 5, 2025), https://oversight.house.gov/release/chairman-comer-subpoenas-bill-and-hillary-clinton-former-u-s-attorneys-general-and-fbi-directors-and-records-related-to-jeffrey-epstein/.

that he is named in the Epstein Files.[25] DOJ recently agreed to share with Congress documents from the Epstein investigation,[26] and has since released over 33,000 pages of materials.[27] It is speculated that this release only contains 1% of the documents DOJ maintains regarding Epstein, and many of the released files were claimed to already be in the public record.[28] Last month, the House Oversight Committee released several documents from Epstein's estate including a redacted copy of a 238-page "birthday book" given to Epstein in 2003 for his fiftieth birthday.[29] The book contains a letter allegedly signed by President Trump.[30] In the House of Representatives, there is a bipartisan effort to pass a resolution directing the Justice Department to release all of its investigative files.[31] Victims of Epstein's crimes met with lawmakers last month, and have called for the release of all of the files held by the Department.[32]

---

[25] Alayna Treene, Josh Campbell, Paula Reid, Kristen Holmes, & Kaitlan Collins, *Top Trump officials will discuss Epstein strategy at Wednesday dinner hosted by Vance*, CNN (Aug. 6, 2025), https://edition.cnn.com/2025/08/05/politics/trump-blanche-epstein-maxwell-vance-bondi-patel-meeting.

[26] Jeremy Roebuck, *DOJ to begin sharing Epstein files with Congress on Friday, House member says*, WASHINGTON POST (Aug. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/08/18/epstein-maxwell-documents-house-committee-subpoena-justice-bondi/.

[27] Emily Brooks, *Republicans release first batch of Epstein files*, THE HILL (Sept. 2, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/house/5482688-republicans-epstein-files-doj/.

[28] Francesca Chambers, Joey Garrison, Michael Loria & Josh Meyer, *First Bloc of Jeffery Epstein Documents Released by House; Epstein Victims Due to Testify*, USA TODAY (Sept. 2, 2025), https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2025/09/02/house-oversight-committee-release-epstein-files/85946963007/.

[29] Stuart Lau & Sarah Smith, *What's in the book of birthday message to Epstein*, BBC (Sept. 9, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cr5q68j2169o.

[30] Caitlin Yilek & Kaia Hubbard, *House panel releases more records from Jeffrey Epstein's estate, including letter allegedly written by Trump*, CNN (Sept. 8, 2025), https://www.cbsnews.com/live-updates/jeffrey-epstein-donald-trump-birthday-message-house-oversight/.

[31] Michael Gold, *Effort to Force a House Vote on Epstein Files Nears Success*, THE NEW YORK TIMES (Sept. 9, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/09/09/us/politics/epstein-house-vote.html.

[32] Stephen Groves, *Epstein Survivors Implore Congress to Act as Push For disclosure Builds*, AP NEWS (Sept. 3, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-survivors-congress-trump-5d980740245f935c994a90b8ce824642.

63. It is imperative that these records be released so that the public has an unadulterated and transparent understanding of what is in the files, and not just what high-level officials want the public to hear.

64. Indeed, in July, DOJ moved to unseal the grand jury transcripts from the Epstein indictment, citing "extensive public interest in the basis for the [FBI Memo's] conclusions" and noting that "transparency to the American public is of the utmost importance."[33]

65. DOJ's 2024 Annual FOIA Report indicates that CRM, as of the time of the report, had 1,024 pending FOIA requests, with the oldest outstanding dating back to 2015.[34] The Annual Report also indicates that it can take CRM 2,194 days, or more than *six* years to respond to requests. Exhibit E at 45.

66. Without expedited processing, CRM's response to DDF's FOIA requests is likely to take years, significantly undermining, if not eliminating, the purpose and value of the response.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

67. To date, CRM has failed to notify DDF of any determination regarding its FOIA requests, including the scope of any responsive records the agency intends to produce or withhold and the reasons for any withholdings within the statutory timeline. CRM has also failed to produce the requested records or demonstrate that the requested records are lawfully exempt from production within the statutory timeline. Additionally, CRM has failed to respond to DDF's requests for fee waivers within the statutory timeline.

---

[33] United States' Motion to Unseal Grand Jury Transcripts at 1, *United States v. Epstein*, No. 1:19-cr-490 (S.D.N.Y. July 18, 2025), ECF No. 61, https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/15887848/61/united-states-v-epstein/.

[34] DOJ, Annual Freedom of Information Act Report (2024) at 54, 58, https://www.justice.gov/oip/department-justice-annual-foia-report-fy-2024 ("Exhibit E").

68. OAG and ODAG have estimated that they will take 650 days to respond to DDF's requests. OIP denied DDF's request for expedited processing under 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii). Although OIP informed DDF on August 7, 2025 that it forwarded DDF's request for expedited processing under 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv) to the Director of Public Affairs, to date, the Director of Public Affairs has not responded to this request. Additionally, OAG and ODAG have failed to respond to DDF's request for fee waivers within the statutory timeline or produce the requested records.

69. Through CRM's, OAG's, and ODAG's failure to respond to DDF's FOIA requests within the time period required by law, DDF has exhausted its administrative remedies and now seeks immediate judicial review. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i).

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

Violation of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552
Failure to Conduct Adequate Searches for Responsive Records

1. Plaintiff reasserts and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if each was set forth herein.

2. DDF properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of the Defendant.

3. DOJ is an agency subject to and within the meaning of FOIA, and it must therefore make reasonable efforts to search for requested records.

4. DOJ has failed to promptly and adequately search for records responsive to DDF's FOIA requests.

5. DOJ's failure to conduct adequate searches for responsive records violates FOIA.

6. DDF has exhausted the applicable administrative remedies with respect to Defendant's wrongful failure to make reasonable efforts to search for the requested records.

7. DDF is therefore entitled to injunctive and declaratory relief requiring DOJ to promptly make reasonable efforts to search for records responsive to DDF's FOIA requests.

COUNT II

Violation of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552
Wrongful Withholding of Non-Exempt Responsive Records

8. Plaintiff reasserts and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if each was set forth herein.

9. DDF properly requested records within the possession, custody, and control of Defendant.

10. DOJ is an agency subject to and within the meaning of FOIA, and it must release non-exempt portions of records requested under FOIA.

11. DOJ is wrongfully withholding non-exempt agency records requested by DDF by failing to produce non-exempt records responsive to its FOIA requests.

12. DOJ is wrongfully withholding non-exempt agency records requested by DDF by failing to segregate exempt information in otherwise non-exempt records responsive to DDF's FOIA requests.

13. DOJ's failure to provide all non-exempt responsive records violates FOIA.

14. DDF has exhausted the applicable administrative remedies with respect to Defendants' wrongful failure to make reasonable efforts to search for the requested records.

15. DDF is therefore entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief requiring DOJ to promptly produce all non-exempt records responsive to its FOIA requests and provide indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption.

COUNT III

Violation of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)
Failure to Grant Requests for Waiver of Fees

16. Plaintiff reasserts and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if each was set forth herein.

17. DDF timely requested fee waivers for the production of responsive records because it intends to use these records to educate and inform the general public on the Epstein Files that mention President Trump and Mar-a-Lago, and DDF is a non-commercial requester.

18. DOJ failed to respond to DDF's requests for fee waiver within the statutory time frame.

19. DDF has exhausted the applicable administrative remedies with respect to Defendants' wrongful failure to make reasonable efforts to search for the requested records.

20. DDF is therefore entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief as to Plaintiff's requests for a fee waiver.

COUNT IV

Violation of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552
Failure to Grant Expedited Processing

21. Plaintiff reasserts and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above as if each was set forth herein.

22. By failing to grant Plaintiff's requests for expedited processing on Plaintiff's FOIA requests concerning matters of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence, 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv), and national urgent need to inform the public, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(iii), Defendant has violated FOIA.

RELIEF SOUGHT

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court:

(1) Order DOJ to conduct a search or searches reasonably calculated to uncover all records responsive to DDF's FOIA requests;

(2) Order DOJ to produce, within twenty days of the Court's order, or by such other date as the Court deems appropriate, any and all non-exempt records responsive to DDF's FOIA requests with indexes justifying the withholding of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption;

(3) Enjoin DOJ from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to DDF's FOIA requests;

(4) Order DOJ to grant DDF's fee waiver requests in connection with its FOIA requests;

(5) Provide for expedited proceedings in this action;

(6) Award DDF the costs of this proceeding, including reasonable attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and

(7) Grant DDF such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 8, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Taryn Wilgus Null
Taryn Wilgus Null (# 985724)
Norman L. Eisen (#435051)
Tianna Mays (# 90005882)
Pooja Chaudhuri (# 888314523)
Jacob Kovacs-Goodman (# 90032363)
DEMOCRACY DEFENDERS FUND
600 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, No. 15180
Washington, DC 20003
(202) 594-9958
Norman@democracydefenders.org
Taryn@democracydefenders.org
Tianna@democracydefenders.org
Pooja@democracydefenders.org
Jacob@democracydefenders.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT A

Democracy Defenders Fund

July 22, 2025

Christina Butler
Chief, FOIA/PA Unit
Criminal Division, Department of Justice
950 Constitution Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer,

Democracy Defenders Fund ("DDF") respectfully submits the following request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq*. and Department of Justice ("DOJ") regulations, 28 C.F.R. part 16.

Specifically, DDF requests all records from the inception of the Department of Justice's investigations of Jeffrey Epstein and/or Ghislaine Maxwell to when this request is processed, of:

1. All records relating to the Department of Justice's investigation(s) of Jeffrey Epstein that mention, refer to, or include the name of "Donald J. Trump", "Donald Trump", "President Trump" or other variations of his name, "Mar-a-Lago," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or other cryptonym that is used to refer to Donald J. Trump or Mar-a-Lago, including records contained in its investigative files of Jeffrey Epstein.

2. All records relating to the Department of Justice's investigation(s) of Ghislaine Maxwell that mention, refer to, or include the name of "Donald J. Trump", "Donald Trump", "President Trump" or other variations of his name, "Mar-a-Lago," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or other cryptonym that is used to refer to Donald J. Trump or Mar-a-Lago, including records contained in its investigative files of Ghislaine Maxwell.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, and anyone who was cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*.[1] If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[2] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[3] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

Fee Waiver Request

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A) and 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k), DDF requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request. Department regulations provide for a waiver of fees when the "disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[4] Courts have found that a fee waiver is appropriate when the disclosure will "(1) shed light on 'the operations or activities of the government'; (2) be 'likely to contribute significantly to public understanding' of those operations or activities; and (3) not be 'primarily in the commercial interest of the requester'".[5] DDF's request meets all elements.

First, the disclosure will shed light on the Department of Justice's possession, retention, handling, and disposition of records concerning the Department's investigations into alleged criminal activity by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell involving illegal sexual activity by Epstein with underage girls, including investigations conducted by the Criminal Division, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York and the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), including any records the Department may have that name President Trump in relation to these matters. Even though President Trump had a prior long-standing friendship with Epstein before it ended in around 2004,[6] he promised repeatedly during his 2024 Presidential campaign that he would release these

---

[1] 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
[2] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[3] *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
[4] 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(1).
[5] *Cause of Action v. FTC*, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115 (D.C. Cir. 2015).
[6] Luke Broadwater, *A Timeline of What We Know About Trump and Epstein*, New York Times (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/17/us/politics/timeline-trump-epstein.html.

files.[7] But, the fact that the Department has yet to release the investigation files has outraged many of Trump's supporters.[8] The existence and extent of such records is also currently a question of immense media speculation.[9]

Second, disclosure of the information will significantly aid the public's understanding of the Department's handling of records pertaining to investigations involving Jeffery Epstein. President Trump's failure to release the Epstein files has undermined public confidence in the Department's handling of these records because of repeated promises he made to his supporters during the campaign that he would declassify the Epstein files and release the "Epstein client list".[10] The Department's handling of the matter has further undermined public confidence by making contradictory statements related to the existence of an Epstein "client list".[11] For instance, in February 2025, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with FOX that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[12] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "This systematic review revealed no incriminating "client list."[13] This has caused immense confusion amongst the public, which has been the subject of ongoing news media coverage.[14] Adding to this confusion, the President recently asked the Department of Justice to release grand jury documents related to the prosecution of Jefferey Epstein, documents that likely will only constitute a small portion of the documents held by the Department.[15] Release of the documents requested is therefore necessary to aid the public in its understanding of the Department's handling of the records and to ensure that the public has access to the full record of relevant documents concerning this investigation.

---

[7] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga

[8] Luke Broadwater and Erica L. Green, *Trump's New Strategy on Epstein Fallout: Blame the Democrats*, *New York Times* (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/16/us/politics/trump-epstein-attacks-supporters.html.

[9] *See* Devlin Barrett, *What to Know About the Epstein Files, a Perfect Recipe for Conspiracy Theories*, New York Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-files-trump.html.

[10] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.

[11] *See*, *e.g.*, Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC News (Jul. 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.

[12] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.

[13] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

[14] *See*, *e.g.*, Mike Wendling, *Why the Epstein case looms large in MAGA world*, BBC (Jul. 18, 2025) https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cy8ge16d2y3o; Jason Lange, *Trump faces backlash as 69% believe Epstein details concealed, Reuters/Ipsos poll finds,* Reuters (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-faces-backlash-69-believe-epstein-details-concealed-reutersipsos-poll-2025-07-17/.

[15] Larry Neumesiter, *Request to unseal Epstein grand jury transcripts likely to disappoint, ex-prosecutors say*, AP News (Jan. 20, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/trump-jeffrey-epstein-grand-jury-justice-department-4634e5afceb8b1bb43f23558dfbb1d6c.

Third, the purpose of the disclosures is not "primarily in the commercial interest of" DDF. DDF is a nonprofit organization established under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. DDF's core mission is to defend the rule of law, fight corruption, and protect elections using a variety of tools including publication of information concerning ongoing activities of the government. DDF regularly speaks on issues related to government activities through traditional broadcast media, podcasts, and issuance of op-eds.[16] DDF maintains a dedicated website where it provides information related to its activities which can be accessed by the public.[17] The purpose of the disclosure is to inform the public on activities of the government.

In addition, DDF requests waiver of fees related to processing this request as a representative of the news media pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). DDF routinely collects information of "potential interest to a segment of the public" and "uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."[18] As noted above, DDF maintains a dedicated webpage for informing the public about activities related to government activity through a variety of media including press releases, public reports, and op-eds. DDF experts routinely engage with the public and with other members of the new media to publicize important information, including information related to government activities. Pursuant to existing case law, DDF clearly meets the criteria for a fee waiver under section 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

Request for Expedited Processing

In addition, DDF also provides the following amended supplemental information concerning its request for expedited processing:

DDF has requested expedition of this request because:

1. **The request concerns an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, and DDF is primarily engaged in disseminating information concerning that activity.**

Department regulations provide for expedited processing of any request involving an "urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, if made by a person who is primarily engaged in disseminating information."[19] The outrageous nature of the alleged

---

[16] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* Norman Eisen, Virginia Canter, and Richard Painter, *A Plane from Qatar? C'Mon, Man!*, New York Times (May 14, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/opinion/trump-plane-qatar-crypto.html.

[17] *See* https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/news-resources.

[18] *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dept. of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

[19] 5 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii).

activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of the Department to release the files, after both the President and his Attorney General promised to do so, has caused his supporters, the media and the general public much outrage and alarm. The failure to release the documents raises questions about whether President Trump's name may appear in the files due to his past relationship with Epstein,[20] and if so, whether that possibility may be hindering public disclosure. Because this topic is of significant public importance–and the President already appears to be evading disclosure through many public comments[21]–under FOIA's expedited processing provisions, this request is of the utmost urgency and any delay will deprive the public of timely information necessary to evaluate the government's handling of records pertaining to these investigations.

DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about the findings of this request.[22] Through a combination of investigative reports, in-depth analyses, press releases, and dynamic engagement on multiple digital and traditional media channels, DDF ensures that critical information reaches a wide spectrum of stakeholders, from policymakers and advocacy groups to individual citizens seeking accountability in government operations.[23] This multifaceted approach amplifies the impact of the information obtained and facilitates informed public discourse on pressing issues.

DDF's communications infrastructure is robust and designed to maximize reach and engagements. With a significant presence across social media platforms, and targeted outreach initiatives, DDF has built a network capable of rapidly disseminating accurate and detailed information regarding government activities. By making these findings relatable and understandable, DDF not only informs but empowers the public to participate meaningfully in conversations about governance and accountability. The necessity of expediting the production of responsive records is underscored by DDF's proven capacity to reach a wide audience and spark meaningful public dialogue.

---

[20] Mike Baker and Michael S. Schmidt, *An Accuser's Story Suggests How Trump Might Appear in the Epstein Files*, The New York Times (July. 20, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/epstein-employee-trump-investigation.html.

[21] *See*, *e.g.*, Stephen Fowler, *Trump tells supporters not to 'waste time' on Epstein files. They're not happy*, NPR (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/07/14/nx-s1-5467151/trump-epstein-files-doj-fbi-maga.

[22] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* David A. Fahrenthold, *Two Watchdogs Were Rebuffed From Joining Trump's Cost-Cutting Effort*, The New York Times (Jan. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/16/us/doge-trump-watchdogs.html; *see also* Stephen M. Lepore, *DOGE'S brutal response to former Obama and Clinton aides attempting to join Elon Musk's cost cutting machine*, Daily Mail (Jan. 17, 2025, 1:31 EST), https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14295275/DOGE-brutal-response-former-Obama-Clinton-aides-Elon-Musk.html; *see also* Travis Gettys, *'Too many to enumerate': Watchdogs open probe into Musk's alleged 'conflicts of interest'*, Rawstory (Dec. 27, 2024), https://www.rawstory.com/musk-doge-ethics/.

[23] Democracy Defenders Fund, https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/.

2. The request involves a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest involving questions about the President's integrity which affect public confidence.

DDF requests expedited processing as well under 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv), which provides that the Department will process requests on an expedited basis if it involves "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence."

There is no question that the Department's handling of the Epstein files has generated exceptional widespread media interest. For example, news and media outlets, including Fox News,[24] New York Times,[25] Wall Street Journal,[26] and Washington Post,[27] have all released multiple stories related to the failure of the Department to release the Epstein files. Influential podcasters like Joe Rogan have not only attacked FBI officials for withholding the files, but specifically noted how "cynical" people have become as a result of the Department's failure to release them.[28]

The extensive media coverage serves as both evidence of widespread concern and a stark reminder of what's missing. The requested documents are essential for ensuring the public remains informed. As noted, DDF has a proven ability to reach broad and diverse audiences, which positions this organization as a key disseminator of this missing information. These

---

[24] Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, Fox News (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, Fox News (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375808075112; https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112.

[25] Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, New York Times (Jul. 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html.

[26] Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?gaa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, Wall St. Journal (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa_at.

[27] *Trump's "Epstein Files" Problem*, Washington Post Podcast (Jul. 15, 2025) https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, Washington Post (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, Washington Post (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//

[28] Eboni Boykin-Patterson, *Joe Rogan Rips Patel and Bongino for Letting Him Down on Epstein*, The Daily Beast (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.thedailybeast.com/joe-rogan-rips-kash-patel-and-dan-bongino-for-letting-him-down-on-epstein/.

insights are especially critical given our extensive knowledge of government corruption and malfeasance.

Immediate compliance with this FOIA request is necessary not only to uphold legal obligations under FOIA but also to address the growing demand for transparency on an issue of national importance that has captured significant national attention. The government's credibility depends on its willingness to timely meet this demand and to allow the public to scrutinize its actions through the lens of complete and accurate information.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

CC: Director of Public Affairs, Office of Public Affairs
United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530

Guidance Regarding the Search and Processing of Requested Records:

In connection with this request for records, we provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

The request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to the request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email. I have included potential domain names where possible to aid your search.

Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, out of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please provide the requested documents in the following format: In PDF format, electronically searchable wherever possible;

- Each paper record in a separately saved file;
- ‘Parent-child’ relationships maintained, meaning that the requester must be able to identify the attachments with emails;
- Any data records in native format (i.e. Excel spreadsheets in Excel);
- Emails should include BCC and any other hidden fields; with any other metadata preserved.

For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars - the request is for the production of any calendar, paper or electronic, whether on government issued or personal devices, used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business. The search should include any calendars associated with an agency official's individual email account, as well as any official calendars maintained for the official, including by his or her administrative assistant or scheduler.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[29] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[30] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

In the event my fees are not waived, we agree to pay reasonable duplication fees in an amount not to exceed $100, but I request to be notified before processing incurs expenses in excess of that amount.

If you have any questions about this clarification or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

---

[29] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[30] *See* Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

EXHIBIT B

An official website of the United States government
Here's how you know

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT of JUSTICE

FOIA.gov

MENU

Thank you for visiting FOIA.gov, the government's central website for FOIA. We'll continue to make improvements to the site and look forward to your input. Please submit feedback to National.FOIAPortal@usdoj.gov.

Submission ID: 2289646

Success!

Your FOIA request has been created and is being sent to the Office of Public Affairs.

You'll hear back from the agency confirming receipt in the coming weeks using the contact information you provided. If you have questions about your request, feel free to reach out to the agency FOIA personnel using the information provided below.

Contact the agency

FOIA Requester Service Center

202-514-3642

Eric Hotchkiss, Acting FOIA Public Liaison

202-514-3642

Andrew Fiorillo, Acting Chief, Initial Request Staff, 6th Floor
441 G St, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Request summary

Request submitted on**July 23, 2025**.

The confirmation ID for your request is **2289646**.

The confirmation ID is only for identifying your request on FOIA.gov and acts as a receipt to show that you submitted a request using FOIA.gov. This number does not replace the information you'll receive from the agency to track your request. In case there is an issue submitting your request to the agency you selected, you can use this number to help.

Contact information

Name
Gabriel Lezra

Mailing address
600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E.
Washington, DC 20003
United States

Phone number
9176752509

Company/organization
Democracy Defenders Fund

Email
gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org

Your request

This is a request in support of our fee waiver and expedited processing requests set forth in the attached request previously sent to the EOUSA, Tracking Number EOUSA-2025-005055, and the FBI, and Department's Criminal Division and are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. We do not have official tracking numbers for

our requests to the FBI and Criminal Division, but the confirmation numbers are as follows: FBI - 2286601; and Criminal Division: 2286566.

Additional information

EOUSA Copy of Crim. Epstein Records Request. DDF (July 21 25) (1).pdf

Fees

What type of requester are you?
media

Fee waiver
yes

Fee waiver justification
Support for fee waiver is set forth in the attached request previously sent to the EOUSA, Tracking Number EOUSA-2025-0050505, and is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. We sent identical requests to the FBI, and the Department's Criminal Division, which are also incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

The amount of money you're willing to pay in fees, if any
0

Request expedited processing

Expedited processing
yes

Justification for expedited processing
Support for expedited processing is set forth in the attached request previously sent to the EOUSA, Tracking Number EOUSA-2025-0050505, and is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. We sent identical requests to the FBI, and the Department's Criminal Division, which are also incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

FOIA.gov

CONTACT

Office of Information Policy (OIP)
U.S. Department of Justice
441 G St, NW, 6th Floor
Washington, DC 20530
E-mail: National.FOIAPortal@usdoj.gov

Hero image credit CC3.0

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS
DEVELOPER RESOURCES
AGENCY API SPEC
FOIA CONTACT DOWNLOAD
FOIA DATASET DOWNLOAD
ACCESSIBILITY
PRIVACY POLICY
POLICIES & DISCLAIMERS
JUSTICE.GOV
USA.GOV

EXHIBIT C

Gmail

Riley Pynnonen <riley@statedemocracydefenders.org>

Please Confirm FOIA Receipt

1 message

Gabe Lezra <gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org> Thu, Jul 24, 2025 at 4:10 PM
To: crm.foia@usdoj.gov
Bcc: riley@statedemocracydefenders.org

Dear FOIA Officer-

On January 22, 2025, Democracy Defenders Fund submitted a FOIA request to your office via the government-wide FOIA portal, confirmation #2286566. The request, and the FOIA portal receipt, are reattached below. To date, we have not received a response acknowledging receipt of this FOIA. We kindly request that you please confirm your receipt of this FOIA request and provide the FOIA tracking number as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

--

Democracy Defenders Action

Gabriel (Gabe) Lezra (he/him/el)
Democracy Defenders Action (c4)
Email: gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org

2 attachments

DOJ Copy of Crim. Epstein Records Request. DDF (July 21 25) (2).pdf
490K

FOIA.gov - Freedom of Information Act_ Create a request (3).pdf
215K

EXHIBIT D

Gmail

Riley Pynnonen <riley@statedemocracydefenders.org>

Please Confirm FOIA Receipt

Gabe Lezra <gabe@democracydefenders.org> Tue, Aug 12, 2025 at 12:24 PM
To: Riley Pynnonen <riley@statedemocracydefenders.org>

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **FOIA, CRM (CRM)** <CRM.FOIA@usdoj.gov>
Date: Thu, Jul 24, 2025 at 4:20 PM
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Please Confirm FOIA Receipt
To: gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org <gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org>

Mr. Lezra,

The Criminal Division is in receipt of your request dated July 22, 2025, and it is assigned case number CRM-302309194.

Sincerely,

FOIA/PA Unit

Criminal Division

U.S. Department of Justice

From: Gabe Lezra <gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2025 4:10 PM

To: FOIA, CRM (CRM) <CRM.FOIA@CRM.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please Confirm FOIA Receipt

Dear FOIA Officer-

On January 22, 2025, Democracy Defenders Fund submitted a FOIA request to your office via the government-wide FOIA portal, confirmation #2286566. The request, and the FOIA portal receipt, are reattached below. To date, we have not received a response acknowledging receipt of this FOIA. We kindly request that you please confirm your receipt of this FOIA request and provide the FOIA tracking number as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

--

Gabriel (Gabe) Lezra (he/him/el)
Democracy Defenders Action (c4)
Email: gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org

--

Gabriel (Gabe) Lezra (he/him/el)
Senior Policy Strategist
Democracy Defenders Action (c4)
Email: gabe@sdemocracydefenders.org

EXHIBIT E

U.S. Department of JUSTICE

Fiscal Year 2024 Annual Freedom of Information Act Report



March 6, 2025

Office of Information Policy

I. BASIC INFORMATION REGARDING REPORT

1. *Name, title, address, and telephone number of person(s) to be contacted with questions about the Report.*

   Lindsay Steel
   Chief, FOIA Compliance Staff
   Office of Information Policy
   United States Department of Justice
   441 G Street, NW, 6th Floor
   Washington, DC 20530-0001
   (202) 514-3642
   Lindsay.Steel@usdoj.gov

2. *Provide an electronic link for access to the Report on the agency Web site.*

   http://www.justice.gov/oip/reports-1#s1

3. *Explain how to obtain a copy of the Report in paper form.*

   Contact Lindsay Steel at the address, telephone number, or e-mail listed above.

II. MAKING A FOIA REQUEST

1. *Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all individual agency components that receive FOIA requests.*

   For the names, addresses, and telephone numbers, visit: https://www.foia.gov/agency-search.html.

2. *Brief description of why some requests are not granted and an overview of certain general categories of the agency's records to which the FOIA exemptions apply.*

   The Department of Justice is the Executive Branch's principal law enforcement authority. As a result, many of the records maintained by the Department of Justice involve law enforcement matters. The Department of Justice invokes the FOIA's two privacy exemptions, more than the rest of the other exemptions combined, to prevent unwarranted invasions of the personal privacy of individuals mentioned in law enforcement records. The Department of Justice also protects the identities of confidential informants who provide information to investigators, as well as details about investigative techniques and procedures. In the general litigation context, the Department of Justice protects information covered by the civil discovery privileges.

3. *Electronic link to agency FOIA regulations.*

   The Department of Justice FOIA Regulations contained in 28 C.F.R. §§ 16.1-16.12 (2023) can be accessed at http://www.justice.gov/oip/doj-foia-regulations.

III. DEFINITIONS, EXEMPTIONS, AND COMPONENT ACRONYMS

1. *Definitions of terms used in this Report:*

    a. **Administrative Appeal** – a request to a federal agency asking that it review at a higher administrative level a FOIA determination made by the agency at the initial request level.

    b. **Average Number** – the number obtained by dividing the sum of a group of numbers by the quantity of numbers in the group. For example, of 3, 7, and 14, the average number is 8.

    c. **Backlog** – the number of requests or administrative appeals that are pending at an agency at the end of the fiscal year that are beyond the statutory time period for a response.

    d. **Component** – for agencies that process requests on a decentralized basis, a "component" is an entity, also sometimes referred to as an Office, Division, Bureau, Center, or Directorate, within the agency that processes FOIA requests. The FOIA now requires that agencies include in their Annual FOIA Report data for both the agency overall and for each principal component of the agency.

    e. **Consultation** – the procedure whereby the agency responding to a FOIA request first forwards a record to another agency or component within the same agency for its review because that other agency has an interest in the document. Once the agency in receipt of the consultation finishes its review of the record, it responds back to the agency or component within the same agency that forwarded it. That agency, in turn, will then respond to the FOIA requester.

    f. **Exemption 3 Statute** – a federal statute that exempts information from disclosure and which the agency relies on to withhold information under subsection (b)(3) of the FOIA.

    g. **FOIA Request** – a FOIA request is generally a request to a federal agency for access to records concerning another person (i.e., a "third-party" request), or concerning an organization, or a particular topic of interest. FOIA requests also include requests made by requesters seeking records concerning themselves (i.e., "first-party" requests)

when those requesters are not subject to the Privacy Act, such as non-U.S. citizens. Moreover, because all first-party requesters should be afforded the benefit of both the access provisions of the FOIA as well as those of the Privacy Act, FOIA requests also include any first-party requests where an agency determines that it must search beyond its Privacy Act "systems of records" or where a Privacy Act exemption applies, and the agency looks to FOIA to afford the greatest possible access. All requests which require the agency to utilize the FOIA in responding to the requester are included in this Report. Additionally, a FOIA request includes records referred to the agency for processing and direct response to the requester. It does not, however, include records for which the agency has received a consultation from another agency. (Consultations are reported separately in Section XII of this Report.)

h. **Full Grant** – an agency decision to disclose all records in full in response to a FOIA request.

i. **Full Denial** – an agency decision not to release any records in response to a FOIA request because the records are exempt in their entireties under one or more of the FOIA exemptions, or because of a procedural reason, such as when no records could be located.

j. **Median Number** – the middle, not average, number. For example, of 3, 7, and 14, the median number is 7.

k. **Multi-Track Processing** – a system in which simple requests requiring relatively minimal review are placed in one processing track and more voluminous and complex requests are placed in one or more other tracks. Requests granted expedited processing are placed in yet another track. Requests in each track are processed on a first in/first out basis.

    i. **Expedited Processing** – an agency will process a FOIA request on an expedited basis when a requester satisfies the requirements for expedited processing as set forth in the statute and in agency regulations.

    ii. **Simple Request** – a FOIA request that an agency using multi-track processing places in its fastest (non-expedited) track based on the low volume and/or simplicity of the records requested.

iii. **Complex Request** – a FOIA request that an agency using multi-track processing places in a slower track based on the high volume and/or complexity of the records requested.

l. **Partial Grant/Partial Denial** – in response to a FOIA request, an agency decision to disclose portions of the records and to withhold other portions that are exempt under the FOIA, or to otherwise deny a portion of the request for a procedural reason.

m. **Pending Request or Pending Administrative Appeal** – a request or administrative appeal for which an agency has not taken final action in all respects.

n. **Perfected Request** – a request for records which reasonably describes such records and is made in accordance with published rules stating the time, place, fees (if any) and procedures to be followed.

o. **Processed Request or Processed Administrative Appeal** – a request or administrative appeal for which an agency has taken final action in all respects.

p. **Range in Number of Days** – the lowest and highest number of days to process requests or administrative appeals.

q. **Time Limits** – the time period in the statute for an agency to respond to a FOIA request (ordinarily twenty working days from receipt of a perfected FOIA request).

2. *Descriptions of the nine FOIA exemptions:*

a. **Exemption 1**: classified national defense and foreign relations information

b. **Exemption 2**: information that is related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency

c. Exemption 3: information that is prohibited from disclosure by another federal law

d. Exemption 4: trade secrets and other confidential business information

e. Exemption 5: inter-agency or intra-agency communications that are protected by legal privileges

f. Exemption 6: information involving matters of personal privacy

g. Exemption 7: records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, to the extent that the production of those records (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual

h. Exemption 8: information relating to the supervision of financial institutions

i. Exemption 9: geological information on wells

3. Agency Component Abbreviations

Component Abbreviation	Component Name
ATJ	Office for Access to Justice
Antitrust	Antitrust Division
ATF	Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives
BOP	Federal Bureau of Prisons
Civil	Civil Division
Civil Rights	Civil Rights Division
COPS	Office of Community Oriented Policing Services
Criminal	Criminal Division
CRS	Community Relations Service
DEA	Drug Enforcement Administration
ENRD	Environment and Natural Resources Division
EOIR	Executive Office for Immigration Review
EOUSA	Executive Office for United States Attorneys
EOUST	Executive Office for United States Trustees
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigation
FCSC	Foreign Claims Settlement Commission
JMD	Justice Management Division
NSD	National Security Division
OCDETF	Executive Office for Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces
OIG	Office of the Inspector General
OIP	Office of Information Policy
OJP	Office of Justice Programs
OLC	Office of Legal Counsel

OPR	Office of Professional Responsibility
OSG	Office of the Solicitor General
OVW	Office on Violence Against Women
PARDON	Office of the Pardon Attorney
PRAO	Professional Responsibility Advisory Office
Tax	Tax Division
USMS	United States Marshals Service
USNCB	United States National Central Bureau INTERPOL
USPC	United States Parole Commission

IV. EXEMPTION 3 STATUTES

Statute	Type of Information Withheld	Case Citation	Agency / Component	Number of Times Relied upon by Agency / Component	Total Number of Times Relied upon by Agency Overall
5 U.S.C. app. § 107(a)(2)	Confidential financial disclosure report pertaining to certain government employees	Meyerhoff v. EPA, 958 F.2d 1498, 1500- 02 (9th Cir. 1992); Boyd v. Exec. Off. for U.S. Attys, 161 F. Supp. 3d 1, 7 (D.D.C. 2015); Seife v. NIH, 874 F. Supp. 2d 248, 254 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).	Criminal	2	3
			EOUST	1	
10 U.S.C. § 130(a)	Certain "technical data with military or space application in the possession of, or under the control of, the Department of Defense"	Newport Aeronautical Sales v. Dep't of the Air Force, 684 F.3d 160, 167-68 (D.C. Cir. 2012); Chenkin v. Dep't of the Army, No. 93-494, 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20907, at *8 (M.D. Pa. Jan. 14, 1994), aff'd, 61 F.3d 894 (3d Cir. 1995) (unpublished table decision).	FBI	4	5
			OIP	1	
10 U.S.C. § 130b	Personally identifiable information pertaining to	Freedom Watch, Inc. v. NSA, 197 F. Supp. 3d 165,	FBI	2	2

	"any member of the armed forces assigned to an overseas unit, a sensitive unit, or a routinely deployable unit" and "any employee of the Department of Defense or of the Coast Guard whose duty station is with any such unit"	174 (D.D.C. 2016); Hiken v. DOD, 521 F. Supp. 2d 1047, 1062 (N.D. Cal. 2007); O'Keefe v. DOD, 463 F. Supp. 2d 317, 325 (E.D.N.Y. 2006); Windel v. United States, No. A02-306, 2005 WL 846206, at *2 (D. Alaska Apr. 11, 2005).			
10 U.S.C. § 130c	Certain "sensitive information of foreign governments" and certain international organizations	ACLU v. DOD, 389 F. Supp. 2d 547, 553-54 (S.D.N.Y. 2005); Nat'l Inst. of Mil. Just. v. DOD, 404 F. Supp. 2d 325, 335-37 (D.D.C. 2005), aff'd on other grounds, 512 F.3d 677 (D.C. Cir. 2008); Gerstein v. DOD, No. 03-5193, slip op. at 8 (N.D. Ca. Dec. 21, 2004).	FBI	2	2
10 U.S.C. § 424	Organization or any function of, and certain information pertaining to, employees of the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, and the National	Hamdan v. DOJ, 797 F.3d 759, 776 (9th Cir. 2015); Freedom Watch, Inc. v. NSA, 197 F. Supp. 3d 165, 174 (D.D.C. 2016); Wickwire Gavin, P.C. v. Def. Intel. Agency, 330 F. Supp. 2d	FBI	4	4

	Geospatial-Intelligence Agency	592, 602 (E.D. Va. 2004).			
10 U.S.C. § 1102(f)	Medical quality assurance records created by or for the Department of Defense as part of a medical quality assurance program	Goodrich v. Dep't of the Air Force, 404 F. Supp. 2d 48, 50-51 (D.D.C. 2005); Dayton Newspapers, Inc. v. Dep't of the Air Force, 107 F. Supp. 2d 912, 917 (S.D. Ohio 1999).	FBI	1	1
10 U.S.C. § 3309 (formerly at 10 U.S.C. § 2305(g))	Certain contractor proposals	Roman v. NSA, Nos. 09-2947, 09-4281, 09-3344, 09-2504, 09-5633, 2012 WL 569747, at *7 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 22, 2012); Margolin v. NASA, No. 09-CV-00421-LRHVPC, 2011 WL 1303221, at *6 (D. Nev. Mar. 31, 2011).	FBI	5	5
13 U.S.C. §§ 8(b), 9(a)	Certain census data	Baldrige v. Shapiro, 455 U.S. 345, 354-55 (1982).	FBI	4	4
13 U.S.C. § 301(g)	Certain shippers' export declarations or successor documents	Afr. Fund v. Mosbacher, No. 92 Civ. 289, 1993 WL 183736, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. May 26, 1993); Young Conservative Found., Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Commerce,	FBI	3	3

		No. 85-3982, 1987 WL 9244, at *2-3 (D.D.C. Mar. 25, 1987).			
15 U.S.C. § 6801	Nonpublic personal information of customers of financial institutions	Hodes v. HUD, 532 F. Supp. 2d 108, 117 (D.D.C. 2008).	FBI	1	1
15 U.S.C. § 18a(h)	Information submitted in connection with the premerger notification provisions of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976.	N/A	Antitrust	7	8
			FBI	1	
15 U.S.C. § 1314(g)	"Any documentary material, answers to written interrogatories, or transcripts of oral testimony" provided pursuant to certain civil investigative demands pertaining to antitrust investigations	Motion Picture Ass'n of Am. v. DOJ, No. 80 Civ. 6612, slip op. at 1 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 6, 1981).	Antitrust	2	3
			FBI	1	
54 U.S.C. § 100707 (formerly at 16 U.S.C. § 5937)	Information pertaining to: "endangered, threatened, rare, or commercially valuable" National Park System resources; "mineral	Hornbostel v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, 305 F. Supp. 2d 21, 30 (D.D.C. 2003), summary affirmance granted, No. 03- 5257, 2004	FBI	2	2

	or paleontological objects within units of the National Park System"; or "objects of cultural patrimony within units of the National Park System"	WL 1900562 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 25, 2004); Sw. Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. USDA, 170 F. Supp. 2d 931, 945 (D. Ariz. 2000), aff'd, 314 F.3d 1060, 1062 (9th Cir. 2002); Pease v. U.S. Dep't of Interior, No. 1:99CV113, slip op. at 2, 4 (D. Vt. Sept. 17, 1999).			
18 U.S.C. § 798	Certain classified information pertaining to the communication intelligence and cryptographic devices of the United States or any foreign government	Larson v. Dep't of State, 565 F.3d 857, 868-69 (D.C. Cir. 2009); N.Y. Times Co. v. DOJ, No. 14 Civ 03776, 2016 WL 5946711, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 18, 2016); Adejumobi v. NSA, No. 07-1237, 2007 WL 4247878, at *3 (M.D. Fla. Dec. 3, 2007), aff'd per curiam, 287 F. App'x 770 (11th Cir. 2008); Winter v. NSA, 569 F. Supp. 545, 546-48 (S.D. Cal. 1983).	FBI	1	1
18 U.S.C. §§ 2510, et seq.	Wiretap requests and the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication obtained through wiretaps	Payne v. DOJ, No. 96-30840, 1997 WL 450139, at *3 (5th Cir. July 11, 1997); Lam Lek Chong v. DEA, 929	DEA	26	156
			EOUSA	1	
			FBI	127	

		F.2d 729, 732-33 (D.C. Cir. 1991).	OCDETF	2	
18 U.S.C. § 3123(d)	Certain court "order[s] authorizing or approving the installation and use of a pen register or a trap and trace device"; information pertaining to "the existence of the pen register or trap and trace device or the existence of the investigation"	Labow v. DOJ, 831 F.3d 523, 527-28 (D.C. Cir. 2016); ACLU v. DOJ, 2014 WL 4954121, at *11 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2014); Manna v. DOJ, 815 F. Supp. 798, 812 (D.N.J. 1993), aff'd on other grounds, 51 F.3d 1158 (3d Cir. 1995).	DEA	15	93
			FBI	77	
			OCDETF	1	
18 U.S.C. § 3509(d)	Certain records containing identifying information pertaining to children involved in criminal proceedings	Corley v. DOJ, 998 F.3d 981, 982-85 (D.C. Cir. 2021).	EOUSA	3	126
			FBI	123	
18 U.S.C. § 3521(b)(1)(g)	"[T]he identity or location of the person relocated or protected, or any other matter concerning the person or the program after weighing [various factors]," subject to certain exceptions	Bonadonna v. DOJ, 791 F. Supp. 2d 269, 270 (D. Mass. 2010), aff'd, No. 10 1595, 2011 WL 4770189 (1st Cir. Jan. 7, 2011).	FBI	6	12
			USMS	6	
18 U.S.C. § 5038(a)	Records of juvenile delinquency proceedings	Lavado v. DEA, No. 90-5262, 1991 WL 119586, at *1	FBI	19	19

		(D.C. Cir. June 28, 1991).			
26 U.S.C. §§ 6103, 6105	Certain tax return information, to include Taxpayer Identification Numbers of third parties, and certain tax convention information	Nosal v. IRS, 523 F. Supp. 3d 72, 79 (D.D.C. 2021); Church of Scientology v. IRS, 484 U.S. 9, 15 (1987) (26 U.S.C. § 6103); Elec. Priv. Info. Ctr. v. IRS, 910 F.3d 1232, 1237 (D.C. Cir. 2018); Leonard v. U.S. Dep'of Treasury, 590 F. App'x. 141, 143-44 (3d Cir. 2014) (per curiam); Pac. Fisheries, Inc. v. IRS, 395 F. App'x. 438, 440 (9th Cir. 2010) (unpublished disposition) (26 U.S.C. §§ 6103, 6105).	ATF	248	323
			EOUSA	1	
			FBI	48	
			Tax	26	
28 U.S.C. § 652(d)	"[C]onfidential dispute resolution communications"	Yelder v. DOD, 577 F. Supp. 2d 342, 346-47 (D.D.C. 2008).	ENRD	1	1
31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2)	Records pertaining to civil actions for false claims against the United States Government	N/A	EOUSA	1	2
			FBI	1	
31 U.S.C. § 5319	Reports pertaining to	Yunes v. DOJ, 263 F. Supp.	DEA	3	32

	monetary instruments transactions filed under subchapter II of chapter 53 of title 31 and records of those reports	3d 82, 87 (D.D.C. 2017)); Council on Am.-Islamic Rels., Cal. v. FBI, 749 F. Supp. 2d 1104, 1117 (S.D. Cal. 2010); Berger v. IRS, 487 F. Supp. 2d 482, 496-97 (D.N.J. 2007), affd on other grounds, 288 F. App'x 829 (3d Cir. 2008).	FBI	28	
			OCDETF	1	
39 U.S.C. § 410(c)(2)	Commercial information which would not be disclosed under good business practice	Wickwire Gavin, P.C. v. USPS, 356 F.3d 588, 589, 597 (4th Cir. 2004); Am. Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO v. USPS, 742 F. Supp. 2d 76, 81-83 (D.D.C. 2010); Reid v. USPS, No. 05-294, 2006 WL 1876682, at *5-9 (S.D. Ill. July 5, 2006); Robinett v. USPS, No. 02-1094, 2002 WL 1728582, at *5 (E.D. La. July 24, 2002).	FBI	1	1
41 U.S.C. § 4702 (formerly at 41 U.S.C. § 253b(m)(1))	Contractor proposals that are in the possession or control of an executive agency and that have not been set forth or incorporated by reference	Sinkfield v. HUD, No. 10-885, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35233, at *13-15 (S.D. Ohio Mar. 15, 2012); Margolin v. NASA, No. 09- CV-00421, 2011 WL 1303221, at *6 (D.	BOP	5	9
			JMD	4	

	into contracts	Nev. Mar. 31, 2011); Hornbostel v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, 305 F. Supp. 2d 21, 30 (D.D.C. 2003), summary affirmance granted, No. 03-5257, 2004 WL 1900562 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 25, 2004).			
41 U.S.C. § 2102 (amending 41 U.S.C. § 423(a)(1))	Contractor bid or proposal information; source selection information	Legal & Safety Employer Research, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Army, No. Civ. S001748, 2001 WL 34098652, at *3-4 (E.D. Cal. May 4, 2001) (dictum).	BOP USMS	2 2	4
42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(A)	"Except as provided in subparagraph (B), information submitted to a special master or the court in a proceeding on a petition [for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program] . . . without the express written consent of the person who submitted the information"	Long v. DOJ, 778 F. Supp. 2d 222, 234 (N.D.N.Y. 2011).	Civil	19	19
42 U.S.C. § 2000g-2b	Conciliation assistance data received by the Community	N/A	CRS	14	14

	Relations Service				
34 U.S.C. § 10231 (formerly at 42 U.S.C. § 3789g)	Data received by the Bureau of Justice Statistics and used only for statistical or research purposes	N/A	OJP	4	4
42 U.S.C. § 14132(b)(3)	Certain "stored DNA samples and DNA analyses"	Moore v. Nat'l DNA Index System, 662 F. Supp. 2d 136, 140 (D.D.C. 2009).	FBI	3	3
49 U.S.C. § 114(r) (formerly at 49 U.S.C. § 114(s))	Information obtained or developed in carrying out security under the authority of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act or under chapter 449 of this title	Magassa v. TSA, No. 19-01953, 2022 WL 971207, at *5 (D.D.C Mar. 31, 2022); Skurow v. DHS, 892 F. Supp. 2d 319, 329-30 (D.D.C. 2012); Gordon v. FBI, 390 F. Supp. 2d 897, 900 (N.D. Cal. 2004).	FBI	2	2
50 U.S.C. § 3605 (formerly at 50 U.S.C. § 402 note)	Information pertaining to the functions or organization of NSA; certain information pertaining to NSA employees	ACLU v. DOJ, 681 F.3d 61, 72-75 (2d Cir. 2012); Elec. Priv. Info. Ctr. v. NSA, 678 F.3d 926, 931 (D.C. Cir. 2012); Houghton v. NSA, 378 F. App'x 235, 238-39 (3d Cir. 2010) (per curiam); Lahr v. NTSB, 569 F.3d 964, 985 (9th Cir. 2009).	FBI	1	2
			OLC	1	

50 U.S.C. § 3507 (formerly at 50 U.S.C. § 403g)	Intelligence sources and methods; certain information pertaining to Agency employees, specifically: "the organization, functions, names, official titles, salaries, or numbers of personnel employed by the Agency"	Assassination Archives & Rsch. Ctr. v. CIA, No. 18-5280, 2019 WL 691517 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 15, 2019) (per curiam); ACLU v. DOJ, 681 F.3d 61, 72-75 (2d Cir. 2012); Berman v. CIA, 501 F.3d 1136, 1137-38, 1140 (9th Cir. 2007); Makky v. Chertoff, 489 F. Supp. 2d 421, 442 (D.N.J. 2007), aff'd on other grounds, 541 F. 3d 205 (3d Cir. 2008).	FBI	13	14
			OLC	1	
50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)(1) (formerly at 50 U.S.C. § 403-1(i)(1))	Intelligence sources and methods	CIA v. Sims, 471 U.S. 159, 167 (1985).	FBI	1737	1744
			JMD	2	
			OIP	4	
			OLC	1	
50 U.S.C. § 3141(a) (formerly at 50 U.S.C. § 431)	[O]perational files of the Central Intelligence Agency	CIA v. Sims, 471 U.S. 159, 167, 174 n.19 (1985) (dictum); Wolf v. CIA, 569 F. Supp. 2d 1, 8 (D.D.C. 2008).	FBI	1	1
50 U.S.C. § 3143 (formerly at 50 U.S.C. § 432a)	Operational files of the National Reconnaissance Office	Aftergood v. Nat'l Reconnaissance Off., 441 F. Supp. 2d 37, 46 (D.D.C.	FBI	1	1

		2006).			
50 U.S.C. § 1702(a)(1)	Authorizes the President to "regulate . . . prevent or prohibit . . . importation or exportation of . . . any property in which any foreign country or a national thereof has any interest . . . by any person, or with respect to any property, subject to the jurisdiction of the United States"	Wis. Project on Nuclear Arms Control v. U.S. Dep't of Com., 317 F.3d 275, 284 (D.C. Cir. 2003).	FBI	2	2
Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(e)	Certain records that would reveal some secret aspect pertaining to grand jury proceedings	Sussman v. USMS, 494 F.3d 1106, 1113 (D.C. Cir. 2007); Sorin v. DOJ, No. 18-99, 2018 WL 6431027 (2d Cir. Dec. 6, 2018) (per curium); Widi v. McNeil, No. 12-CV-00188, 2016 WL 4394724, at *23 (D. Me. Aug 16, 2016); Durham v. U.S. Att'y Gen., No. 06-843, 2008 WL 620744, at *2 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 3, 2008); Cozen O'Connor v. U.S. Dep't of Treasury, 570 F. Supp. 2d 749, 776 (E.D. Pa. 2008).	DEA	1	675
			ENRD	1	
			EOUSA	79	
			FBI	578	
			JMD	1	
			NSD	5	
			OIP	9	
			OPR	1	

Fed. R. Crim. P. 32	Presentence reports and recommendations	DOJ v. Julian, 486 U.S. 1, 9 (1988).	FBI	1	1
5 U.S.C. § 13107 (formerly at 5 U.S.C. app § 105)	Financial disclosure reports and applications to inspect financial disclosure reports	NA	JMD	3	3
5. U.S.C. § 407 (formerly at 5 U.S.C. app. 3 § 7(b))	Names of employees who provide information or complaints to the Inspector General	Wash. Post Co. v. Special Inspector Gen. for Afg. Reconstr., 486 F. Supp. 3d 141, 166 (D.D.C. 2020).	OIG	1	1
6 U.S.C. § 1504(d)(3)(B)	A cyber threat indicator or defensive measure shared with the Federal Government under the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015	N/A	FBI	4	4
18 U.S.C. § 208(d)(1)	Providing that "a copy of any determination granting an exemption under subsection (b)(1) or (b)(3) [from application of penalties for acts affecting personal financial interests determined to constitute bribery, graft, or conflicts of interest] shall be made available to the public," but	Seife v. NIH, 874 F. Supp. 2d 248, 256 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).	EOUSA	2	2

	exempting from this disclosure requirement "any information contained in the determination that would be exempt from disclosure under section 552 of title 5"				
21 U.S.C. §§ 1903-1905	All records generated or obtained in the implementation of the King Pin Act	N/A	DEA	1	1
Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(e), enacted by Act of July 30, 1977, Pub. L. No. 95-78, 91 Stat. 319	Certain records that would reveal some secret aspect pertaining to grand jury proceedings.	N/A	Criminal	1	1
Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(e), enacted by Act of July 30, 1977, Pub. L. No. 95-78, §2, 91 Stat. 319	Certain records that would reveal some secret aspect pertaining to grand jury proceedings	N/A	OCDETF	1	1
Pub. L. No. 112-55,125 Stat. 552,609-10	Certain firearms database information	Everytown for Gun Safety Support Fund v. ATF, 984 F.3d 30 (2d Cir. 2020)	ATF	251	251
Pub. L. No. 112-55,125 Stat. 552,609-10	Certain firearms database information	City of Chi. v. U.S. Dep't of the Treasury,423 F.3d 777,781-82 (7th Cir. 2005); P.W. Arms,Inc. v. United	ATF	6	6

		States,No. 15-1990-JCC,2017 WL 319250,at *4 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 23,2017); Williams v. ATF,No. PWG-15-1969,2017 WL 3978580,at *5-6 (D. Md. Sept. 8,2017); Abdeljabbar v. ATF,74 F. Supp. 3d 158,173 (D.D.C. 2014).			
Treaty Between the United States of America and the Federal Republic of Germany on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters, U.S.-F.R.G., Oct. 14, 2003, S. TREATY DOC. NO. 108-27 (2004)	Evidence obtained by way of a treaty with a confidentiality clause.	N/A	Criminal	1	1

V.A. FOIA REQUESTS -- RECEIVED, PROCESSED AND PENDING FOIA REQUESTS

Agency / Component	Number of Requests Pending as of Start of Fiscal Year	Number of Requests Received in Fiscal Year	Number of Requests Processed in Fiscal Year	Number of Requests Pending as of End of Fiscal Year
ATJ	0	76	61	15
Antitrust	157	383	351	189
ATF*	752	2141	2145	748
BOP*	6,406	5,897	6,329	5,974
Civil*	514	564	296	782
Civil Rights*	458	414	483	389
COPS*	7	237	234	10
Criminal*	983	1,296	1,236	1,043
CRS	21	120	131	10
DEA*	2,014	1,612	3,337	289
ENRD	44	244	239	49
EOIR*	25,624	87,842	109,163	4,303
EOUSA*	1,900	3,610	3,757	1,753
EOUST	5	99	100	4
FBI**	8,731	18,218	20,145	6,804
FCSC	0	76	76	0
JMD*	184	263	381	66
NSD*	323	499	379	443
OCDETF*	5	92	80	17
OIG*	251	533	428	356
OIP*	1,402	3,001	2,876	1,527
OJP*	497	608	509	596
OLC*	647	169	103	713
OPR	38	160	153	45

OSG*	39	117	96	60
OVW*	66	144	107	103
PARDON*	66	78	84	60
PRAO	0	57	53	4
Tax	44	186	52	178
USMS*	365	3,300	3,293	372
USNCB	6	190	187	9
USPC*	23	301	316	8
AGENCY OVERALL	51,572	132,527	157,180	26,919

* After reviewing their databases, the following components updated the number of requests pending as of the start of the Fiscal Year: ATF, BOP, Civil, Civil Rights, COPS, Criminal, DEA, EOIR, EOUSA, JMD, NSD, OCDETF, OIG, OIP, OJP, OLC, OSG, OVW, PARDON, USMS, USPC.

** The FBI adjusted the number pending at the start of the Fiscal Year to reflect first-party requests that were exempt from Privacy Act accessed and processed under the FOIA in Fiscal Year 2024.

V.B.(1). DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS -- ALL PROCESSED REQUESTS

Agency / Component	Number of Full Grants	Number of Partial Grants / Partial Denials	Number of Full Denials Based on Exemptions	Number of Full Denials Based on Reasons Other than Exemptions									
				No Records	All Records Referred to Another Component or Agency	Request Withdrawn	Fee-Related Reason	Records not Reasonably Described	Improper FOIA Request for Other Reason	Not Agency Record	Duplicate Request	Other *Explain in Chart Below	TOTAL
ATJ	4	0	0	37	4	1	0	1	8	1	5	0	61
Antitrust	25	53	25	44	11	21	0	13	3	1	1	154	351
ATF	58	679	390	436	13	121	0	96	219	0	113	20	2,145
BOP	1,894	2,537	362	238	9	705	2	61	322	89	110	0	6,329
Civil	6	22	2	13	1	6	0	8	113	0	3	122	296
Civil Rights	83	85	28	114	25	108	1	16	17	1	5	0	483
COPS	74	31	0	108	0	6	0	0	4	0	11	0	234
Criminal	23	33	205	75	4	36	0	138	298	0	8	416	1,236
CRS	7	27	1	84	2	0	0	3	2	0	1	4	131
DEA	93	570	1,455	281	25	178	53	233	241	59	113	36	3,337
ENRD	44	55	3	95	4	6	12	2	0	1	0	17	239
EOIR	60,168	118	17	19,952	14	2,593	1	21	24,515	88	1,676	0	109,163
EOUSA	4	773	650	326	110	158	15	285	799	30	479	128	3,757
EOUST	6	10	15	39	0	3	3	9	15	0	0	0	100

FBI	1,044	6,105	1,318	7,158	24	368	149	56	3,201	27	117	578	20,145
FCSC	5	5	0	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
JMD	45	127	7	111	38	14	0	11	20	0	8	0	381
NSD	71	21	10	179	1	18	1	2	65	0	11	0	379
OCDETF	4	15	6	31	5	0	0	3	12	4	0	0	80
OIG	48	108	36	136	11	35	0	27	25	0	2	0	428
OIP	197	165	45	209	7	183	21	3	271	1	278	1,496	2,876
OJP	71	113	8	78	9	39	0	22	134	18	17	0	509
OLC	13	16	1	33	1	3	0	0	3	0	3	30	103
OPR	10	11	6	60	0	9	0	5	12	0	3	37	153
OSG	15	10	0	65	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	96
OVW	11	48	0	21	0	5	0	0	14	0	3	5	107
PARDON	19	15	1	39	1	0	0	3	4	1	1	0	84
PRAO	0	2	2	41	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
Tax	3	2	24	10	1	3	0	0	3	0	6	0	52
USMS	347	1,760	40	198	9	20	14	17	392	9	487	0	3,293
USNCB	5	27	9	103	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	187
USPC	160	18	1	124	0	2	1	0	1	8	0	1	316

AGENCY OVERALL	64,557	13,560	4,667	30,504	339	4,643	273	1,035	30,756	339	3,462	3,045	157,180

V.B.(2). DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS -- "OTHER" REASONS FOR "FULL DENIALS BASED ON REASONS OTHER THAN EXEMPTIONS"

Agency / Component	Description of "Other" Reasons for Denials from Chart B(1)	Number of Times "Other" Reason Was Relied Upon	TOTAL
ATJ	N/A	0	0
Antitrust	Aggregate Cases	152	154
	Court Sealed	1	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	1	
ATF	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	20	20
BOP	N/A	0	0
Civil	Aggregate Cases	117	122
	Directed Requester to Publicly Available Information	3	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	2	
Civil Rights	N/A	0	0
COPS	N/A	0	0
Criminal	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	408	416
	Litigation	1	
	Referred Not Responsive	1	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	6	
CRS	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	2	4
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester.	2	
DEA	Aggregate Cases	1	36
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	35	
ENRD	Aggregate Cases	5	17

	Directed Requester to Publicly Available Information	4	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	8	
EOIR	N/A	0	0
EOUSA	Court Sealed	11	128
	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	53	
	FOIA Rights Waived	23	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	41	
EOUST	N/A	0	0
FBI	Court Sealed	1	578
	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	11	
	Referred Not Responsive	3	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	549	
	Unable to Provide Records to Inmate Requester	14	
FCSC	N/A	0	0
JMD	N/A	0	0
NSD	N/A	0	0
OCDETF	N/A	0	0
OIG	N/A	0	0
OIP	Aggregate Cases	354	1,496
	Court Sealed	1	
	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	1,056	
	Directed Requester to Publicly Available Information	76	
	Referred Not Responsive	7	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	2	
OJP	N/A	0	0
OLC	Aggregate Cases	28	30

	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	2	
OPR	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	37	37
OSG	N/A	0	0
OVW	Directed Requester to Another Entity Subject to the FOIA	5	5
PARDON	N/A	0	0
PRAO	N/A	0	0
Tax	N/A	0	0
USMS	N/A	0	0
USNCB	N/A	0	0
USPC	Unable to Provide Records to Inmate Requester	1	1
AGENCY OVERALL			3,045

V.B.(3). DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS -- NUMBER OF TIMES EXEMPTIONS APPLIED

Agency / Component	Ex. 1	Ex. 2	Ex. 3	Ex. 4	Ex. 5	Ex. 6	Ex. 7(A)	Ex. 7(B)	Ex. 7(C)	Ex. 7(D)	Ex. 7(E)	Ex. 7(F)	Ex. 8	Ex. 9
ATJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antitrust	0	0	9	2	7	44	27	0	2	4	1	0	0	0
ATF	0	14	502	178	57	707	170	1	674	1	232	6	0	0
BOP	0	11	6	26	136	2,706	38	2	2,701	2	451	690	0	0
Civil	0	0	19	0	23	23	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Rights	0	1	0	6	53	61	34	0	56	1	18	0	1	0
COPS	0	0	0	0	1	31	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal	1	0	4	1	14	141	92	0	143	3	6	0	0	0
CRS	0	0	14	0	16	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEA	23	115	38	121	236	1,299	220	5	1,336	201	1,029	706	0	1
ENRD	0	0	2	15	27	45	9	0	4	0	2	0	0	0
EOIR	0	5	0	2	48	128	2	0	9	0	5	0	0	0
EOUSA	1	0	82	8	273	1,306	92	0	1,199	23	47	29	0	0
EOUST	0	0	1	2	2	20	2	0	2	0	3	0	0	0
FBI	349	199	2,387	138	1,555	5,809	738	31	5,821	2,397	5,440	161	3	0
FCSC	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

JMD	2	3	10	37	32	125	4	0	10	1	20	2	0	0
NSD	16	0	5	4	5	19	4	0	14	3	7	1	0	0
OCDETF	0	0	4	0	9	20	4	0	20	10	17	4	0	0
OIG	3	0	1	0	8	127	11	0	120	2	5	5	0	0
OIP	27	0	14	2	96	188	35	0	64	2	49	34	0	0
OJP	0	0	4	74	10	110	2	0	35	1	11	1	0	0
OLC	1	0	1	2	13	14	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
OPR	0	0	1	0	5	17	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
OSG	0	0	0	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OVW	0	0	0	0	14	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARDON	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRAO	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tax	0	0	26	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
USMS	0	14	8	14	52	1,505	15	0	1,509	3	993	773	0	0
USNCB	0	0	0	0	0	26	9	0	25	15	16	0	0	0
USPC	0	0	0	0	1	19	0	0	18	12	0	0	0	0
AGENCY OVERALL	423	362	3,138	632	2,701	14,580	1,509	39	13,798	2,681	8,353	2,412	4	1

VI.A. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OF INITIAL DETERMINATIONS OF FOIA REQUESTS -- RECEIVED, PROCESSED, AND PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Agency / Component	Number of Appeals Pending as of Start of Fiscal Year	Number of Appeals Received in Fiscal Year	Number of Appeals Processed in Fiscal Year	Number of Appeals Pending as of End of Fiscal Year
OIP*	372	2,577	2,479	470
AGENCY OVERALL	372	2,577	2,479	470

* After reviewing its database, OIP updated the number of appeals pending as of the start of the Fiscal Year.

VI.B. DISPOSITION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS -- ALL PROCESSED APPEALS

Agency / Component	Number Affirmed on Appeal	Number Partially Affirmed & Partially Reversed/Remanded on Appeal	Number Completely Reversed/Remanded on Appeal	Number of Appeals Closed for Other Reasons	TOTAL
OIP	1,291	76	437	673	2,477
AGENCY OVERALL	1,291	76	437	673	2,477

VI.C.(1). REASONS FOR DENIAL ON APPEAL -- NUMBER OF TIMES EXEMPTIONS APPLIED

Agency / Component	Ex. 1	Ex. 2	Ex. 3	Ex. 4	Ex. 5	Ex. 6	Ex. 7(A)	Ex. 7(B)	Ex. 7(C)	Ex. 7(D)	Ex. 7(E)	Ex. 7(F)	Ex. 8	Ex. 9
OIP	17	0	94	1	63	447	116	0	427	87	265	52	0	0
AGENCY OVERALL	17	0	94	1	63	447	116	0	427	87	265	52	0	0

VI.C.(2). REASONS FOR DENIAL ON APPEAL - REASONS OTHER THAN EXEMPTIONS

Agency / Component	No Records	Records Referred at Initial Request Level	Request Withdrawn	Fee-Related Reason	Records not Reasonably Described	Improper Request for Other Reasons	Not Agency Record	Duplicate Request or Appeal	Request in Litigation	Appeal Based Solely on Denial of Request for Expedited Processing	Other *Explain in chart below
OIP	217	0	3	11	133	56	0	50	33	0	894
AGENCY OVERALL	217	0	3	11	133	56	0	50	33	0	894

VI.C.(3). REASONS FOR DENIAL ON APPEAL -- "OTHER" REASONS

Agency / Component	Description of "Other" Reasons for Denials from Chart C(2)	Number of Times "Other" Reason Was Relied Upon	TOTAL
OIP	Affirmed Administrative Consolidation or Splitting of Request	10	910
	Affirmed Use of Unusual Circumstances	2	
	Agency Performed Adequate Search	245	
	Appeal Withdrawn	21	
	Component Has No Request	14	
	Exclusion Issue Raised	33	
	Improper Appeal	102	
	Moot	224	
	No Component Response to Adjudicate	179	
	Records Under Court Seal	7	
	Affirm Track Placement	6	
	Unable to Locate or Contact Requester	1	
	Untimely	66	
AGENCY OVERALL			910

VI.C.(4). RESPONSE TIME FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Agency / Component	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Lowest Number of Days	Highest Number of Days
OIP	56	68.82	0	339
AGENCY OVERALL	56	68.82	0	339

VI.C.(5). TEN OLDEST PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Agency / Component		10th Oldest Appeal	9th	8th	7th	6th	5th	4th	3rd	2nd	Oldest Appeal
OIP	Date of Appeal	03/01/2024	02/29/2024	02/23/2024	02/23/2024	01/31/2024	01/24/2024	01/19/2024	01/16/2024	01/16/2024	01/09/2024
	Number of Days Pending	147	148	152	152	168	173	176	179	179	183
AGENCY OVERALL	Date of Appeal	03/01/2024	02/29/2024	02/23/2024	02/23/2024	01/31/2024	01/24/2024	01/19/2024	01/16/2024	01/16/2024	01/09/2024
	Number of Days Pending	147	148	152	152	168	173	176	179	179	183

VII.A. FOIA REQUESTS - RESPONSE TIME FOR ALL PROCESSED PERFECTED REQUESTS

Agency / Component	SIMPLE				COMPLEX				EXPEDITED PROCESSING			
	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Lowest Number of Days	Highest Number of Days	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Lowest Number of Days	Highest Number of Days	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Lowest Number of Days	Highest Number of Days
ATJ	45	47.15	< 1	154	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Antitrust	4	7.94	<1	65	13	119.86	1	1,497	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ATF	27	109.22	< 1	2,006	46	685.52	< 1	2,504	8	16.38	< 1	92
BOP	7	8.86	< 1	123	240	411.47	< 1	1,690	309	349.79	5	1,628
Civil	14	30.8	7	591	263	366	< 1	1174	910	910	910	910
Civil Rights	20	23.95	2	97	451	503.88	13	1533	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
COPS	3	6.13	< 1	60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Criminal	6	39.52	< 1	1,231	65	296.83	1	2,194	409	442.83	251	817
CRS	37	52.5	< 1	223	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
DEA	4	7.5	< 1	120	328	407.29	< 1	1,696	858.5	731.5	91	1,243
ENRD	10	10.24	1	24	42	98.67	1	699	741.5	741.5	711	772
EOIR	17	83.08	< 1	1,021	16	43.86	< 1	522	21	70.43	< 1	726
EOUSA	11	24.62	< 1	875	21	223.29	< 1	1,928	19	29.57	7	90
EOUST	1	2.71	< 1	18	4	7.71	< 1	18	10	7.67	2	11

FBI	3	19.73	< 1	627	84	330.5	< 1	2,734	46	459.88	5	2,464
FCSC	1	3.11	< 1	19	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
JMD	4	8.39	< 1	142	144	318.13	< 1	1,800	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NSD	27	69.85	< 1	1,121	805.5	849.27	< 1	2,189	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OCDETF	5	13.49	< 1	110	17	34.43	5	146	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OIG	5	6.84	< 1	26	179.5	331.52	8	1,876	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OIP	1	6.4	< 1	1,202	681	650.57	< 1	1,824	503	620.61	< 1	1,752
OJP	5	8.43	< 1	131	160	322.07	< 1	1,821	338	485	3	1,041
OLC	12	25.15	2	353	28	287.81	3	2,663	815	866.29	10	2,646
OPR	5	15.24	< 1	317	26	168.51	1	1,840	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OSG	20	26.77	< 1	94	75.5	188.04	12	1,239	80	70.71	16	142
OVW	30	66.34	< 1	380	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PARDON	46	143.57	< 1	1,395	171	619.81	8	1,621	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PRAO	7	7.69	< 1	17	33	33	33	33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tax	3	9.21	< 1	73	92.5	194.5	< 1	622	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
USMS	8	10.8	< 1	185	12	92.49	< 1	1,260	303	325.93	11	896
USNCB	6	7.15	< 1	76	348	348	348	348	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
USPC	5	12.85	< 1	270	20	33.21	< 1	271	57.5	57.5	< 1	114

AGENCY OVERALL	13	72.24	< 1	2,006	111	336.72	< 1	2,734	51.5	238.46	< 1	2,646

VII.B. PROCESSED REQUESTS - RESPONSE TIME FOR PERFECTED REQUESTS IN WHICH INFORMATION WAS GRANTED

Agency / Component	SIMPLE				COMPLEX				EXPEDITED PROCESSING			
	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Lowest Number of Days	Highest Number of Days	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Lowest Number of Days	Highest Number of Days	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Lowest Number of Days	Highest Number of Days
ATJ	29	27.75	8	45	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Antitrust	4	10.5	<1	65	113	362.04	1	1,497	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ATF	68	147.41	< 1	2,006	1,442	1,278.9	57	2,504	31.5	40.75	8	92
BOP	7	9	< 1	123	189	332.39	< 1	1,690	285	350.51	5	1,628
Civil	82	94	14	209	249	307.2	21	1,174	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Civil Rights	26.5	32.88	6	97	148.5	307.55	15	1,533	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
COPS	7	8.7	< 1	60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Criminal	37.5	168.71	1	1,219	556	734.43	34	2,194	251	251	251	251
CRS	85.5	88	5	223	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
DEA	7	16.76	< 1	120	440.5	534.22	1	1,696	927.5	898.5	797	942
ENRD	12	11.26	3	20	54	129.59	1	521	741.5	741.5	711	772
EOIR	26	96.52	< 1	1,015	31	61.08	< 1	483	20	60.86	< 1	586
EOUSA	12	28.15	< 1	875	256	503.13	< 1	1,907	43	46.67	7	90

EOUST	1	2.2	< 1	8	13	11.2	2	17	11	11	11	11
FBI	36	56.03	< 1	627	216	411.76	< 1	2,734	1,292	1,327.71	131	2,464
FCSC	1	3	< 1	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
JMD	8.5	14.47	< 1	142	198.5	367.45	< 1	1,800	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NSD	16.5	42.57	< 1	738	1,239.5	1,029.05	< 1	2,189	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OCDETF	8	17.92	< 1	98	29	29.86	9	69	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OIG	5	7.73	< 1	26	208	318.6	22	1,876	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OIP	6.5	31.79	< 1	827	364	586.84	3	1,805	538.5	687.21	5	1,752
OJP	8	9.12	< 1	44	147	293.31	< 1	1,720	398	514.2	3	1,041
OLC	15	46.14	6	353	752	1,033.11	215	2,663	618	837.67	10	2,646
OPR	14	58.56	3	317	137	540.4	20	1,840	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OSG	33	34.27	3	94	229	360.2	19	1,239	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OVW	27	65.47	< 1	380	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PARDON	72.5	305.44	< 1	1,395	1,445.5	1,012	75	1,621	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PRAO	13	13	10	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tax	12	12	10	14	476	483.67	353	622	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
USMS	8	10.74	< 1	185	22	129.31	< 1	1,239	306	347.77	11	896
USNCB	11	14.35	2	76	348	348	348	348	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

USPC	13.5	16.15	< 1	270	20	28.73	< 1	229	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
AGENCY OVERALL	25	90.71	< 1	2,006	194	374.87	< 1	2734	41	195.72	< 1	2,646

VII.C. PROCESSED SIMPLE REQUESTS - RESPONSE TIME IN DAY INCREMENTS

Agency / Component	<1-20 Days	21-40 Days	41-60 Days	61-80 Days	81-100 Days	101-120 Days	121-140 Days	141-160 Days	161-180 Days	181-200 Days	201-300 Days	301-400 Days	401+ Days	TOTAL
ATJ	12	9	12	8	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	47
Antitrust	75	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82
ATF	664	228	103	67	74	56	32	25	30	21	68	37	121	1,526
BOP	1,500	53	13	7	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,579
Civil	120	2	3	1	2	0	2	2	3	1	3	0	1	140
Civil Rights	75	48	14	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	147
COPS	221	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	230
Criminal	429	90	36	8	2	3	3	2	2	5	5	2	14	601
CRS	53	12	16	9	13	9	8	1	2	0	3	0	0	126
DEA	606	12	6	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	631
ENRD	118	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	119
EOIR	45,233	10,957	3,523	2,272	2,030	1,718	1,542	1,212	1,223	1,178	4,975	3,334	4,743	83,940
EOUSA	570	69	15	10	6	12	4	4	2	4	13	1	2	712
EOUST	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
FBI	6,053	793	456	261	197	112	70	56	41	27	46	12	4	8,128

FCSC	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
JMD	104	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	111
NSD	87	49	18	12	15	8	7	3	6	3	3	4	6	221
OCDETF	41	7	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51
OIG	166	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	168
OIP	1,946	50	18	10	3	5	0	1	1	0	2	2	5	2,043
OJP	137	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	141
OLC	33	7	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	46
OPR	82	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	91
OSG	31	19	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61
OVW	31	17	4	7	9	6	8	1	0	0	5	2	0	90
PARDON	10	10	7	5	3	4	1	1	1	0	0	1	3	46
PRAO	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
Tax	25	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
USMS	1,273	181	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1,462
USNCB	140	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	143
USPC	198	50	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	256
AGENCY OVERALL	60,217	12,691	4,272	2,698	2,371	1,936	1,682	1,310	1,312	1,240	5,126	3,397	4,899	103,151

VII.C. PROCESSED COMPLEX REQUESTS - RESPONSE TIME IN DAY INCREMENTS

Agency / Component	<1-20 Days	21-40 Days	41-60 Days	61-80 Days	81-100 Days	101-120 Days	121-140 Days	141-160 Days	161-180 Days	181-200 Days	201-300 Days	301-400 Days	401+ Days	TOTAL
ATJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antitrust	166	11	9	6	10	10	3	1	2	1	4	6	23	252
ATF	92	8	5	2	0	0	2	2	2	0	1	1	88	203
BOP	633	317	229	189	121	77	87	104	93	68	286	218	1,656	4,078
Civil	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	2	6	3	9	30
Civil Rights	4	6	16	21	23	16	11	10	8	7	17	9	155	303
COPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal	8	42	32	9	9	5	3	2	3	3	5	5	42	168
CRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEA	134	155	130	87	83	52	42	41	48	61	243	208	936	2,220
ENRD	25	30	22	8	7	3	0	5	0	1	2	1	12	116
EOIR	208	59	22	19	12	13	15	9	1	1	14	1	2	376
EOUSA	584	96	55	26	29	23	15	19	11	6	60	43	214	1,181
EOUST	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
FBI	2,874	845	397	217	173	175	128	124	124	107	473	301	2,796	8,734

FCSC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JMD	12	27	21	22	10	7	19	9	7	2	20	7	76	239
NSD	4	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	0	3	11	4	53	84
OCDETF	8	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14
OIG	3	24	21	23	12	7	3	4	7	6	17	16	65	208
OIP	52	36	40	8	8	7	2	7	1	3	12	12	282	470
OJP	6	35	17	15	7	8	8	5	8	11	22	24	35	201
OLC	14	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	8	42
OPR	20	12	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	7	45
OSG	3	5	3	4	1	0	3	0	1	0	3	1	4	28
OVW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARDON	3	1	2	3	3	1	0	1	3	1	1	0	12	31
PRAO	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Tax	4	0	1	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	16
USMS	886	192	35	24	21	23	13	13	8	9	31	34	119	1,408
USNCB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
USPC	30	15	6	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	57
AGENCY OVERALL	5,792	1,937	1,066	688	538	432	360	360	328	291	1,234	899	6,598	20,523

VII.C. PROCESSED EXPEDITED REQUESTS - RESPONSE TIME IN DAY INCREMENTS

Agency / Component	<1-20 Days	21-40 Days	41-60 Days	61-80 Days	81-100 Days	101-120 Days	121-140 Days	141-160 Days	161-180 Days	181-200 Days	201-300 Days	301-400 Days	401+ Days	TOTAL
ATJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antitrust	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATF	12	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
BOP	11	7	15	0	2	1	2	2	0	1	13	6	49	109
Civil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Civil Rights	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	6
CRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	9	12
ENRD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
EOIR	153	45	25	19	10	4	4	10	2	6	20	1	12	311
EOUSA	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
EOUST	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
FBI	5	7	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	26

FCSC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JMD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OCDETF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OIG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OIP	4	1	3	1	1	13	0	1	1	0	2	1	36	64
OJP	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	5	11
OLC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	7
OPR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OSG	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
OVW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARDON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRAO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMS	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	5	14
USNCB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USPC	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
AGENCY OVERALL	198	67	49	21	18	21	8	14	3	8	43	14	134	598

VII.D. PENDING REQUESTS -- ALL PENDING PERFECTED REQUESTS

Agency / Component	SIMPLE			COMPLEX			EXPEDITED PROCESSING		
	Number Pending	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Number Pending	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days	Number Pending	Median Number of Days	Average Number of Days
ATJ	15	73	91.73	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Antitrust	4	146	179.5	183	328	419.91	1	299	299
ATF	0	N/A	N/A	742	170	360.63	4	120	117
BOP	54	9	68.61	5,749	388	474.59	171	634	654.53
Civil	62	20	88.56	562	354	350.24	4	412.5	491
Civil Rights	82	20	37.01	307	446	470.42	0	N/A	N/A
COPS	8	4	24	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Criminal	193	437	538.58	810	815.5	833.09	21	1,170	963.95
CRS	10	27.5	63.8	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
DEA	23	3	4.61	207	57	225.25	4	728.5	693
ENRD	9	2	2	40	51	149.2	0	N/A	N/A
EOIR	4,180	16	47.7	29	20	74.45	9	19	58.89
EOUSA	36	22	81.94	1,699	557	657.88	18	514	425.11
EOUST	0	N/A	N/A	1	2	2	0	N/A	N/A

FBI	1,562	104	206.93	5,208	395	532.59	34	508.5	685.79
FCSC	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
JMD	0	N/A	N/A	66	132	275.45	0	N/A	N/A
NSD	272	65.5	390.5	128	554	758.03	1	54	54
OCDETF	3	10	11	14	4.5	53.86	0	N/A	N/A
OIG	4	86	297	352	164	254.97	0	N/A	N/A
OIP	175	419	617.97	1,263	398	553.12	89	372	522.76
OJP	8	8	41.88	570	275.5	504.14	18	783	658.44
OLC	56	236	341.36	549	1,327	1,252.47	108	1,252	1,291.14
OPR	0	N/A	N/A	45	372	505.04	0	N/A	N/A
OSG	9	58	82	47	40	126.51	4	166	161.5
OVW	77	202	289.65	11	996	1,067.91	1	352	352
PARDON	28	249.5	311.93	28	170.5	225.07	0	N/A	N/A
PRAO	3	3	2.67	1	11	11	0	N/A	N/A
Tax	0	N/A	N/A	172	127	157.02	0	N/A	N/A
USMS	44	9	11.82	287	86	139.76	6	344.5	391.5
USNCB	2	1	1	3	119	96.33	0	N/A	N/A
USPC	6	4	3.83	2	9.5	9.5	0	N/A	N/A

Agency Overall	6,925	32	131.71	1,9075	387	525.74	493	609	751.3

VII.E. PENDING REQUESTS -- TEN OLDEST PENDING PERFECTED REQUESTS

Agency / Component		10th Oldest Request	9th	8th	7th	6th	5th	4th	3rd	2nd	Oldest Request
ATJ	Date of Receipt	08/19/2024	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	06/13/2024	03/24/2024	02/23/2024	01/27/2024	01/27/2024	10/27/2023	10/22/2023
	Number of Days Pending	29	73	73	74	131	152	170	170	231	235
Antitrust	Date of Receipt	10/03/2019	09/12/2019	09/06/2019	08/27/2019	07/29/2019	07/19/2019	06/24/2019	03/08/2019	03/05/2019	02/01/2019
	Number of Days Pending	1,245	1,260	1,264	1,271	1,292	1,298	1,316	1,391	1,394	1,415
ATF	Date of Receipt	10/04/2017	10/04/2017	05/30/2017	02/24/2017	01/17/2017	06/15/2016	03/15/2016	01/14/2016	12/31/2015	07/23/2015
	Number of Days Pending	1,745	1,745	1,834	1,900	1,927	2,073	2,138	2,179	2,188	2,298
BOP	Date of Receipt	12/18/2017	11/21/2017	11/13/2017	11/13/2017	11/10/2017	09/26/2017	05/22/2017	02/27/2017	07/21/2016	08/28/2015
	Number of Days	1,695	1,713	1,719	1,719	1,719	1,751	1,839	1,899	2,048	2,272

	Pending										
Civil	Date of Receipt	07/26/2021	07/14/2021	07/09/2021	06/24/2021	06/22/2021	06/11/2021	06/10/2021	01/27/2021	01/14/2021	12/22/2020
	Number of Days Pending	796	804	807	817	819	825	826	920	927	941
Civil Rights	Date of Receipt	02/25/2020	02/18/2020	02/13/2020	02/11/2020	01/27/2020	12/10/2019	10/24/2019	08/22/2019	05/17/2019	05/06/2019
	Number of Days Pending	1,150	1,155	1,157	1,159	1,170	1,200	1,231	1,274	1,341	1,350
COPS	Date of Receipt	N/A	N/A	09/25/2024	09/24/2024	09/24/2024	09/24/2024	09/24/2024	08/14/2024	08/02/2024	05/02/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	1	4	4	4	4	32	40	103
Criminal	Date of Receipt	06/23/2016	06/17/2016	06/16/2016	06/16/2016	05/25/2016	04/27/2016	03/17/2016	10/28/2015	10/21/2015	09/25/2015
	Number of Days Pending	2,067	2,071	2,072	2,072	2,087	2,107	2,136	2,231	2,236	2,253
CRS	Date of Receipt	09/27/2024	09/25/2024	09/24/2024	08/29/2024	08/28/2024	08/13/2024	08/02/2024	07/15/2024	01/27/2024	08/03/2023

	Number of Days Pending	1	3	4	21	22	33	40	54	170	290
DEA	Date of Receipt	03/05/2020	11/05/2019	12/05/2019	11/05/2019	10/01/2019	05/29/2019	05/20/2019	05/08/2019	10/31/2018	07/17/2018
	Number of Days Pending	1,143	1,191	1,203	1,223	1,247	1,334	1,340	1,348	1,476	1,550
ENRD	Date of Receipt	01/24/2024	10/31/2023	09/19/2023	03/27/2023	03/27/2023	07/18/2022	04/07/2022	01/10/2022	11/30/2021	11/12/2021
	Number of Days Pending	173	200	255	380	380	528	621	682	709	720
EOIR	Date of Receipt	10/27/2021	10/11/2021	10/04/2021	10/04/2021	09/28/2021	09/23/2021	08/16/2021	06/23/2021	04/22/2021	01/21/2021
	Number of Days Pending	731	742	746	747	751	754	770	818	849	924
EOUSA	Date of Receipt	05/19/2017	05/19/2017	05/17/2017	05/12/2017	05/12/2017	05/11/2017	05/01/2017	04/11/2017	04/04/2017	03/22/2017
	Number of Days Pending	1,840	1,840	1,842	1,845	1,845	1,846	1,848	1,868	1,873	1,882

EOUST	Date of Receipt	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/26/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
FBI	Date of Receipt	11/17/2014	11/14/2014	11/10/2014	10/21/2014	10/08/2014	09/23/2014	09/23/2014	08/19/2014	07/30/2014	04/29/2014
	Number of Days Pending	2,468	2,469	2,472	2,486	2,494	2,505	2,505	2,529	2,543	2,607
FCSC	Date of Receipt	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JMD	Date of Receipt	12/07/2021	11/22/2021	11/17/2021	06/29/2021	04/12/2021	02/23/2021	02/01/2021	10/30/2020	03/03/2020	10/26/2017
	Number of Days Pending	704	714	717	814	868	902	917	976	1,145	1,730
NSD	Date of Receipt	05/18/2017	05/10/2017	05/05/2017	04/03/2017	12/15/2016	10/20/2016	04/12/2016	03/09/2016	11/23/2015	03/31/2015
	Number	1,841	1,847	1,850	1,874	1,947	1,985	2,118	2,142	2,214	2,378

	of Days Pending										
OCDETF	Date of Receipt	09/21/2024	09/16/2024	09/16/2024	09/16/2024	09/11/2024	08/31/2024	08/30/2024	01/08/2024	12/11/2023	07/20/2023
	Number of Days Pending	5	10	10	10	13	19	20	184	202	300
OIG	Date of Receipt	07/09/2020	07/08/2020	04/09/2020	02/24/2020	02/05/2020	12/17/2019	12/16/2019	05/14/2019	10/18/2018	02/21/2018
	Number of Days Pending	1,055	1,056	1,118	1,151	1,163	1,195	1,196	1,344	1,485	1,652
OIP	Date of Receipt	08/14/2017	08/03/2017	07/26/2017	07/25/2017	07/24/2017	07/10/2017	05/11/2017	05/04/2017	02/06/2017	01/10/2017
	Number of Days Pending	1,781	1,788	1,794	1,795	1,796	1,806	1,846	1,851	1,913	1,931
OJP	Date of Receipt	12/19/2017	12/12/2017	11/24/2017	10/20/2017	10/03/2017	09/20/2017	06/15/2017	05/12/2017	03/06/2017	03/01/2016
	Number of Days Pending	1,694	1,699	1,711	1,734	1,746	1,755	1,822	1,845	1,894	2,148
OLC	Date of	08/27/2014	08/27/2014	07/31/2014	07/14/2014	06/11/2014	06/03/2014	04/29/2014	04/18/2014	04/09/2014	02/27/2014

	Receipt										
	Number of Days Pending	2,523	2,523	2,542	2,555	2,577	2,583	2,600	2,614	2,621	2,650
OPR	Date of Receipt	10/18/2021	06/11/2021	05/06/2021	12/14/2020	10/16/2020	10/28/2019	06/17/2019	08/10/2018	07/01/2016	07/01/2016
	Number of Days Pending	738	825	850	947	963	1,229	1,321	1,532	2,061	2,061
OSG	Date of Receipt	08/24/2021	09/18/2023	06/06/2023	07/24/2023	07/06/2023	06/20/2022	02/21/2023	05/06/2022	01/05/2022	11/04/2021
	Number of Days Pending	248	259	259	298	310	359	404	600	685	725
OVW	Date of Receipt	06/25/2019	06/25/2019	04/11/2019	01/28/2019	07/19/2017	02/17/2016	02/17/2016	02/17/2016	02/17/2016	09/19/2016
	Number of Days Pending	1,315	1,315	1,367	1,419	1,799	1,809	1,809	1,809	1,809	2,007
PARDON	Date of Receipt	01/20/2023	12/05/2022	10/30/2022	10/13/2022	07/19/2022	07/19/2022	05/01/2022	07/12/2021	01/12/2021	11/26/2020
	Number of Days	425	456	479	491	522	522	604	806	929	953

	Pending										
PRAO	Date of Receipt	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/27/2024	09/25/2024	09/24/2024	09/13/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	11
Tax	Date of Receipt	03/23/2023	03/20/2023	02/22/2023	10/11/2022	09/12/2022	08/25/2022	03/03/2022	01/31/2022	01/12/2022	09/13/2018
	Number of Days Pending	382	385	403	493	513	524	646	668	680	1,509
USMS	Date of Receipt	05/03/2022	04/19/2022	03/25/2022	12/14/2021	01/06/2022	11/08/2021	10/29/2021	10/19/2021	08/04/2021	01/01/2021
	Number of Days Pending	603	613	630	679	684	723	729	737	789	935
USNCB	Date of Receipt	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/30/2024	09/27/2024	09/23/2024	03/26/2024	02/05/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	119	165
USPC	Date of Receipt	N/A	N/A	09/27/2024	09/25/2024	09/25/2024	09/24/2024	09/24/2024	09/24/2024	09/19/2024	09/06/2024

	Number of Days Pending	0	0	1	3	3	4	4	4	7	16
	Number of Days Pending	29	73	73	74	131	152	170	170	231	235
AGENCY OVERALL	Date	07/31/2014	07/30/2014	07/14/2014	06/11/2014	06/03/2014	04/29/2014	04/29/2014	04/18/2014	04/09/2014	02/27/2014
	Number of Days Pending	2,542	2,543	2,555	2,577	2,583	2,600	2,607	2,614	2,621	2,650

VIII.A. REQUESTS FOR EXPEDITED PROCESSING

Agency / Component	Number Granted	Number Denied	Median Number of Days to Adjudicate	Average Number of Days to Adjudicate	Number Adjudicated Within Ten Calendar Days
ATJ	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
Antitrust	0	11	5	5.82	9
ATF	28	261	2	5.81	249
BOP	65	110	5	8.22	147
Civil	0	3	15	50.67	1
Civil Rights	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
COPS	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
Criminal	3	32	14	33.66	17
CRS	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
DEA	0	128	8	37.36	68
ENRD	0	6	7.5	7.33	6
EOIR	249	2,174	2	7.49	2,192
EOUSA	9	103	3	5.33	98
EOUST	3	4	1	2.14	7
FBI	31	789	1	5.04	745
FCSC	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
JMD	0	6	4	7.33	5
NSD	1	5	18	16.33	1
OCDETF	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
OIG	0	5	7	8	3
OIP	71	145	4	7.2	173
OJP	14	38	4	13.92	42
OLC	6	10	16.5	18.56	6
OPR	0	2	7.5	7.5	2

OSG	1	0	28	28	0
OVW	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
PARDON	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
PRAO	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
Tax	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
USMS	15	236	5	91.96	151
USNCB	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
USPC	0	0	N/A	N/A	0
AGENCY OVERALL	496	4,068	2	12.73	3,922

VIII.B. REQUESTS FOR FEE WAIVER

Agency / Component	Number Granted	Number Denied	Median Number of Days to Adjudicate	Average Number of Days to Adjudicate
ATJ	0	0	N/A	N/A
Antitrust	0	0	N/A	N/A
ATF	0	0	N/A	N/A
BOP	7	1	7	25.75
Civil	0	0	N/A	N/A
Civil Rights	0	0	N/A	N/A
COPS	0	0	N/A	N/A
Criminal	0	0	N/A	N/A
CRS	0	0	N/A	N/A
DEA	0	9	47	78.22
ENRD	31	21	1	1
EOIR	1,489	29	1	11.87
EOUSA	4	30	1.5	47.03
EOUST	0	5	1	1.2
FBI	11	1,692	1	12.85
FCSC	0	0	N/A	N/A
JMD	0	0	N/A	N/A
NSD	0	0	N/A	N/A
OCDETF	0	0	N/A	N/A
OIG	0	0	N/A	N/A
OIP	0	0	N/A	N/A
OJP	0	0	N/A	N/A
OLC	0	0	N/A	N/A
OPR	0	0	N/A	N/A
OSG	0	0	N/A	N/A

OVW	0	0	N/A	N/A
PARDON	0	0	N/A	N/A
PRAO	0	0	N/A	N/A
Tax	0	0	N/A	N/A
USMS	593	231	1	10.48
USNCB	0	0	N/A	N/A
USPC	0	0	N/A	N/A
AGENCY OVERALL	2,135	2,018	1	12.31

IX. FOIA PERSONNEL AND COSTS

Agency / Component	PERSONNEL			COSTS		
	Number of "Full-Time FOIA Employees"	Number of "Equivalent Full-Time FOIA Employees"	Total Number of "Full-Time FOIA Staff"	Processing Costs	Litigations-Related Costs	Total Costs
ATJ	0	2	2	$71,297.00	$712.90	$72,009.90
Antitrust	3	3.6	6.6	$1,275,223.18	$51,387.81	$1,326,610.99
ATF	20	1	21	$5,312,471.37	$106,836.00	$5,419,307.37
BOP	8	9	17	$2,505,409.00	$557,395.00	$3,062,804.00
Civil	3	1.26	4.26	$536,832.00	$2,608,913.00	$3,145,745.00
Civil Rights	11	0	11	$2,169,272.00	$393,543.00	$2,562,815.00
COPS	1	0	1	$122,000.00	$0.00	$122,000.00
Criminal	10	3.6	13.6	$1,677,900.02	$1,363,772.25	$3,041,672.27
CRS	1	0.5	1.5	$184,422.00	$50,000.00	$234,422.00
DEA	11	5	16	$1,716,832.00	$770,618.00	$2,487,450.00
ENRD	2	1.38	3.38	$851,273.70	$87,576.38	$938,850.08
EOIR	33	32	65	$6,127,550.48	$15,325.00	$6,142,875.48

EOUSA	29	0.85	29.85	$4,276,009.50	$5,900,000.00	$10,176,009.50
EOUST	1	0.7	1.7	$365,120.22	$0.00	$365,120.22
FBI	247	8	255	$52,559,186.44	$1,697,816.00	$54,257,002.44
FCSC	0	0.03	0.03	$3,900.00	$0.00	$3,900.00
JMD	4	1.88	5.88	$731,322.00	$80,704.00	$812,026.00
NSD	2	1.75	3.75	$630,848.00	$255,063.00	$885,911.00
OCDETF	1	0	1	$194,837.00	$3,507.00	$198,344.00
OIG	6	2	8	$723,252.00	$180,813.00	$904,065.00
OIP	35	1.89	36.89	$7,235,778.00	$1,887,506.00	$9,123,284.00
OJP	4	1.5	5.5	$1,101,320.96	$52,487.58	$1,153,808.54
OLC	0	2.18	2.18	$269,479.65	$146,044.70	$415,524.35
OPR	2	2.25	4.25	$294,594.80	$86,472.20	$381,067.00
OSG	2	2	4	$301,197.48	$1,105.84	$302,303.32
OVW	0	0.75	0.75	$250,000.00	$0.00	$250,000.00
PARDON	1	1.4	2.4	$185,881.00	$0.00	$185,881.00
PRAO	0	0.25	0.25	$68,785.00	$0.00	$68,785.00
Tax	0	0.95	0.95	$235,170.46	$963,641.33	$1,198,811.79
USMS	7	6	13	$2,239,223.07	$311,750.00	$2,550,973.07

USNCB	1	0.01	1.01	$233,575.00	$0.00	$233,575.00
USPC	1	0	1	$210,758.00	$0.00	$210,758.00
AGENCY OVERALL	446	93.73	539.73	$94,660,721.33	$17,572,989.99	$112,233,711.32

X. FEES COLLECTED FOR PROCESSING REQUESTS

Agency / Component	Total Amount of Fees Collected	Percentage of Total Costs
ATJ	$0.00	0.00%
Antitrust	$0.00	0.00%
ATF	$0.00	0.00%
BOP	$0.00	0.00%
Civil	$0.00	0.00%
Civil Rights	$0.00	0.00%
COPS	$0.00	0.00%
Criminal	$0.00	0.00%
CRS	$0.00	0.00%
DEA	$1,723.60	0.07%
ENRD	$4,850.00	0.52%
EOIR	$0.00	0.00%
EOUSA	$17,895.00	0.18%
EOUST	$460.00	0.13%
FBI	$8,862.80	0.02%
FCSC	$0.00	0.00%

JMD	$0.00	0.00%
NSD	$0.00	0.00%
OCDETF	$0.00	0.00%
OIG	$0.00	0.00%
OIP	$0.00	0.00%
OJP	$0.00	0.00%
OLC	$0.00	0.00%
OPR	$0.00	0.00%
OSG	$0.00	0.00%
OVW	$0.00	0.00%
PARDON	$0.00	0.00%
PRAO	$0.00	0.00%
Tax	$0.00	0.00%
USMS	$2,213.42	0.09%
USNCB	$0.00	0.00%
USPC	$12.00	0.01%
AGENCY OVERALL	$36,016.82	0.03%

XI.A. NUMBER OF TIMES SUBSECTION (C) USED

Agency / Component	Number of Times Subsection Used
ATJ	0
Antitrust	0
ATF	0
BOP	0
Civil	0
Civil Rights	0
COPS	0
Criminal	0
CRS	0
DEA	7
ENRD	0
EOIR	0
EOUSA	0
EOUST	0
FBI	41
FCSC	0

JMD	0
NSD	0
OCDETF	0
OIG	0
OIP	0
OJP	0
OLC	0
OPR	0
OSG	0
OVW	0
PARDON	0
PRAO	0
Tax	0
USMS	0
USNCB	0
USPC	0
AGENCY OVERALL	48

XI.B. NUMBER OF SUBSECTION (A)(2) POSTINGS

Agency / Component	Number of Records Posted by the FOIA Office	Number of Records Posted by Program Offices
ATJ	0	0
Antitrust	1	973
ATF	94	46
BOP	18	100
Civil	134	1
Civil Rights	4	8,362
COPS	0	32
Criminal	4	16
CRS	0	0
DEA	0	2,000
ENRD	0	134
EOIR	59,010	62
EOUSA	0	0
EOUST	6	39
FBI	13	25

FCSC	0	0
JMD	0	217
NSD	0	0
OCDETF	0	0
OIG	84	118
OIP	182	540
OJP	3	22,334
OLC	25	0
OPR	4	0
OSG	0	147
OVW	0	0
PARDON	0	0
PRAO	0	0
Tax	12	265
USMS	1	1
USNCB	1	0
USPC	0	0
AGENCY OVERALL	59,596	35,412

XII.A. BACKLOGS OF FOIA REQUESTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Agency / Component	Number of Backlogged Requests as of End of Fiscal Year	Number of Backlogged Appeals as of End of Fiscal Year
ATJ	10	0
Antitrust	170	0
ATF	610	0
BOP	5,679	0
Civil	576	0
Civil Rights	339	0
COPS	3	0
Criminal	971	0
CRS	7	0
DEA	119	0
ENRD	23	0
EOIR	1,521	0
EOUSA	1,601	0
EOUST	0	0
FBI	6,052	0
FCSC	0	0

JMD	56	0
NSD	326	0
OCDETF	3	0
OIG	262	0
OIP	1,423	211
OJP	530	0
OLC	688	0
OPR	42	0
OSG	45	0
OVW	74	0
PARDON	56	0
PRAO	0	0
Tax	149	0
USMS	230	0
USNCB	2	0
USPC	0	0
AGENCY OVERALL	21,567	211

XII.B. CONSULTATIONS ON FOIA REQUESTS -- RECEIVED, PROCESSED, AND PENDING CONSULTATIONS

Agency / Component	Number of Consultations Received from Other Agencies that were Pending at the Agency as of Start of the Fiscal Year	Number of Consultations Received from Other Agencies During the Fiscal Year	Number of Consultations Received from Other Agencies that were Processed by the Agency During the Fiscal Year	Number of Consultations Received from Other Agencies that were Pending at the Agency as of End of the Fiscal Year
ATJ	0	0	0	0
Antitrust	3	24	19	8
ATF*	7	91	94	4
BOP*	5	31	32	4
Civil*	89	112	113	88
Civil Rights	3	90	87	6
COPS	0	14	14	0
Criminal*	84	49	34	99
CRS	0	21	21	0
DEA*	188	108	279	17
ENRD	3	53	52	4

EOIR	0	14	14	0
EOUSA*	103	283	247	139
EOUST	0	5	5	0
FBI*	73	153	151	75
FCSC	0	0	0	0
JMD	1	77	78	0
NSD*	36	157	179	14
OCDETF	0	21	18	3
OIG	0	0	0	0
OIP*	106	181	158	129
OJP	1	46	45	2
OLC*	26	54	57	23
OPR	0	19	19	0
OSG*	5	24	22	7
OVW	0	0	0	0
PARDON	0	0	0	0
PRAO	1	19	19	1
Tax*	3	17	7	13

USMS*	5	109	108	6
USNCB	0	5	5	0
USPC*	1	3	4	0
AGENCY OVERALL	743	1,780	1,881	642

*After reviewing their databases, the following components updated the number of consultations pending as of the start of the Fiscal Year: ATF, BOP, Civil, Criminal, DEA, EOUSA, FBI, NSD, OIP, OLC, OSG, Tax, USMS, and USPC.

XII.C. CONSULTATIONS ON FOIA REQUESTS -- TEN OLDEST CONSULTATIONS RECEIVED FROM OTHER AGENCIES AND PENDING AT THE AGENCY

Agency / Component		10th Oldest Consultation	9th	8th	7th	6th	5th	4th	3rd	2nd	Oldest Consultations
ATJ	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antitrust	Date	N/A	N/A	07/31/2024	05/31/2024	05/15/2024	03/20/2024	12/27/2023	12/20/2023	10/31/2023	04/27/2023
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	42	83	94	134	191	195	229	357
ATF	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/20/2024	09/17/2024	07/30/2024	06/28/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	43	64
BOP	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/24/2024	09/13/2024	05/07/2024	11/30/2023
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	100	209
Civil	Date	05/19/2023	05/01/2023	03/31/2023	03/29/2023	03/02/2023	01/25/2023	01/24/2023	01/23/2023	12/01/2022	10/27/2022
	Number of Days	341	355	376	378	397	422	423	424	458	481

	Pending										
Civil Rights	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/30/2024	09/27/2024	09/16/2024	09/03/2024	02/15/2024	01/17/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	19	157	178
COPS	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal	Date	03/26/2021	03/23/2021	12/23/2020	12/22/2020	12/21/2020	11/25/2020	11/16/2020	03/04/2020	12/30/2019	12/30/2019
	Number of Days Pending	879	882	940	941	942	959	966	1,144	1,188	1,188
CRS	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEA	Date	05/30/2023	11/08/2022	04/20/2022	11/10/2021	10/01/2021	04/07/2021	02/24/2021	01/23/2020	01/16/2020	06/24/2019
	Number of Days Pending	335	473	612	721	748	871	901	1,172	1,176	1,316
ENRD	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/17/202	09/16/202	08/08/20	06/27/202

								4	4	24	4
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	10	36	65
EOIR	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EOUSA	Date	10/13/2022	10/04/2022	05/24/2022	05/23/2022	05/10/2022	02/07/2022	02/02/2022	01/06/2022	06/16/2021	05/16/2021
	Number of Days Pending	491	497	588	589	598	663	666	684	822	843
EOUST	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FBI	Date	08/11/2023	06/02/2023	05/19/2023	03/15/2023	03/15/2023	03/15/2023	03/14/2023	03/10/2023	02/21/2023	01/11/2023
	Number of Days Pending	284	332	341	388	388	388	389	391	404	431
FCSC	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	of Days Pending										
JMD	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSD	Date	03/16/2023	02/09/2023	05/20/2022	10/26/2021	10/18/2021	09/08/2021	05/05/2021	03/03/2021	02/25/2021	02/02/2021
	Number of Days Pending	387	411	590	732	738	765	851	896	900	916
OCDETF	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/30/2024	09/25/2024	09/10/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	14
OIG	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OIP	Date	09/29/2021	09/07/2021	08/06/2021	07/15/2021	06/02/2021	05/26/2021	05/14/2021	04/16/2021	03/05/2021	04/23/2020
	Number of Days Pending	750	766	787	803	832	836	844	864	894	1,108

OJP	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/24/2024	04/24/2020
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1,107
OLC	Date	01/05/2024	12/20/2023	12/12/2023	12/04/2023	11/29/2023	11/09/2023	10/31/2023	10/27/2023	10/10/2023	08/22/2023
	Number of Days Pending	185	195	201	207	210	222	229	231	244	277
OPR	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OSG	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	07/25/2024	07/08/2024	05/30/2024	05/08/2024	05/06/2024	12/07/2023	12/04/2023
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	46	59	84	99	101	204	207
OVW	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARDON	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	of Days Pending										
PRAO	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/23/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Tax	Date	02/16/2024	01/31/2024	11/21/2023	10/31/2023	10/23/2023	10/23/2023	10/20/2023	03/09/2023	06/28/2022	04/01/2022
	Number of Days Pending	156	168	215	229	235	235	236	392	565	625
USMS	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	09/30/2024	09/24/2024	09/10/2024	09/06/2024	08/01/2024	07/26/2024
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	1	4	14	16	41	45
USNCB	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USPC	Date	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Number of Days Pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AGENCY OVERALL	Date	11/25/2020	11/16/2020	04/24/2020	04/23/2020	03/04/2020	01/23/2020	01/16/2020	12/30/2019	12/30/2019	06/24/2019
	Number of Days Pending	959	966	1,107	1,108	1,144	1,172	1,176	1,188	1,188	1,316

XII.D.(1). COMPARISON OF NUMBERS OF REQUESTS FROM PREVIOUS AND CURRENT ANNUAL REPORT -- REQUESTS RECEIVED AND PROCESSED

Agency / Component	NUMBER OF REQUESTS RECEIVED		NUMBER OF REQUESTS PROCESSED	
	Number Received During Fiscal Year from Last Year's Annual Report	Number Received During Fiscal Year from Current Annual Report	Number Processed During Fiscal Year from Last Year's Annual Report	Number Processed During Fiscal Year from Current Annual Report
ATJ	3	76	2	61
Antitrust	172	383	145	351
ATF	1,734	2,141	1,774	2,145
BOP	5,146	5,897	4,229	6,329
Civil	474	564	118	296
Civil Rights	377	414	314	483
COPS	180	237	176	234
Criminal	1,049	1,296	966	1,236
CRS	53	120	36	131
DEA	1,634	1,612	1,646	3,337
ENRD	148	244	150	239
EOIR	70,475	87,842	104,290	109,163
EOUSA	3,247	3,610	3,289	3,757

EOUST	64	99	62	100
FBI	18,803	18,218	19,359	20,145
FCSC	28	76	28	76
JMD	297	263	298	381
NSD	402	499	439	379
OCDETF	59	92	65	80
OIG	284	533	294	428
OIP	2,209	3,001	2,318	2,876
OJP	460	608	360	509
OLC	127	169	99	103
OPR	116	160	117	153
OSG	73	117	81	96
OVW	79	144	37	107
PARDON	49	78	5	84
PRAO	25	57	26	53
Tax	94	186	72	52
USMS	2,606	3,300	2,807	3,293
USNCB	172	190	167	187

USPC	295	301	296	316
AGENCY OVERALL	110,934	132,527	144,065	157,180

XII.D.(2). COMPARISON OF NUMBERS OF REQUESTS FROM PREVIOUS AND CURRENT ANNUAL REPORT -- BACKLOGGED REQUESTS

Agency / Component	Number of Backlogged Requests as of End of the Fiscal Year from Previous Annual Report	Number of Backlogged Requests as of End of the Fiscal Year from Current Annual Report
ATJ	1	10
Antitrust	135	170
ATF	642	610
BOP	6,003	5,679
Civil	375	576
Civil Rights	427	339
COPS	0	3
Criminal	878	971
CRS	7	7
DEA	1,707	119
ENRD	28	23
EOIR	21,623	1,521
EOUSA	1,920	1,601
EOUST	0	0
FBI	6,727	6,052
FCSC	0	0
JMD	159	56
NSD	288	326
OCDETF	1	3
OIG	226	262
OIP	1,283	1,423
OJP	431	530
OLC	638	688
OPR	34	42

OSG	21	45
OVW	50	74
PARDON	33	56
PRAO	0	0
Tax	24	149
USMS	263	230
USNCB	2	2
USPC	1	0
AGENCY OVERALL	43,927	21,567

XII.E.(1). COMPARISON OF NUMBERS OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS FROM PREVIOUS AND CURRENT ANNUAL REPORT -- APPEALS RECEIVED AND PROCESSED

Agency / Component	NUMBER OF APPEALS RECEIVED		NUMBER OF APPEALS PROCESSED	
	Number Received During Fiscal Year from Last Year's Annual Report	Number Received During Fiscal Year from Current Annual Report	Number Processed During Fiscal Year from Last Year's Annual Report	Number Processed During Fiscal Year from Current Annual Report
OIP	2,044	2,577	2,126	2,479
AGENCY OVERALL	2,044	2,577	2,126	2,479

XII.E.(2). COMPARISON OF NUMBERS OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS FROM PREVIOUS AND CURRENT ANNUAL REPORT -- BACKLOGGED APPEALS

Agency / Component	Number of Backlogged Appeals as of End of the Fiscal Year from Previous Annual Report	Number of Backlogged Appeals as of End of the Fiscal Year from Current Annual Report
OIP	240	211
AGENCY OVERALL	240	211

EXHIBIT F

Democracy Defenders Fund

July 28, 2025

Christina Butler
Chief, FOIA/PA Unit
Criminal Division, Department of Justice
950 Constitution Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer,

Democracy Defenders Fund ("DDF") respectfully submits the following request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq*. and Department of Justice ("DOJ") regulations, 28 C.F.R. part 16.

Specifically, DDF requests all records from January 20, 2025, to when this request is processed, of:

1. Records of all communications, including, but not limited to, letters, faxes, emails, voicemails, direct messages, or any form of electronic message, regardless of whether maintained on an official device or account or a personal device or account, that refer to "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," that were sent from, received by, or transmitted to any Department of Justice official including, but not limited to, Attorney General Pamela Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, and FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino. This includes any attachments, including, but not limited to, meeting agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed by agency personnel. This request includes direct correspondence as well as communications facilitated by or through third parties.

2. Any communications, in any form, including audiovisual media such as digital video, VHS, DVD, or other similar format, discussing or explaining the process of how department officials should approach or address references to Donald Trump or Mar-a-lago appearing in any files related to the Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell cases, including any communications that describe how to flag, categorize, or memorialize mentions of President Trump. This request includes, but is not limited to, videos circulated on unclassified networks within the Department.

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General

Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting.

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes, without limitation, all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, and anyone who was cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*.[1] If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[2] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[3] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

Fee Waiver Request

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(a) and 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k), DDF requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request. Department regulations provide for a waiver of fees when it involves the "disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[4] Courts have found that a fee waiver is appropriate when the disclosure will "(1) shed light on 'the operations or

[1] 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
[2] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[3] *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
[4] 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(1).

activities of the government'; (2) be 'likely to contribute significantly to public understanding' of those operations or activities; and (3) not be 'primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.'" DDF's request meets all elements.[5]

First, the disclosure will shed light on the Department of Justice's possession, retention, handling, and disposition of documents and communications related to criminal activity by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell involving illegal sexual activity by Epstein with underage girls, including records the Department may have that name clients and associates of Epstein and records that may name President Trump in relation to these matters. These records may also reveal to the public a potential attempt to cover up negative or potentially criminal conduct, including by President Trump. The existence and extent of such records, and the government's response to their collection and dissemination, are currently a question of immense media speculation.[6] In February 2025, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with Fox News that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[7] It was recently reported that Attorney General Bondi assigned nearly 1,000 FBI officials to comb through tens of thousands of pages of documents related to Epstein to flag mentions of the President.[8] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "this systematic review revealed no incriminating 'client list."[9] However, the Wall Street Journal has reported that the Attorney General personally briefed the President on instances of his name appearing in documents related to Jeffrey Epstein in May.[10] Given contradictory statements made by the Department, and the fact that President Trump had a prior long-standing friendship with Epstein before it ended in around 2004,[11] disclosure of these records would conclusively show whether the Department has the information it now purports that it does not have, and, if such records exist, whether Donald Trump's name appears in any of the documents related to Jeffery Epstein. This release is also important to understand whether any undue political influence by the President has affected the Department's ability or willingness to release documents related to Jeffrey Epstein. Prior to being elected to a second term, the President promised repeatedly during his campaign that he would release these files.[12] Yet, in the face of increased pressure to release the full array of documents, the President has continued to evade the issue.[13] Disclosure of these documents is therefore necessary to provide a

[5] *Cause of Action v. FTC*, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115 (D.C. Cir. 2015).
[6] *See* Devlin Barrett, *What to Know About the Epstein Files, a Perfect Recipe for Conspiracy Theories*, New York Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-files-trump.html.
[7] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.
[8] Alexander Bolton, *Durbin: FBI Agents were Told to 'Flag' Epstein Records that Mention Trump*, The Hill (July 18, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/senate/5409002-trump-epstein-files-ag-bondi-patel-bongino-fbi-agents-durbin-wall-street-journal/
[9] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.
[10] Sadie Gurman, et al., *Justice Department Told Trump in May that His Name is Among Many in the Epstein Files*, Wall Street J. (July 23, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/justice-department-told-trump-name-in-epstein-files-727a8038?mod=hp_trendingnow_article_pos1
[11] Luke Broadwater, *A Timeline of What We Know About Trump and Epstein*, New York Times (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/17/us/politics/timeline-trump-epstein.html.
[12] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga
[13] Chris Cameron, Trump Really Wants to Stop Talking About Epstein: A Timeline, NY Times (July 23, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/23/us/politics/trump-epstein-distraction-timeline.html.

full record of what communications occurred, and whether any such communications might have resulted in abnormalities in the Department's statements or actions concerning the existence of Epstein's "Client List."

Second, disclosure of the information will significantly aid the public's understanding of the Department's handling of records pertaining to the investigations involving Jeffrey Epstein. President Trump's failure to release the Epstein files has undermined public confidence in the Department's handling of these records because of repeated promises he made to his supporters during the campaign that he would declassify the Epstein files and release the "Epstein client list".[14] The Department's handling of the matter has further undermined public confidence by making contradictory statements related to the existence of an Epstein "client list".[15] As noted above, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with FOX that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[16] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "This systematic review revealed no incriminating "client list."[17] This has caused immense confusion amongst the public, which has been the subject of ongoing new media coverage.[18] Adding to this confusion, the President recently asked the Department of Justice to release grand jury documents related to the prosecution of Jefferey Epstein, documents that likely will only constitute a small portion of the documents held by the Department.[19] Release of the documents requested is therefore necessary to aid the public in its understanding of the Department's handling of the records and to ensure that the public has access to the full record of relevant documents concerning this investigation.

Third, the purpose of the disclosures is not "primarily in the commercial interest of" DDF. DDF is a nonprofit organization established under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. DDF's core mission is to defend the rule of law, fight corruption, and protect elections using a variety of tools including publication of information concerning ongoing activities of the government. DDF regularly speaks on issues related to government activities through traditional broadcast media, podcasts, and the issuance of op-eds.[20] DDF maintains a dedicated website

---

[14] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.

[15] *See*, *e.g.*, Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC News (Jul. 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.

[16] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.

[17] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

[18] *See*, *e.g.*, Mike Wendling, *Why the Epstein case looms large in MAGA world*, BBC (Jul. 18, 2025) https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cy8ge16d2y3o; Jason Lange, *Trump faces backlash as 69% believe Epstein details concealed, Reuters/Ipsos poll finds,* Reuters (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-faces-backlash-69-believe-epstein-details-concealed-reutersipsos-poll-2025-07-17/.

[19] Larry Neumesiter, *Request to unseal Epstein grand jury transcripts likely to disappoint, ex-prosecutors say*, AP News (Jan. 20, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/trump-jeffrey-epstein-grand-jury-justice-department-4634e5afceb8b1bb43f23558dfbb1d6c.

[20] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* Norman Eisen, Virginia Canter, and Richard Painter, *A Plane from*

where it provides information related to its activities, which can be accessed by the public.[21] The purpose of the disclosure is to inform the public on activities of the government.

In addition, DDF requests waiver of fees related to processing this request as representative of the news media pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). DDF routinely collects information of "potential interest to a segment of the public" and "uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."[22] As noted above, DDF maintains a dedicated webpage for informing the public about activities related to government activity through a variety of media, including press releases, public reports, and op-eds. DDF experts routinely engage with the public and with other members of the new media to publicize important information, including information related to government activities. Pursuant to existing case law, DDF clearly meets the criteria for a fee waiver under section 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

Request for Expedited Processing

In addition, DDF also provides the following amended supplemental information concerning its request for expedited processing:

DDF has requested expedition of this request because:

1. **The request concerns an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, and DDF is primarily engaged in disseminating information concerning that activity.**

Department regulations provide for expedited processing of any request involving an "urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal government activity, if made by a person who primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The outrageous nature of the alleged activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of the Department to release the files, after both the President and his Attorney General promised to do so, has caused his supporters, the media and the general public much outrage and alarm. The failure to release the documents raises questions about whether President Trump's name may appear in the files due to his past relationship with Epstein,[23] and if so, whether that may be hindering public disclosure. Because this topic is of significant public importance–and the President already appears to be evading disclosure through many public comments[24]–under FOIA's expedited processing provisions, this request is of the utmost urgency and any delay will deprive the public of timely information necessary to evaluate the government's handling of records pertaining to these investigations.

Qatar? C'Mon, Man!, New York Times (May 14, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/opinion/trump-plane-qatar-crypto.html.

[21] *See* https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/news-resources.

[22] *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dept. of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

[23] Mike Baker and Michael S. Schmidt, *An Accuser's Story Suggests How Trump Might Appear in the Epstein Files*, The New York Times (July. 20, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/epstein-employee-trump-investigation.html.

[24] *See*, *e.g.*, Stephen Fowler, *Trump tells supporters not to 'waste time' on Epstein files. They're not happy*, NPR (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/07/14/nx-s1-5467151/trump-epstein-files-doj-fbi-maga.

DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about the findings of this request.[25] Through a combination of investigative reports, in-depth analyses, press releases, and dynamic engagement on multiple digital and traditional media channels, DDF ensures that critical information reaches a wide spectrum of stakeholders, from policymakers and advocacy groups to individual citizens seeking accountability in government operations.[26] This multifaceted approach amplifies the impact of the information obtained and facilitates informed public discourse on pressing issues.

DDF's communications infrastructure is robust and designed to maximize reach and engagements. With a significant presence across social media platforms, and targeted outreach initiatives, DDF has built a network capable of rapidly disseminating accurate and detailed information regarding government activities. By making these findings relatable and understandable, DDF not only informs but empowers the public to participate meaningfully in conversations about governance and accountability. The necessity of expediting the production of responsive records is underscored by DDF's proven capacity to reach a wide audience and spark meaningful public dialogue.

2. The request involves a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest involving questions about the President's integrity which affect public confidence.

DDF requests expedited processing as well under 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv), which provides that the Department will process requests on an expedited basis if it involves "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence."

There is no question that the Department's handling of the Epstein files has generated exceptional widespread media interest. For example, news and media outlets, including Fox

[25] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* David A. Fahrenthold, *Two Watchdogs Were Rebuffed From Joining Trump's Cost-Cutting Effort*, The New York Times (Jan. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/16/us/doge-trump-watchdogs.html; *see also* Stephen M. Lepore, *DOGE'S brutal response to former Obama and Clinton aides attempting to join Elon Musk's cost cutting machine*, Daily Mail (Jan. 17, 2025, 1:31 EST), https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14295275/DOGE-brutal-response-former-Obama-Clinton-aides-Elon-Musk.html; *see also* Travis Gettys, *'Too many to enumerate': Watchdogs open probe into Musk's alleged 'conflicts of interest'*, Rawstory (Dec. 27, 2024), https://www.rawstory.com/musk-doge-ethics/.

[26] State Democracy Defenders Fund, https://statedemocracydefenders.org/fund/ (last visited Jan. 23, 2025)

News,[27] New York Times,[28] Wall Street Journal,[29] and Washington Post,[30] have all released multiple stories related to the failure of the Department to release the Epstein files. Influential podcasters like Joe Rogan have not only attacked FBI officials for withholding the files, but specifically noted how "cynical" people have become as a result of the Department's failure to release them.[31]

The extensive media coverage serves as both evidence of widespread concern and a stark reminder of what's missing. The requested documents are essential for ensuring the public remains informed. As noted, DDF has a proven ability to reach broad and diverse audiences, which positions this organization as a key disseminator of this missing information. These insights are especially critical given our extensive knowledge of government corruption and malfeasance.

Immediate compliance with this FOIA request is necessary not only to uphold legal obligations under FOIA but also to address the growing demand for transparency on an issue of national importance that has captured significant national attention. The government's credibility depends on its willingness to timely meet this demand and to allow the public to scrutinize its actions through the lens of complete and accurate information.

---

[27] Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, Fox News (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, Fox News (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375808075112; https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112.

[28] Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, New York Times (Jul. 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html.

[29] Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?gaa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, Wall St. Journal (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa_at.

[30] *Trump's "Epstein Files" Problem*, Washington Post Podcast (Jul. 15, 2025) https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, Washington Post (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, Washington Post (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//

[31] Eboni Boykin-Patterson, *Joe Rogan Rips Patel and Bongino for Letting Him Down on Epstein*, The Daily Beast (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.thedailybeast.com/joe-rogan-rips-kash-patel-and-dan-bongino-for-letting-him-down-on-epstein/.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

CC: Director of Public Affairs, Office of Public Affairs
United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530

Guidance Regarding the Search and Processing of Requested Records:

In connection with this request for records, we provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

The request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to the request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email. I have included potential domain names where possible to aid your search.

Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, out of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please provide the requested documents in the following format: In PDF format, electronically searchable wherever possible;

- Each paper record in a separately saved file;
- ‘Parent-child’ relationships maintained, meaning that the requester must be able to identify the attachments with emails;
- Any data records in native format (i.e. Excel spreadsheets in Excel);
- Emails should include BCC and any other hidden fields; with any other metadata preserved.

For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars - the request is for the production of any calendar, paper or electronic, whether on government issued or personal devices, used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business. The search should include any calendars associated with an agency official's individual email account, as well as any official calendars maintained for the official, including by his or her administrative assistant or scheduler.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[32] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[33] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

In the event my fees are not waived, we agree to pay reasonable duplication fees in an amount not to exceed $100, but I request to be notified before processing incurs expenses in excess of that amount.

If you have any questions about this clarification or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

[32] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[33] *See* Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

EXHIBIT G

An official website of the United States government
Here's how you know

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT of JUSTICE

FOIA.gov

MENU

Thank you for visiting FOIA.gov, the government's central website for FOIA. We'll continue to make improvements to the site and look forward to your input. Please submit feedback to National.FOIAPortal@usdoj.gov.

Submission ID: 2295986

Success!

Your FOIA request has been created and is being sent to the Criminal Division.

You'll hear back from the agency confirming receipt in the coming weeks using the contact information you provided. If you have questions about your request, feel free to reach out to the agency FOIA personnel using the information provided below.

Contact the agency

FOIA Requester Service Center

202-616-0307

Alison Epstein, FOIA Public Liaison

202-616-0307

Christina Butler
Chief, FOIA/PA Unit
Criminal Division, Department of Justice
950 Constitution Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

crm.foia@usdoj.gov

Request summary

Request submitted on **July 28, 2025**.

The confirmation ID for your request is **2295986**.

The confirmation ID is only for identifying your request on FOIA.gov and acts as a receipt to show that you submitted a request using FOIA.gov. This number does not replace the information you'll receive from the agency to track your request. In case there is an issue submitting your request to the agency you selected, you can use this number to help.

Contact information

Name
Gabriel Lezra

Mailing address
600 Pennsylvania Ave. S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003
United States

Phone number
9176752509

Company/organization
Democracy Defenders Fund

Email
gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org

Your request

See attached.

Additional information

DDF. CRIM FOIA EPSTEIN COMMUNICATIONS (July 28 25).pdf

Fees

What type of requester are you?
media

Fee waiver
yes

Fee waiver justification
See attached.

Request expedited processing

Expedited processing
yes

Justification for expedited processing
See attached.

FOIA.gov

CONTACT

Office of Information Policy (OIP)

U.S. Department of Justice
441 G St, NW, 6th Floor
Washington, DC 20530
E-mail: National.FOIAPortal@usdoj.gov

Hero image credit CC3.0

- FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS
- DEVELOPER RESOURCES
- AGENCY API SPEC
- FOIA CONTACT DOWNLOAD
- FOIA DATASET DOWNLOAD
- ACCESSIBILITY
- PRIVACY POLICY
- POLICIES & DISCLAIMERS
- JUSTICE.GOV
- USA.GOV

EXHIBIT H

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting.

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes, without limitation, all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, and anyone who was cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*.[1] If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[2] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[3] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

Fee Waiver Request

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(a) and 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k), DDF requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request. Department regulations provide for a waiver of fees when it involves the "disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the

[1] 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
[2] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[3] *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[4] Courts have found that a fee waiver is appropriate when the disclosure will "(1) shed light on 'the operations or activities of the government'; (2) be 'likely to contribute significantly to public understanding' of those operations or activities; and (3) not be 'primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.'" DDF's request meets all elements.[5]

First, the disclosure will shed light on the Department of Justice's possession, retention, handling, and disposition of documents and communications related to criminal activity by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell involving illegal sexual activity by Epstein with underage girls, including records the Department may have that name clients and associates of Epstein and records that may name President Trump in relation to these matters. These records may also reveal to the public a potential attempt to cover up negative or potentially criminal conduct, including by President Trump. The existence and extent of such records, and the government's response to their collection and dissemination, are currently a question of immense media speculation.[6] In February 2025, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with Fox News that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[7] It was recently reported that Attorney General Bondi assigned nearly 1,000 FBI officials to comb through tens of thousands of pages of documents related to Epstein to flag mentions of the President.[8] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "this systematic review revealed no incriminating 'client list."[9] However, the Wall Street Journal has reported that the Attorney General personally briefed the President on instances of his name appearing in documents related to Jeffrey Epstein in May.[10] Given contradictory statements made by the Department, and the fact that President Trump had a prior long-standing friendship with Epstein before it ended in around 2004,[11] disclosure of these records would conclusively show whether the Department has the information it now purports that it does not have, and, if such records exist, whether Donald Trump's name appears in any of the documents related to Jeffery Epstein. This release is also important to understand whether any undue political influence by the President has affected the Department's ability or willingness to release documents related to Jeffrey Epstein. Prior to being elected to a second term, the President promised repeatedly during his campaign that he would release these files.[12] Yet, in the face of increased pressure to release the full array of documents, the President has

[4] 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(1).
[5] *Cause of Action v. FTC*, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115 (D.C. Cir. 2015).
[6] *See* Devlin Barrett, *What to Know About the Epstein Files, a Perfect Recipe for Conspiracy Theories*, New York Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-files-trump.html.
[7] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.
[8] Alexander Bolton, *Durbin: FBI Agents were Told to 'Flag' Epstein Records that Mention Trump*, The Hill (July 18, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/senate/5409002-trump-epstein-files-ag-bondi-patel-bongino-fbi-agents-durbin-wall-street-journal/
[9] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.
[10] Sadie Gurman, et al., *Justice Department Told Trump in May that His Name is Among Many in the Epstein Files*, Wall Street J. (July 23, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/justice-department-told-trump-name-in-epstein-files-727a8038?mod=hp_trendingnow_article_pos1
[11] Luke Broadwater, *A Timeline of What We Know About Trump and Epstein*, New York Times (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/17/us/politics/timeline-trump-epstein.html.
[12] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga

continued to evade the issue.[13] Disclosure of these documents is therefore necessary to provide a full record of what communications occurred, and whether any such communications might have resulted in abnormalities in the Department's statements or actions concerning the existence of Epstein's "Client List."

Second, disclosure of the information will significantly aid the public's understanding of the Department's handling of records pertaining to the investigations involving Jeffrey Epstein. President Trump's failure to release the Epstein files has undermined public confidence in the Department's handling of these records because of repeated promises he made to his supporters during the campaign that he would declassify the Epstein files and release the "Epstein client list".[14] The Department's handling of the matter has further undermined public confidence by making contradictory statements related to the existence of an Epstein "client list".[15] As noted above, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with FOX that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[16] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "This systematic review revealed no incriminating "client list."[17] This has caused immense confusion amongst the public, which has been the subject of ongoing new media coverage.[18] Adding to this confusion, the President recently asked the Department of Justice to release grand jury documents related to the prosecution of Jefferey Epstein, documents that likely will only constitute a small portion of the documents held by the Department.[19] Release of the documents requested is therefore necessary to aid the public in its understanding of the Department's handling of the records and to ensure that the public has access to the full record of relevant documents concerning this investigation.

Third, the purpose of the disclosures is not "primarily in the commercial interest of" DDF. DDF is a nonprofit organization established under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. DDF's core mission is to defend the rule of law, fight corruption, and protect elections using a variety of tools including publication of information concerning ongoing activities of the government. DDF regularly speaks on issues related to government activities through traditional

---

[13] Chris Cameron, Trump Really Wants to Stop Talking About Epstein: A Timeline, NY Times (July 23, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/23/us/politics/trump-epstein-distraction-timeline.html.

[14] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.

[15] *See*, *e.g.*, Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC News (Jul. 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.

[16] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.

[17] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

[18] *See*, *e.g.*, Mike Wendling, *Why the Epstein case looms large in MAGA world*, BBC (Jul. 18, 2025) https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cy8ge16d2y3o; Jason Lange, *Trump faces backlash as 69% believe Epstein details concealed, Reuters/Ipsos poll finds,* Reuters (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-faces-backlash-69-believe-epstein-details-concealed-reutersipsos-poll-2025-07-17/.

[19] Larry Neumesiter, *Request to unseal Epstein grand jury transcripts likely to disappoint, ex-prosecutors say*, AP News (Jan. 20, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/trump-jeffrey-epstein-grand-jury-justice-department-4634e5afceb8b1bb43f23558dfbb1d6c.

broadcast media, podcasts, and the issuance of op-eds.[20] DDF maintains a dedicated website where it provides information related to its activities, which can be accessed by the public.[21] The purpose of the disclosure is to inform the public on activities of the government.

In addition, DDF requests waiver of fees related to processing this request as representative of the news media pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). DDF routinely collects information of "potential interest to a segment of the public" and "uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."[22] As noted above, DDF maintains a dedicated webpage for informing the public about activities related to government activity through a variety of media, including press releases, public reports, and op-eds. DDF experts routinely engage with the public and with other members of the new media to publicize important information, including information related to government activities. Pursuant to existing case law, DDF clearly meets the criteria for a fee waiver under section 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

Request for Expedited Processing

In addition, DDF also provides the following amended supplemental information concerning its request for expedited processing:

DDF has requested expedition of this request because:

1. **The request concerns an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, and DDF is primarily engaged in disseminating information concerning that activity.**

Department regulations provide for expedited processing of any request involving an "urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal government activity, if made by a person who primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The outrageous nature of the alleged activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of the Department to release the files, after both the President and his Attorney General promised to do so, has caused his supporters, the media and the general public much outrage and alarm. The failure to release the documents raises questions about whether President Trump's name may appear in the files due to his past relationship with Epstein,[23] and if so, whether that may be hindering public disclosure. Because this topic is of significant public importance–and the President already appears to be evading disclosure through many public comments[24]–under FOIA's expedited processing

[20] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* Norman Eisen, Virginia Canter, and Richard Painter, *A Plane from Qatar? C'Mon, Man!*, New York Times (May 14, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/opinion/trump-plane-qatar-crypto.html.

[21] *See* https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/news-resources.

[22] *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dept. of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

[23] Mike Baker and Michael S. Schmidt, *An Accuser's Story Suggests How Trump Might Appear in the Epstein Files*, The New York Times (July. 20, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/epstein-employee-trump-investigation.html.

[24] *See*, *e.g.*, Stephen Fowler, *Trump tells supporters not to 'waste time' on Epstein files. They're not happy*, NPR (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/07/14/nx-s1-5467151/trump-epstein-files-doj-fbi-maga.

provisions, this request is of the utmost urgency and any delay will deprive the public of timely information necessary to evaluate the government's handling of records pertaining to these investigations.

DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about the findings of this request.[25] Through a combination of investigative reports, in-depth analyses, press releases, and dynamic engagement on multiple digital and traditional media channels, DDF ensures that critical information reaches a wide spectrum of stakeholders, from policymakers and advocacy groups to individual citizens seeking accountability in government operations.[26] This multifaceted approach amplifies the impact of the information obtained and facilitates informed public discourse on pressing issues.

DDF's communications infrastructure is robust and designed to maximize reach and engagements. With a significant presence across social media platforms, and targeted outreach initiatives, DDF has built a network capable of rapidly disseminating accurate and detailed information regarding government activities. By making these findings relatable and understandable, DDF not only informs but empowers the public to participate meaningfully in conversations about governance and accountability. The necessity of expediting the production of responsive records is underscored by DDF's proven capacity to reach a wide audience and spark meaningful public dialogue.

2. The request involves a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest involving questions about the President's integrity which affect public confidence.

DDF requests expedited processing as well under 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv), which provides that the Department will process requests on an expedited basis if it involves "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence."

There is no question that the Department's handling of the Epstein files has generated exceptional widespread media interest. For example, news and media outlets, including Fox

[25] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* David A. Fahrenthold, *Two Watchdogs Were Rebuffed From Joining Trump's Cost-Cutting Effort*, The New York Times (Jan. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/16/us/doge-trump-watchdogs.html; *see also* Stephen M. Lepore, *DOGE'S brutal response to former Obama and Clinton aides attempting to join Elon Musk's cost cutting machine*, Daily Mail (Jan. 17, 2025, 1:31 EST), https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14295275/DOGE-brutal-response-former-Obama-Clinton-aides-Elon-Musk.html; *see also* Travis Gettys, *'Too many to enumerate': Watchdogs open probe into Musk's alleged 'conflicts of interest'*, Rawstory (Dec. 27, 2024), https://www.rawstory.com/musk-doge-ethics/.

[26] State Democracy Defenders Fund, https://statedemocracydefenders.org/fund/ (last visited Jan. 23, 2025)

News,[27] New York Times,[28] Wall Street Journal,[29] and Washington Post,[30] have all released multiple stories related to the failure of the Department to release the Epstein files. Influential podcasters like Joe Rogan have not only attacked FBI officials for withholding the files, but specifically noted how "cynical" people have become as a result of the Department's failure to release them.[31]

The extensive media coverage serves as both evidence of widespread concern and a stark reminder of what's missing. The requested documents are essential for ensuring the public remains informed. As noted, DDF has a proven ability to reach broad and diverse audiences, which positions this organization as a key disseminator of this missing information. These insights are especially critical given our extensive knowledge of government corruption and malfeasance.

Immediate compliance with this FOIA request is necessary not only to uphold legal obligations under FOIA but also to address the growing demand for transparency on an issue of national importance that has captured significant national attention. The government's credibility depends on its willingness to timely meet this demand and to allow the public to scrutinize its actions through the lens of complete and accurate information.

---

[27] Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, Fox News (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, Fox News (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375808075112; https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112.

[28] Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, New York Times (Jul. 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html.

[29] Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?gaa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, Wall St. Journal (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa_at.

[30] *Trump's "Epstein Files" Problem*, Washington Post Podcast (Jul. 15, 2025) https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, Washington Post (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, Washington Post (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//

[31] Eboni Boykin-Patterson, *Joe Rogan Rips Patel and Bongino for Letting Him Down on Epstein*, The Daily Beast (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.thedailybeast.com/joe-rogan-rips-kash-patel-and-dan-bongino-for-letting-him-down-on-epstein/.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

CC: Director of Public Affairs, Office of Public Affairs
United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530

Guidance Regarding the Search and Processing of Requested Records:

In connection with this request for records, we provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

The request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to the request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email. I have included potential domain names where possible to aid your search.

Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, out of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please provide the requested documents in the following format: In PDF format, electronically searchable wherever possible;

- Each paper record in a separately saved file;
- ‘Parent-child’ relationships maintained, meaning that the requester must be able to identify the attachments with emails;
- Any data records in native format (i.e. Excel spreadsheets in Excel);
- Emails should include BCC and any other hidden fields; with any other metadata preserved.

For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars - the request is for the production of any calendar, paper or electronic, whether on government issued or personal devices, used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business. The search should include any calendars associated with an agency official's individual email account, as well as any official calendars maintained for the official, including by his or her administrative assistant or scheduler.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[32] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[33] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

In the event my fees are not waived, we agree to pay reasonable duplication fees in an amount not to exceed $100, but I request to be notified before processing incurs expenses in excess of that amount.

If you have any questions about this clarification or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

[32] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[33] *See* Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

EXHIBIT I

Democracy Defenders Fund

July 28, 2025

Director, Office of Public Affairs
Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530-0001
Attention: Andrew Fiorillo, Acting Chief, Initial Request Staff

RE: Freedom of Information Act Request; Expedited Processing Request Notice

Dear FOIA Officer:

Democracy Defenders Fund ("DDF") submitted the enclosed request for records to the FOIA offices of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, and identical requests for records to the Criminal Division, Federal Bureau of Investigations, and the Executive Office of the U.S. Attorneys today, July 28, 2025. Each request seeks expedited processing pursuant to 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii) and (e)(iv). Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(2), we are concurrently submitting these requests with the Office of Public Affairs for approval of expedited processing pursuant to 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv). The basis for expedited processing is set forth in the enclosures. DDF respectfully requests your prompt response to this matter.

If you have any questions about this request or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.
Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

Enclosures

Democracy Defenders Fund

July 28, 2025

Andrew Fiorillo,
Acting Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of the Attorney General
and Office of the Deputy Attorney General
6th Floor
441 G St, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer,

Democracy Defenders Fund ("DDF") respectfully submits the following request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq*. and Department of Justice ("DOJ") regulations, 28 C.F.R. part 16.

Specifically, DDF requests all records from January 20, 2025, to when this request is processed, of:

1. Records of all communications, including, but not limited to, letters, faxes, emails, voicemails, direct messages, or any form of electronic message, regardless of whether maintained on an official device or account or a personal device or account, that refer to "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," that were sent from, received by, or transmitted to any Department of Justice official including, but not limited to, Attorney General Pamela Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, and FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino. This includes any attachments, including, but not limited to, meeting agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed by agency personnel. This request includes direct correspondence as well as communications facilitated by or through third parties.

2. Any communications, in any form, including audiovisual media such as digital video, VHS, DVD, or other similar format, discussing or explaining the process of how department officials should approach or address references to Donald Trump or Mar-a-lago appearing in any files related to the Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell cases, including any communications that describe how to flag, categorize, or memorialize mentions of President Trump. This request includes, but is not limited to, videos circulated on unclassified networks within the Department.

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting.

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes, without limitation, all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, and anyone who was cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*.[1] If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[2] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[3] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

Fee Waiver Request

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(a) and 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k), DDF requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request. Department regulations provide for a waiver of fees when it involves the "disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the

[1] 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
[2] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[3] *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[4] Courts have found that a fee waiver is appropriate when the disclosure will "(1) shed light on 'the operations or activities of the government'; (2) be 'likely to contribute significantly to public understanding' of those operations or activities; and (3) not be 'primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.'" DDF's request meets all elements.[5]

First, the disclosure will shed light on the Department of Justice's possession, retention, handling, and disposition of documents and communications related to criminal activity by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell involving illegal sexual activity by Epstein with underage girls, including records the Department may have that name clients and associates of Epstein and records that may name President Trump in relation to these matters. These records may also reveal to the public a potential attempt to cover up negative or potentially criminal conduct, including by President Trump. The existence and extent of such records, and the government's response to their collection and dissemination, are currently a question of immense media speculation.[6] In February 2025, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with Fox News that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[7] It was recently reported that Attorney General Bondi assigned nearly 1,000 FBI officials to comb through tens of thousands of pages of documents related to Epstein to flag mentions of the President.[8] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "this systematic review revealed no incriminating 'client list."[9] However, the Wall Street Journal has reported that the Attorney General personally briefed the President on instances of his name appearing in documents related to Jeffrey Epstein in May.[10] Given contradictory statements made by the Department, and the fact that President Trump had a prior long-standing friendship with Epstein before it ended in around 2004,[11] disclosure of these records would conclusively show whether the Department has the information it now purports that it does not have, and, if such records exist, whether Donald Trump's name appears in any of the documents related to Jeffery Epstein. This release is also important to understand whether any undue political influence by the President has affected the Department's ability or willingness to release documents related to Jeffrey Epstein. Prior to being elected to a second term, the President promised repeatedly during his campaign that he would release these files.[12] Yet, in the face of increased pressure to release the full array of documents, the President has

[4] 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(1).
[5] *Cause of Action v. FTC*, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115 (D.C. Cir. 2015).
[6] *See* Devlin Barrett, *What to Know About the Epstein Files, a Perfect Recipe for Conspiracy Theories*, New York Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-files-trump.html.
[7] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.
[8] Alexander Bolton, *Durbin: FBI Agents were Told to 'Flag' Epstein Records that Mention Trump*, The Hill (July 18, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/senate/5409002-trump-epstein-files-ag-bondi-patel-bongino-fbi-agents-durbin-wall-street-journal/
[9] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.
[10] Sadie Gurman, et al., *Justice Department Told Trump in May that His Name is Among Many in the Epstein Files*, Wall Street J. (July 23, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/justice-department-told-trump-name-in-epstein-files-727a8038?mod=hp_trendingnow_article_pos1
[11] Luke Broadwater, *A Timeline of What We Know About Trump and Epstein*, New York Times (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/17/us/politics/timeline-trump-epstein.html.
[12] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga

continued to evade the issue.[13] Disclosure of these documents is therefore necessary to provide a full record of what communications occurred, and whether any such communications might have resulted in abnormalities in the Department's statements or actions concerning the existence of Epstein's "Client List."

Second, disclosure of the information will significantly aid the public's understanding of the Department's handling of records pertaining to the investigations involving Jeffrey Epstein. President Trump's failure to release the Epstein files has undermined public confidence in the Department's handling of these records because of repeated promises he made to his supporters during the campaign that he would declassify the Epstein files and release the "Epstein client list".[14] The Department's handling of the matter has further undermined public confidence by making contradictory statements related to the existence of an Epstein "client list".[15] As noted above, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with FOX that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[16] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "This systematic review revealed no incriminating "client list."[17] This has caused immense confusion amongst the public, which has been the subject of ongoing new media coverage.[18] Adding to this confusion, the President recently asked the Department of Justice to release grand jury documents related to the prosecution of Jefferey Epstein, documents that likely will only constitute a small portion of the documents held by the Department.[19] Release of the documents requested is therefore necessary to aid the public in its understanding of the Department's handling of the records and to ensure that the public has access to the full record of relevant documents concerning this investigation.

Third, the purpose of the disclosures is not "primarily in the commercial interest of" DDF. DDF is a nonprofit organization established under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. DDF's core mission is to defend the rule of law, fight corruption, and protect elections using a variety of tools including publication of information concerning ongoing activities of the government. DDF regularly speaks on issues related to government activities through traditional

---

[13] Chris Cameron, Trump Really Wants to Stop Talking About Epstein: A Timeline, NY Times (July 23, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/23/us/politics/trump-epstein-distraction-timeline.html.

[14] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.

[15] *See*, *e.g.*, Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC News (Jul. 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.

[16] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.

[17] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

[18] *See*, *e.g.*, Mike Wendling, *Why the Epstein case looms large in MAGA world*, BBC (Jul. 18, 2025) https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cy8ge16d2y3o; Jason Lange, *Trump faces backlash as 69% believe Epstein details concealed, Reuters/Ipsos poll finds,* Reuters (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-faces-backlash-69-believe-epstein-details-concealed-reutersipsos-poll-2025-07-17/.

[19] Larry Neumesiter, *Request to unseal Epstein grand jury transcripts likely to disappoint, ex-prosecutors say*, AP News (Jan. 20, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/trump-jeffrey-epstein-grand-jury-justice-department-4634e5afceb8b1bb43f23558dfbb1d6c.

broadcast media, podcasts, and the issuance of op-eds.[20] DDF maintains a dedicated website where it provides information related to its activities, which can be accessed by the public.[21] The purpose of the disclosure is to inform the public on activities of the government.

In addition, DDF requests waiver of fees related to processing this request as representative of the news media pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). DDF routinely collects information of "potential interest to a segment of the public" and "uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."[22] As noted above, DDF maintains a dedicated webpage for informing the public about activities related to government activity through a variety of media, including press releases, public reports, and op-eds. DDF experts routinely engage with the public and with other members of the new media to publicize important information, including information related to government activities. Pursuant to existing case law, DDF clearly meets the criteria for a fee waiver under section 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

Request for Expedited Processing

In addition, DDF also provides the following amended supplemental information concerning its request for expedited processing:

DDF has requested expedition of this request because:

1. **The request concerns an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, and DDF is primarily engaged in disseminating information concerning that activity.**

Department regulations provide for expedited processing of any request involving an "urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal government activity, if made by a person who primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The outrageous nature of the alleged activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of the Department to release the files, after both the President and his Attorney General promised to do so, has caused his supporters, the media and the general public much outrage and alarm. The failure to release the documents raises questions about whether President Trump's name may appear in the files due to his past relationship with Epstein,[23] and if so, whether that may be hindering public disclosure. Because this topic is of significant public importance–and the President already appears to be evading disclosure through many public comments[24]–under FOIA's expedited processing

[20] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* Norman Eisen, Virginia Canter, and Richard Painter, *A Plane from Qatar? C'Mon, Man!*, New York Times (May 14, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/opinion/trump-plane-qatar-crypto.html.

[21] *See* https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/news-resources.

[22] *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dept. of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

[23] Mike Baker and Michael S. Schmidt, *An Accuser's Story Suggests How Trump Might Appear in the Epstein Files*, The New York Times (July. 20, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/epstein-employee-trump-investigation.html.

[24] *See*, *e.g.*, Stephen Fowler, *Trump tells supporters not to 'waste time' on Epstein files. They're not happy*, NPR (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/07/14/nx-s1-5467151/trump-epstein-files-doj-fbi-maga.

provisions, this request is of the utmost urgency and any delay will deprive the public of timely information necessary to evaluate the government's handling of records pertaining to these investigations.

DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about the findings of this request.[25] Through a combination of investigative reports, in-depth analyses, press releases, and dynamic engagement on multiple digital and traditional media channels, DDF ensures that critical information reaches a wide spectrum of stakeholders, from policymakers and advocacy groups to individual citizens seeking accountability in government operations.[26] This multifaceted approach amplifies the impact of the information obtained and facilitates informed public discourse on pressing issues.

DDF's communications infrastructure is robust and designed to maximize reach and engagements. With a significant presence across social media platforms, and targeted outreach initiatives, DDF has built a network capable of rapidly disseminating accurate and detailed information regarding government activities. By making these findings relatable and understandable, DDF not only informs but empowers the public to participate meaningfully in conversations about governance and accountability. The necessity of expediting the production of responsive records is underscored by DDF's proven capacity to reach a wide audience and spark meaningful public dialogue.

2. The request involves a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest involving questions about the President's integrity which affect public confidence.

DDF requests expedited processing as well under 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv), which provides that the Department will process requests on an expedited basis if it involves "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence."

There is no question that the Department's handling of the Epstein files has generated exceptional widespread media interest. For example, news and media outlets, including Fox

[25] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* David A. Fahrenthold, *Two Watchdogs Were Rebuffed From Joining Trump's Cost-Cutting Effort*, The New York Times (Jan. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/16/us/doge-trump-watchdogs.html; *see also* Stephen M. Lepore, *DOGE'S brutal response to former Obama and Clinton aides attempting to join Elon Musk's cost cutting machine*, Daily Mail (Jan. 17, 2025, 1:31 EST), https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14295275/DOGE-brutal-response-former-Obama-Clinton-aides-Elon-Musk.html; *see also* Travis Gettys, *'Too many to enumerate': Watchdogs open probe into Musk's alleged 'conflicts of interest'*, Rawstory (Dec. 27, 2024), https://www.rawstory.com/musk-doge-ethics/.

[26] State Democracy Defenders Fund, https://statedemocracydefenders.org/fund/ (last visited Jan. 23, 2025)

News,[27] New York Times,[28] Wall Street Journal,[29] and Washington Post,[30] have all released multiple stories related to the failure of the Department to release the Epstein files. Influential podcasters like Joe Rogan have not only attacked FBI officials for withholding the files, but specifically noted how "cynical" people have become as a result of the Department's failure to release them.[31]

The extensive media coverage serves as both evidence of widespread concern and a stark reminder of what's missing. The requested documents are essential for ensuring the public remains informed. As noted, DDF has a proven ability to reach broad and diverse audiences, which positions this organization as a key disseminator of this missing information. These insights are especially critical given our extensive knowledge of government corruption and malfeasance.

Immediate compliance with this FOIA request is necessary not only to uphold legal obligations under FOIA but also to address the growing demand for transparency on an issue of national importance that has captured significant national attention. The government's credibility depends on its willingness to timely meet this demand and to allow the public to scrutinize its actions through the lens of complete and accurate information.

---

[27] Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, Fox News (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, Fox News (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375808075112; https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112.

[28] Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, New York Times (Jul. 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html.

[29] Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?gaa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, Wall St. Journal (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa_at.

[30] *Trump's "Epstein Files" Problem*, Washington Post Podcast (Jul. 15, 2025) https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, Washington Post (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, Washington Post (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//

[31] Eboni Boykin-Patterson, *Joe Rogan Rips Patel and Bongino for Letting Him Down on Epstein*, The Daily Beast (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.thedailybeast.com/joe-rogan-rips-kash-patel-and-dan-bongino-for-letting-him-down-on-epstein/.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

CC: Director of Public Affairs, Office of Public Affairs
United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530

Guidance Regarding the Search and Processing of Requested Records:

In connection with this request for records, we provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

The request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to the request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email. I have included potential domain names where possible to aid your search.

Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, out of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please provide the requested documents in the following format: In PDF format, electronically searchable wherever possible;

- Each paper record in a separately saved file;
- ‘Parent-child’ relationships maintained, meaning that the requester must be able to identify the attachments with emails;
- Any data records in native format (i.e. Excel spreadsheets in Excel);
- Emails should include BCC and any other hidden fields; with any other metadata preserved.

For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars - the request is for the production of any calendar, paper or electronic, whether on government issued or personal devices, used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business. The search should include any calendars associated with an agency official's individual email account, as well as any official calendars maintained for the official, including by his or her administrative assistant or scheduler.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[32] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[33] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

In the event my fees are not waived, we agree to pay reasonable duplication fees in an amount not to exceed $100, but I request to be notified before processing incurs expenses in excess of that amount.

If you have any questions about this clarification or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

[32] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[33] *See* Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

Democracy Defenders Fund

July 28, 2025

Christina Butler
Chief, FOIA/PA Unit
Criminal Division, Department of Justice
950 Constitution Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer,

Democracy Defenders Fund ("DDF") respectfully submits the following request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq*. and Department of Justice ("DOJ") regulations, 28 C.F.R. part 16.

Specifically, DDF requests all records from January 20, 2025, to when this request is processed, of:

1. Records of all communications, including, but not limited to, letters, faxes, emails, voicemails, direct messages, or any form of electronic message, regardless of whether maintained on an official device or account or a personal device or account, that refer to "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," that were sent from, received by, or transmitted to any Department of Justice official including, but not limited to, Attorney General Pamela Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, and FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino. This includes any attachments, including, but not limited to, meeting agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed by agency personnel. This request includes direct correspondence as well as communications facilitated by or through third parties.

2. Any communications, in any form, including audiovisual media such as digital video, VHS, DVD, or other similar format, discussing or explaining the process of how department officials should approach or address references to Donald Trump or Mar-a-lago appearing in any files related to the Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell cases, including any communications that describe how to flag, categorize, or memorialize mentions of President Trump. This request includes, but is not limited to, videos circulated on unclassified networks within the Department.

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General

Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting.

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes, without limitation, all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, and anyone who was cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*.[1] If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[2] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[3] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

Fee Waiver Request

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(a) and 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k), DDF requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request. Department regulations provide for a waiver of fees when it involves the "disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[4] Courts have found that a fee waiver is appropriate when the disclosure will "(1) shed light on 'the operations or

[1] 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
[2] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[3] *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
[4] 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(1).

activities of the government'; (2) be 'likely to contribute significantly to public understanding' of those operations or activities; and (3) not be 'primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.'" DDF's request meets all elements.[5]

First, the disclosure will shed light on the Department of Justice's possession, retention, handling, and disposition of documents and communications related to criminal activity by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell involving illegal sexual activity by Epstein with underage girls, including records the Department may have that name clients and associates of Epstein and records that may name President Trump in relation to these matters. These records may also reveal to the public a potential attempt to cover up negative or potentially criminal conduct, including by President Trump. The existence and extent of such records, and the government's response to their collection and dissemination, are currently a question of immense media speculation.[6] In February 2025, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with Fox News that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[7] It was recently reported that Attorney General Bondi assigned nearly 1,000 FBI officials to comb through tens of thousands of pages of documents related to Epstein to flag mentions of the President.[8] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "this systematic review revealed no incriminating 'client list."[9] However, the Wall Street Journal has reported that the Attorney General personally briefed the President on instances of his name appearing in documents related to Jeffrey Epstein in May.[10] Given contradictory statements made by the Department, and the fact that President Trump had a prior long-standing friendship with Epstein before it ended in around 2004,[11] disclosure of these records would conclusively show whether the Department has the information it now purports that it does not have, and, if such records exist, whether Donald Trump's name appears in any of the documents related to Jeffery Epstein. This release is also important to understand whether any undue political influence by the President has affected the Department's ability or willingness to release documents related to Jeffrey Epstein. Prior to being elected to a second term, the President promised repeatedly during his campaign that he would release these files.[12] Yet, in the face of increased pressure to release the full array of documents, the President has continued to evade the issue.[13] Disclosure of these documents is therefore necessary to provide a

[5] *Cause of Action v. FTC*, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115 (D.C. Cir. 2015).
[6] *See* Devlin Barrett, *What to Know About the Epstein Files, a Perfect Recipe for Conspiracy Theories*, New York Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-files-trump.html.
[7] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.
[8] Alexander Bolton, *Durbin: FBI Agents were Told to 'Flag' Epstein Records that Mention Trump*, The Hill (July 18, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/senate/5409002-trump-epstein-files-ag-bondi-patel-bongino-fbi-agents-durbin-wall-street-journal/
[9] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.
[10] Sadie Gurman, et al., *Justice Department Told Trump in May that His Name is Among Many in the Epstein Files*, Wall Street J. (July 23, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/justice-department-told-trump-name-in-epstein-files-727a8038?mod=hp_trendingnow_article_pos1
[11] Luke Broadwater, *A Timeline of What We Know About Trump and Epstein*, New York Times (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/17/us/politics/timeline-trump-epstein.html.
[12] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga
[13] Chris Cameron, Trump Really Wants to Stop Talking About Epstein: A Timeline, NY Times (July 23, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/23/us/politics/trump-epstein-distraction-timeline.html.

full record of what communications occurred, and whether any such communications might have resulted in abnormalities in the Department's statements or actions concerning the existence of Epstein's "Client List."

Second, disclosure of the information will significantly aid the public's understanding of the Department's handling of records pertaining to the investigations involving Jeffrey Epstein. President Trump's failure to release the Epstein files has undermined public confidence in the Department's handling of these records because of repeated promises he made to his supporters during the campaign that he would declassify the Epstein files and release the "Epstein client list".[14] The Department's handling of the matter has further undermined public confidence by making contradictory statements related to the existence of an Epstein "client list".[15] As noted above, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with FOX that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[16] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "This systematic review revealed no incriminating "client list."[17] This has caused immense confusion amongst the public, which has been the subject of ongoing new media coverage.[18] Adding to this confusion, the President recently asked the Department of Justice to release grand jury documents related to the prosecution of Jefferey Epstein, documents that likely will only constitute a small portion of the documents held by the Department.[19] Release of the documents requested is therefore necessary to aid the public in its understanding of the Department's handling of the records and to ensure that the public has access to the full record of relevant documents concerning this investigation.

Third, the purpose of the disclosures is not "primarily in the commercial interest of" DDF. DDF is a nonprofit organization established under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. DDF's core mission is to defend the rule of law, fight corruption, and protect elections using a variety of tools including publication of information concerning ongoing activities of the government. DDF regularly speaks on issues related to government activities through traditional broadcast media, podcasts, and the issuance of op-eds.[20] DDF maintains a dedicated website

---

[14] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.

[15] *See*, *e.g.*, Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC News (Jul. 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.

[16] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.

[17] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

[18] *See*, *e.g.*, Mike Wendling, *Why the Epstein case looms large in MAGA world*, BBC (Jul. 18, 2025) https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cy8ge16d2y3o; Jason Lange, *Trump faces backlash as 69% believe Epstein details concealed, Reuters/Ipsos poll finds,* Reuters (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-faces-backlash-69-believe-epstein-details-concealed-reutersipsos-poll-2025-07-17/.

[19] Larry Neumesiter, *Request to unseal Epstein grand jury transcripts likely to disappoint, ex-prosecutors say*, AP News (Jan. 20, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/trump-jeffrey-epstein-grand-jury-justice-department-4634e5afceb8b1bb43f23558dfbb1d6c.

[20] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* Norman Eisen, Virginia Canter, and Richard Painter, *A Plane from*

where it provides information related to its activities, which can be accessed by the public.[21] The purpose of the disclosure is to inform the public on activities of the government.

In addition, DDF requests waiver of fees related to processing this request as representative of the news media pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). DDF routinely collects information of "potential interest to a segment of the public" and "uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."[22] As noted above, DDF maintains a dedicated webpage for informing the public about activities related to government activity through a variety of media, including press releases, public reports, and op-eds. DDF experts routinely engage with the public and with other members of the new media to publicize important information, including information related to government activities. Pursuant to existing case law, DDF clearly meets the criteria for a fee waiver under section 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

Request for Expedited Processing

In addition, DDF also provides the following amended supplemental information concerning its request for expedited processing:

DDF has requested expedition of this request because:

1. **The request concerns an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, and DDF is primarily engaged in disseminating information concerning that activity.**

Department regulations provide for expedited processing of any request involving an "urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal government activity, if made by a person who primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The outrageous nature of the alleged activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of the Department to release the files, after both the President and his Attorney General promised to do so, has caused his supporters, the media and the general public much outrage and alarm. The failure to release the documents raises questions about whether President Trump's name may appear in the files due to his past relationship with Epstein,[23] and if so, whether that may be hindering public disclosure. Because this topic is of significant public importance–and the President already appears to be evading disclosure through many public comments[24]–under FOIA's expedited processing provisions, this request is of the utmost urgency and any delay will deprive the public of timely information necessary to evaluate the government's handling of records pertaining to these investigations.

Qatar? C'Mon, Man!, New York Times (May 14, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/opinion/trump-plane-qatar-crypto.html.

[21] *See* https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/news-resources.

[22] *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dept. of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

[23] Mike Baker and Michael S. Schmidt, *An Accuser's Story Suggests How Trump Might Appear in the Epstein Files*, The New York Times (July. 20, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/epstein-employee-trump-investigation.html.

[24] *See*, *e.g.*, Stephen Fowler, *Trump tells supporters not to 'waste time' on Epstein files. They're not happy*, NPR (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/07/14/nx-s1-5467151/trump-epstein-files-doj-fbi-maga.

DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about the findings of this request.[25] Through a combination of investigative reports, in-depth analyses, press releases, and dynamic engagement on multiple digital and traditional media channels, DDF ensures that critical information reaches a wide spectrum of stakeholders, from policymakers and advocacy groups to individual citizens seeking accountability in government operations.[26] This multifaceted approach amplifies the impact of the information obtained and facilitates informed public discourse on pressing issues.

DDF's communications infrastructure is robust and designed to maximize reach and engagements. With a significant presence across social media platforms, and targeted outreach initiatives, DDF has built a network capable of rapidly disseminating accurate and detailed information regarding government activities. By making these findings relatable and understandable, DDF not only informs but empowers the public to participate meaningfully in conversations about governance and accountability. The necessity of expediting the production of responsive records is underscored by DDF's proven capacity to reach a wide audience and spark meaningful public dialogue.

2. The request involves a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest involving questions about the President's integrity which affect public confidence.

DDF requests expedited processing as well under 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv), which provides that the Department will process requests on an expedited basis if it involves "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence."

There is no question that the Department's handling of the Epstein files has generated exceptional widespread media interest. For example, news and media outlets, including Fox

[25] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* David A. Fahrenthold, *Two Watchdogs Were Rebuffed From Joining Trump's Cost-Cutting Effort*, The New York Times (Jan. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/16/us/doge-trump-watchdogs.html; *see also* Stephen M. Lepore, *DOGE'S brutal response to former Obama and Clinton aides attempting to join Elon Musk's cost cutting machine*, Daily Mail (Jan. 17, 2025, 1:31 EST), https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14295275/DOGE-brutal-response-former-Obama-Clinton-aides-Elon-Musk.html; *see also* Travis Gettys, *'Too many to enumerate': Watchdogs open probe into Musk's alleged 'conflicts of interest'*, Rawstory (Dec. 27, 2024), https://www.rawstory.com/musk-doge-ethics/.

[26] State Democracy Defenders Fund, https://statedemocracydefenders.org/fund/ (last visited Jan. 23, 2025)

News,[27] New York Times,[28] Wall Street Journal,[29] and Washington Post,[30] have all released multiple stories related to the failure of the Department to release the Epstein files. Influential podcasters like Joe Rogan have not only attacked FBI officials for withholding the files, but specifically noted how "cynical" people have become as a result of the Department's failure to release them.[31]

The extensive media coverage serves as both evidence of widespread concern and a stark reminder of what's missing. The requested documents are essential for ensuring the public remains informed. As noted, DDF has a proven ability to reach broad and diverse audiences, which positions this organization as a key disseminator of this missing information. These insights are especially critical given our extensive knowledge of government corruption and malfeasance.

Immediate compliance with this FOIA request is necessary not only to uphold legal obligations under FOIA but also to address the growing demand for transparency on an issue of national importance that has captured significant national attention. The government's credibility depends on its willingness to timely meet this demand and to allow the public to scrutinize its actions through the lens of complete and accurate information.

---

[27] Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, Fox News (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, Fox News (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375808075112; https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112.

[28] Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, New York Times (Jul. 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html.

[29] Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?gaa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, Wall St. Journal (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa_at.

[30] *Trump's "Epstein Files" Problem*, Washington Post Podcast (Jul. 15, 2025) https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, Washington Post (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, Washington Post (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//

[31] Eboni Boykin-Patterson, *Joe Rogan Rips Patel and Bongino for Letting Him Down on Epstein*, The Daily Beast (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.thedailybeast.com/joe-rogan-rips-kash-patel-and-dan-bongino-for-letting-him-down-on-epstein/.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

CC: Director of Public Affairs, Office of Public Affairs
United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530

Guidance Regarding the Search and Processing of Requested Records:

In connection with this request for records, we provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

The request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to the request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email. I have included potential domain names where possible to aid your search.

Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, out of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please provide the requested documents in the following format: In PDF format, electronically searchable wherever possible;

- Each paper record in a separately saved file;
- ‘Parent-child’ relationships maintained, meaning that the requester must be able to identify the attachments with emails;
- Any data records in native format (i.e. Excel spreadsheets in Excel);
- Emails should include BCC and any other hidden fields; with any other metadata preserved.

For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars - the request is for the production of any calendar, paper or electronic, whether on government issued or personal devices, used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business. The search should include any calendars associated with an agency official's individual email account, as well as any official calendars maintained for the official, including by his or her administrative assistant or scheduler.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[32] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[33] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

In the event my fees are not waived, we agree to pay reasonable duplication fees in an amount not to exceed $100, but I request to be notified before processing incurs expenses in excess of that amount.

If you have any questions about this clarification or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

[32] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[33] *See* Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

Democracy Defenders Fund

July 28, 2025

Michael G. Seidel
Section Chief
Record/Information Dissemination Section
200 Constitution Drive
Winchester, VA 22602

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer,

Democracy Defenders Fund ("DDF") respectfully submits the following request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq*. and Department of Justice ("DOJ") regulations, 28 C.F.R. part 16.

Specifically, DDF requests all records from January 20, 2025, to when this request is processed, of:

1. Records of all communications, including, but not limited to, letters, faxes, emails, voicemails, direct messages, or any form of electronic message, regardless of whether maintained on an official device or account or a personal device or account, that refer to "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," that were sent from, received by, or transmitted to any Department of Justice official including, but not limited to, Attorney General Pamela Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, and FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino. This includes any attachments, including, but not limited to, meeting agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed by agency personnel. This request includes direct correspondence as well as communications facilitated by or through third parties.

2. Any communications, in any form, including audiovisual media such as digital video, VHS, DVD, or other similar format, discussing or explaining the process of how department officials should approach or address references to Donald Trump or Mar-a-lago appearing in any files related to the Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell cases, including any communications that describe how to flag, categorize, or memorialize mentions of President Trump. This request includes, but is not limited to, videos circulated on unclassified networks within the Department.

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General

Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting.

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes, without limitation, all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, and anyone who was cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*.[1] If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[2] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[3] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

Fee Waiver Request

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(a) and 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k), DDF requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request. Department regulations provide for a waiver of fees when it involves the "disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[4] Courts have found that a fee waiver is appropriate when the disclosure will "(1) shed light on 'the operations or

[1] 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
[2] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[3] *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
[4] 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(1).

activities of the government'; (2) be 'likely to contribute significantly to public understanding' of those operations or activities; and (3) not be 'primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.'" DDF's request meets all elements.[5]

First, the disclosure will shed light on the Department of Justice's possession, retention, handling, and disposition of documents and communications related to criminal activity by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell involving illegal sexual activity by Epstein with underage girls, including records the Department may have that name clients and associates of Epstein and records that may name President Trump in relation to these matters. These records may also reveal to the public a potential attempt to cover up negative or potentially criminal conduct, including by President Trump. The existence and extent of such records, and the government's response to their collection and dissemination, are currently a question of immense media speculation.[6] In February 2025, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with Fox News that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[7] It was recently reported that Attorney General Bondi assigned nearly 1,000 FBI officials to comb through tens of thousands of pages of documents related to Epstein to flag mentions of the President.[8] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "this systematic review revealed no incriminating 'client list."[9] However, the Wall Street Journal has reported that the Attorney General personally briefed the President on instances of his name appearing in documents related to Jeffrey Epstein in May.[10] Given contradictory statements made by the Department, and the fact that President Trump had a prior long-standing friendship with Epstein before it ended in around 2004,[11] disclosure of these records would conclusively show whether the Department has the information it now purports that it does not have, and, if such records exist, whether Donald Trump's name appears in any of the documents related to Jeffery Epstein. This release is also important to understand whether any undue political influence by the President has affected the Department's ability or willingness to release documents related to Jeffrey Epstein. Prior to being elected to a second term, the President promised repeatedly during his campaign that he would release these files.[12] Yet, in the face of increased pressure to release the full array of documents, the President has continued to evade the issue.[13] Disclosure of these documents is therefore necessary to provide a

[5] *Cause of Action v. FTC*, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115 (D.C. Cir. 2015).
[6] *See* Devlin Barrett, *What to Know About the Epstein Files, a Perfect Recipe for Conspiracy Theories*, New York Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-files-trump.html.
[7] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.
[8] Alexander Bolton, *Durbin: FBI Agents were Told to 'Flag' Epstein Records that Mention Trump*, The Hill (July 18, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/senate/5409002-trump-epstein-files-ag-bondi-patel-bongino-fbi-agents-durbin-wall-street-journal/
[9] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.
[10] Sadie Gurman, et al., *Justice Department Told Trump in May that His Name is Among Many in the Epstein Files*, Wall Street J. (July 23, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/justice-department-told-trump-name-in-epstein-files-727a8038?mod=hp_trendingnow_article_pos1
[11] Luke Broadwater, *A Timeline of What We Know About Trump and Epstein*, New York Times (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/17/us/politics/timeline-trump-epstein.html.
[12] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga
[13] Chris Cameron, Trump Really Wants to Stop Talking About Epstein: A Timeline, NY Times (July 23, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/23/us/politics/trump-epstein-distraction-timeline.html.

full record of what communications occurred, and whether any such communications might have resulted in abnormalities in the Department's statements or actions concerning the existence of Epstein's "Client List."

Second, disclosure of the information will significantly aid the public's understanding of the Department's handling of records pertaining to the investigations involving Jeffrey Epstein. President Trump's failure to release the Epstein files has undermined public confidence in the Department's handling of these records because of repeated promises he made to his supporters during the campaign that he would declassify the Epstein files and release the "Epstein client list".[14] The Department's handling of the matter has further undermined public confidence by making contradictory statements related to the existence of an Epstein "client list".[15] As noted above, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with FOX that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[16] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "This systematic review revealed no incriminating "client list."[17] This has caused immense confusion amongst the public, which has been the subject of ongoing new media coverage.[18] Adding to this confusion, the President recently asked the Department of Justice to release grand jury documents related to the prosecution of Jefferey Epstein, documents that likely will only constitute a small portion of the documents held by the Department.[19] Release of the documents requested is therefore necessary to aid the public in its understanding of the Department's handling of the records and to ensure that the public has access to the full record of relevant documents concerning this investigation.

Third, the purpose of the disclosures is not "primarily in the commercial interest of" DDF. DDF is a nonprofit organization established under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. DDF's core mission is to defend the rule of law, fight corruption, and protect elections using a variety of tools including publication of information concerning ongoing activities of the government. DDF regularly speaks on issues related to government activities through traditional broadcast media, podcasts, and the issuance of op-eds.[20] DDF maintains a dedicated website

---

[14] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.

[15] *See*, *e.g.*, Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC News (Jul. 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.

[16] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.

[17] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

[18] *See*, *e.g.*, Mike Wendling, *Why the Epstein case looms large in MAGA world*, BBC (Jul. 18, 2025) https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cy8ge16d2y3o; Jason Lange, *Trump faces backlash as 69% believe Epstein details concealed, Reuters/Ipsos poll finds,* Reuters (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-faces-backlash-69-believe-epstein-details-concealed-reutersipsos-poll-2025-07-17/.

[19] Larry Neumesiter, *Request to unseal Epstein grand jury transcripts likely to disappoint, ex-prosecutors say*, AP News (Jan. 20, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/trump-jeffrey-epstein-grand-jury-justice-department-4634e5afceb8b1bb43f23558dfbb1d6c.

[20] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* Norman Eisen, Virginia Canter, and Richard Painter, *A Plane from*

where it provides information related to its activities, which can be accessed by the public.[21] The purpose of the disclosure is to inform the public on activities of the government.

In addition, DDF requests waiver of fees related to processing this request as representative of the news media pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). DDF routinely collects information of "potential interest to a segment of the public" and "uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."[22] As noted above, DDF maintains a dedicated webpage for informing the public about activities related to government activity through a variety of media, including press releases, public reports, and op-eds. DDF experts routinely engage with the public and with other members of the new media to publicize important information, including information related to government activities. Pursuant to existing case law, DDF clearly meets the criteria for a fee waiver under section 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

Request for Expedited Processing

In addition, DDF also provides the following amended supplemental information concerning its request for expedited processing:

DDF has requested expedition of this request because:

1. **The request concerns an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, and DDF is primarily engaged in disseminating information concerning that activity.**

Department regulations provide for expedited processing of any request involving an "urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal government activity, if made by a person who primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The outrageous nature of the alleged activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of the Department to release the files, after both the President and his Attorney General promised to do so, has caused his supporters, the media and the general public much outrage and alarm. The failure to release the documents raises questions about whether President Trump's name may appear in the files due to his past relationship with Epstein,[23] and if so, whether that may be hindering public disclosure. Because this topic is of significant public importance–and the President already appears to be evading disclosure through many public comments[24]–under FOIA's expedited processing provisions, this request is of the utmost urgency and any delay will deprive the public of timely information necessary to evaluate the government's handling of records pertaining to these investigations.

Qatar? C'Mon, Man!, New York Times (May 14, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/opinion/trump-plane-qatar-crypto.html.

[21] *See* https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/news-resources.

[22] *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dept. of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

[23] Mike Baker and Michael S. Schmidt, *An Accuser's Story Suggests How Trump Might Appear in the Epstein Files*, The New York Times (July. 20, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/epstein-employee-trump-investigation.html.

[24] *See*, *e.g.*, Stephen Fowler, *Trump tells supporters not to 'waste time' on Epstein files. They're not happy*, NPR (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/07/14/nx-s1-5467151/trump-epstein-files-doj-fbi-maga.

DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about the findings of this request.[25] Through a combination of investigative reports, in-depth analyses, press releases, and dynamic engagement on multiple digital and traditional media channels, DDF ensures that critical information reaches a wide spectrum of stakeholders, from policymakers and advocacy groups to individual citizens seeking accountability in government operations.[26] This multifaceted approach amplifies the impact of the information obtained and facilitates informed public discourse on pressing issues.

DDF's communications infrastructure is robust and designed to maximize reach and engagements. With a significant presence across social media platforms, and targeted outreach initiatives, DDF has built a network capable of rapidly disseminating accurate and detailed information regarding government activities. By making these findings relatable and understandable, DDF not only informs but empowers the public to participate meaningfully in conversations about governance and accountability. The necessity of expediting the production of responsive records is underscored by DDF's proven capacity to reach a wide audience and spark meaningful public dialogue.

2. The request involves a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest involving questions about the President's integrity which affect public confidence.

DDF requests expedited processing as well under 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv), which provides that the Department will process requests on an expedited basis if it involves "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence."

There is no question that the Department's handling of the Epstein files has generated exceptional widespread media interest. For example, news and media outlets, including Fox

[25] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* David A. Fahrenthold, *Two Watchdogs Were Rebuffed From Joining Trump's Cost-Cutting Effort*, The New York Times (Jan. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/16/us/doge-trump-watchdogs.html; *see also* Stephen M. Lepore, *DOGE'S brutal response to former Obama and Clinton aides attempting to join Elon Musk's cost cutting machine*, Daily Mail (Jan. 17, 2025, 1:31 EST), https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14295275/DOGE-brutal-response-former-Obama-Clinton-aides-Elon-Musk.html; *see also* Travis Gettys, *'Too many to enumerate': Watchdogs open probe into Musk's alleged 'conflicts of interest'*, Rawstory (Dec. 27, 2024), https://www.rawstory.com/musk-doge-ethics/.

[26] State Democracy Defenders Fund, https://statedemocracydefenders.org/fund/ (last visited Jan. 23, 2025)

News,[27] New York Times,[28] Wall Street Journal,[29] and Washington Post,[30] have all released multiple stories related to the failure of the Department to release the Epstein files. Influential podcasters like Joe Rogan have not only attacked FBI officials for withholding the files, but specifically noted how "cynical" people have become as a result of the Department's failure to release them.[31]

The extensive media coverage serves as both evidence of widespread concern and a stark reminder of what's missing. The requested documents are essential for ensuring the public remains informed. As noted, DDF has a proven ability to reach broad and diverse audiences, which positions this organization as a key disseminator of this missing information. These insights are especially critical given our extensive knowledge of government corruption and malfeasance.

Immediate compliance with this FOIA request is necessary not only to uphold legal obligations under FOIA but also to address the growing demand for transparency on an issue of national importance that has captured significant national attention. The government's credibility depends on its willingness to timely meet this demand and to allow the public to scrutinize its actions through the lens of complete and accurate information.

---

[27] Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, Fox News (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, Fox News (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375808075112; https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112.

[28] Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, New York Times (Jul. 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html.

[29] Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?gaa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, Wall St. Journal (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa_at.

[30] *Trump's "Epstein Files" Problem*, Washington Post Podcast (Jul. 15, 2025) https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, Washington Post (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, Washington Post (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//

[31] Eboni Boykin-Patterson, *Joe Rogan Rips Patel and Bongino for Letting Him Down on Epstein*, The Daily Beast (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.thedailybeast.com/joe-rogan-rips-kash-patel-and-dan-bongino-for-letting-him-down-on-epstein/.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

CC: Director of Public Affairs, Office of Public Affairs
United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530

Guidance Regarding the Search and Processing of Requested Records:

In connection with this request for records, we provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

The request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to the request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email. I have included potential domain names where possible to aid your search.

Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, out of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please provide the requested documents in the following format: In PDF format, electronically searchable wherever possible;

- Each paper record in a separately saved file;
- ‘Parent-child’ relationships maintained, meaning that the requester must be able to identify the attachments with emails;
- Any data records in native format (i.e. Excel spreadsheets in Excel);
- Emails should include BCC and any other hidden fields; with any other metadata preserved.

For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars - the request is for the production of any calendar, paper or electronic, whether on government issued or personal devices, used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business. The search should include any calendars associated with an agency official's individual email account, as well as any official calendars maintained for the official, including by his or her administrative assistant or scheduler.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[32] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[33] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

In the event my fees are not waived, we agree to pay reasonable duplication fees in an amount not to exceed $100, but I request to be notified before processing incurs expenses in excess of that amount.

If you have any questions about this clarification or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

[32] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[33] *See* Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

Democracy Defenders Fund

July 28, 2025

Kevin Krebs
Assistant Director, FOIA/Privacy Unit
Executive Office of the U.S. Attorneys
175 N Street, NE, Suite 5.400
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer,

Democracy Defenders Fund ("DDF") respectfully submits the following request for records pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq*. and Department of Justice ("DOJ") regulations, 28 C.F.R. part 16.

Specifically, DDF requests all records from January 20, 2025, to when this request is processed, of:

1. Records of all communications, including, but not limited to, letters, faxes, emails, voicemails, direct messages, or any form of electronic message, regardless of whether maintained on an official device or account or a personal device or account, that refer to "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell," that were sent from, received by, or transmitted to any Department of Justice official including, but not limited to, Attorney General Pamela Bondi, Deputy Attorney General Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, and FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino. This includes any attachments, including, but not limited to, meeting agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed by agency personnel. This request includes direct correspondence as well as communications facilitated by or through third parties.

2. Any communications, in any form, including audiovisual media such as digital video, VHS, DVD, or other similar format, discussing or explaining the process of how department officials should approach or address references to Donald Trump or Mar-a-lago appearing in any files related to the Jeffrey Epstein or Ghislaine Maxwell cases, including any communications that describe how to flag, categorize, or memorialize mentions of President Trump. This request includes, but is not limited to, videos circulated on unclassified networks within the Department.

3. Electronic copies of all calendar entries or meeting invitations for any Department officials, including, but not limited to, Attorney General Bondi, Deputy Attorney General

Todd Blanche, Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General Emil Bove, FBI Director Kash Patel, or FBI Deputy Director Dan Bongino, including any calendars maintained on their behalf, that reference "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell" or any code name, code word, pseudonym, or cryptonym used for identifying "Jeffrey Epstein" or "Ghislaine Maxwell". For any such calendar entries or meeting invites produced, please also provide any related materials, including recordings, transcripts, agendas, minutes, notes, memos, briefing materials, drafts, presentations, or analyses created, received, or reviewed for use during, or in preparation of, such meeting.

4. Any communications, correspondence, or documents listing or containing the identities of people who were clients or associates of Jeffrey Epstein, including any documents listing or containing the identities of people who were invited to Epstein's properties, including invitations, calendar schedules, and flight logs.

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes, without limitation, all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions. Our request also includes any attachments to emails and other records, and anyone who was cc'ed or bcc'ed on any emails.

If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*.[1] If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[2] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[3] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

Fee Waiver Request

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(a) and 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k), DDF requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request. Department regulations provide for a waiver of fees when it involves the "disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester."[4] Courts have found that a fee waiver is appropriate when the disclosure will "(1) shed light on 'the operations or

[1] 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973).
[2] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[3] *See Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).
[4] 28 C.F.R. 16.10(k)(1).

activities of the government'; (2) be 'likely to contribute significantly to public understanding' of those operations or activities; and (3) not be 'primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.'" DDF's request meets all elements.[5]

First, the disclosure will shed light on the Department of Justice's possession, retention, handling, and disposition of documents and communications related to criminal activity by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell involving illegal sexual activity by Epstein with underage girls, including records the Department may have that name clients and associates of Epstein and records that may name President Trump in relation to these matters. These records may also reveal to the public a potential attempt to cover up negative or potentially criminal conduct, including by President Trump. The existence and extent of such records, and the government's response to their collection and dissemination, are currently a question of immense media speculation.[6] In February 2025, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with Fox News that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[7] It was recently reported that Attorney General Bondi assigned nearly 1,000 FBI officials to comb through tens of thousands of pages of documents related to Epstein to flag mentions of the President.[8] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "this systematic review revealed no incriminating 'client list."[9] However, the Wall Street Journal has reported that the Attorney General personally briefed the President on instances of his name appearing in documents related to Jeffrey Epstein in May.[10] Given contradictory statements made by the Department, and the fact that President Trump had a prior long-standing friendship with Epstein before it ended in around 2004,[11] disclosure of these records would conclusively show whether the Department has the information it now purports that it does not have, and, if such records exist, whether Donald Trump's name appears in any of the documents related to Jeffery Epstein. This release is also important to understand whether any undue political influence by the President has affected the Department's ability or willingness to release documents related to Jeffrey Epstein. Prior to being elected to a second term, the President promised repeatedly during his campaign that he would release these files.[12] Yet, in the face of increased pressure to release the full array of documents, the President has continued to evade the issue.[13] Disclosure of these documents is therefore necessary to provide a

[5] *Cause of Action v. FTC*, 799 F.3d 1108, 1115 (D.C. Cir. 2015).
[6] *See* Devlin Barrett, *What to Know About the Epstein Files, a Perfect Recipe for Conspiracy Theories*, New York Times (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/article/jeffrey-epstein-files-trump.html.
[7] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.
[8] Alexander Bolton, *Durbin: FBI Agents were Told to 'Flag' Epstein Records that Mention Trump*, The Hill (July 18, 2025), https://thehill.com/homenews/senate/5409002-trump-epstein-files-ag-bondi-patel-bongino-fbi-agents-durbin-wall-street-journal/
[9] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.
[10] Sadie Gurman, et al., *Justice Department Told Trump in May that His Name is Among Many in the Epstein Files*, Wall Street J. (July 23, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/justice-department-told-trump-name-in-epstein-files-727a8038?mod=hp_trendingnow_article_pos1
[11] Luke Broadwater, *A Timeline of What We Know About Trump and Epstein*, New York Times (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/17/us/politics/timeline-trump-epstein.html.
[12] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga
[13] Chris Cameron, Trump Really Wants to Stop Talking About Epstein: A Timeline, NY Times (July 23, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/23/us/politics/trump-epstein-distraction-timeline.html.

full record of what communications occurred, and whether any such communications might have resulted in abnormalities in the Department's statements or actions concerning the existence of Epstein's "Client List."

Second, disclosure of the information will significantly aid the public's understanding of the Department's handling of records pertaining to the investigations involving Jeffrey Epstein. President Trump's failure to release the Epstein files has undermined public confidence in the Department's handling of these records because of repeated promises he made to his supporters during the campaign that he would declassify the Epstein files and release the "Epstein client list".[14] The Department's handling of the matter has further undermined public confidence by making contradictory statements related to the existence of an Epstein "client list".[15] As noted above, Attorney General Bondi said in an interview with FOX that the Epstein client list was, "sitting on my desk right now to review."[16] Then in July, the Department issued a memo noting, "This systematic review revealed no incriminating "client list."[17] This has caused immense confusion amongst the public, which has been the subject of ongoing new media coverage.[18] Adding to this confusion, the President recently asked the Department of Justice to release grand jury documents related to the prosecution of Jefferey Epstein, documents that likely will only constitute a small portion of the documents held by the Department.[19] Release of the documents requested is therefore necessary to aid the public in its understanding of the Department's handling of the records and to ensure that the public has access to the full record of relevant documents concerning this investigation.

Third, the purpose of the disclosures is not "primarily in the commercial interest of" DDF. DDF is a nonprofit organization established under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. DDF's core mission is to defend the rule of law, fight corruption, and protect elections using a variety of tools including publication of information concerning ongoing activities of the government. DDF regularly speaks on issues related to government activities through traditional broadcast media, podcasts, and the issuance of op-eds.[20] DDF maintains a dedicated website

---

[14] Tess Owen, *How the Trump administration's handling of the Epstein files became a vehicle for QAnon*, The Guardian (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jul/15/trump-epstein-files-maga.

[15] *See*, *e.g.*, Alexandra Hutzler, *What Trump has said about Jeffrey Epstein over the years, including on 2024 campaign trail*, ABC News (Jul. 16, 2025), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/trump-jeffrey-epstein-years-including-2024-campaign-trail/story?id=123778541.

[16] Nadine Yusif, *Trump says US attorney general should release any 'credible' information on Epstein*, BBC (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckgl4dl334go.

[17] US Department of Justice, Memo on Epstein Investigation, Jul. 2025, https://www.justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl?inline.

[18] *See*, *e.g.*, Mike Wendling, *Why the Epstein case looms large in MAGA world*, BBC (Jul. 18, 2025) https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cy8ge16d2y3o; Jason Lange, *Trump faces backlash as 69% believe Epstein details concealed, Reuters/Ipsos poll finds,* Reuters (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-faces-backlash-69-believe-epstein-details-concealed-reutersipsos-poll-2025-07-17/.

[19] Larry Neumesiter, *Request to unseal Epstein grand jury transcripts likely to disappoint, ex-prosecutors say*, AP News (Jan. 20, 2025), https://apnews.com/article/trump-jeffrey-epstein-grand-jury-justice-department-4634e5afceb8b1bb43f23558dfbb1d6c.

[20] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* Norman Eisen, Virginia Canter, and Richard Painter, *A Plane from*

where it provides information related to its activities, which can be accessed by the public.[21] The purpose of the disclosure is to inform the public on activities of the government.

In addition, DDF requests waiver of fees related to processing this request as representative of the news media pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). DDF routinely collects information of "potential interest to a segment of the public" and "uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience."[22] As noted above, DDF maintains a dedicated webpage for informing the public about activities related to government activity through a variety of media, including press releases, public reports, and op-eds. DDF experts routinely engage with the public and with other members of the new media to publicize important information, including information related to government activities. Pursuant to existing case law, DDF clearly meets the criteria for a fee waiver under section 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II).

Request for Expedited Processing

In addition, DDF also provides the following amended supplemental information concerning its request for expedited processing:

DDF has requested expedition of this request because:

1. **The request concerns an urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal Government activity, and DDF is primarily engaged in disseminating information concerning that activity.**

Department regulations provide for expedited processing of any request involving an "urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged Federal government activity, if made by a person who primarily engaged in disseminating information." 5 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(ii). The outrageous nature of the alleged activity engaged in by Epstein and the failure of the Department to release the files, after both the President and his Attorney General promised to do so, has caused his supporters, the media and the general public much outrage and alarm. The failure to release the documents raises questions about whether President Trump's name may appear in the files due to his past relationship with Epstein,[23] and if so, whether that may be hindering public disclosure. Because this topic is of significant public importance–and the President already appears to be evading disclosure through many public comments[24]–under FOIA's expedited processing provisions, this request is of the utmost urgency and any delay will deprive the public of timely information necessary to evaluate the government's handling of records pertaining to these investigations.

Qatar? C'Mon, Man!, New York Times (May 14, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/opinion/trump-plane-qatar-crypto.html.

[21] *See* https://www.democracydefendersfund.org/news-resources.

[22] *Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dept. of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989).

[23] Mike Baker and Michael S. Schmidt, *An Accuser's Story Suggests How Trump Might Appear in the Epstein Files*, The New York Times (July. 20, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/epstein-employee-trump-investigation.html.

[24] *See*, *e.g.*, Stephen Fowler, *Trump tells supporters not to 'waste time' on Epstein files. They're not happy*, NPR (Jul. 15, 2025), https://www.npr.org/2025/07/14/nx-s1-5467151/trump-epstein-files-doj-fbi-maga.

DDF's public dissemination and media outreach are extensive, allowing it to connect with a vast and diverse audience across various platforms, making it uniquely positioned to effectively inform the public about the findings of this request.[25] Through a combination of investigative reports, in-depth analyses, press releases, and dynamic engagement on multiple digital and traditional media channels, DDF ensures that critical information reaches a wide spectrum of stakeholders, from policymakers and advocacy groups to individual citizens seeking accountability in government operations.[26] This multifaceted approach amplifies the impact of the information obtained and facilitates informed public discourse on pressing issues.

DDF's communications infrastructure is robust and designed to maximize reach and engagements. With a significant presence across social media platforms, and targeted outreach initiatives, DDF has built a network capable of rapidly disseminating accurate and detailed information regarding government activities. By making these findings relatable and understandable, DDF not only informs but empowers the public to participate meaningfully in conversations about governance and accountability. The necessity of expediting the production of responsive records is underscored by DDF's proven capacity to reach a wide audience and spark meaningful public dialogue.

2. The request involves a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest involving questions about the President's integrity which affect public confidence.

DDF requests expedited processing as well under 28 C.F.R. 16.5(e)(1)(iv), which provides that the Department will process requests on an expedited basis if it involves "A matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence."

There is no question that the Department's handling of the Epstein files has generated exceptional widespread media interest. For example, news and media outlets, including Fox

[25] *See e.g.*, Virginia Canter, et al., *Why we 'Democracy Defenders' are demanding information about DOGE*, MSNBC (Dec. 27, 2024, 3:00AM PT), https://www.msnbc.com/opinion/msnbc-opinion/group-launched-inquiry-musk-ramaswamys-doge-rcna185248; *see also* David A. Fahrenthold, *Two Watchdogs Were Rebuffed From Joining Trump's Cost-Cutting Effort*, The New York Times (Jan. 16, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/16/us/doge-trump-watchdogs.html; *see also* Stephen M. Lepore, *DOGE'S brutal response to former Obama and Clinton aides attempting to join Elon Musk's cost cutting machine*, Daily Mail (Jan. 17, 2025, 1:31 EST), https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-14295275/DOGE-brutal-response-former-Obama-Clinton-aides-Elon-Musk.html; *see also* Travis Gettys, *'Too many to enumerate': Watchdogs open probe into Musk's alleged 'conflicts of interest'*, Rawstory (Dec. 27, 2024), https://www.rawstory.com/musk-doge-ethics/.

[26] State Democracy Defenders Fund, https://statedemocracydefenders.org/fund/ (last visited Jan. 23, 2025)

News,[27] New York Times,[28] Wall Street Journal,[29] and Washington Post,[30] have all released multiple stories related to the failure of the Department to release the Epstein files. Influential podcasters like Joe Rogan have not only attacked FBI officials for withholding the files, but specifically noted how "cynical" people have become as a result of the Department's failure to release them.[31]

The extensive media coverage serves as both evidence of widespread concern and a stark reminder of what's missing. The requested documents are essential for ensuring the public remains informed. As noted, DDF has a proven ability to reach broad and diverse audiences, which positions this organization as a key disseminator of this missing information. These insights are especially critical given our extensive knowledge of government corruption and malfeasance.

Immediate compliance with this FOIA request is necessary not only to uphold legal obligations under FOIA but also to address the growing demand for transparency on an issue of national importance that has captured significant national attention. The government's credibility depends on its willingness to timely meet this demand and to allow the public to scrutinize its actions through the lens of complete and accurate information.

---

[27] Ashley Oliver, *10 reasons the DOJ and FBI face backlash over Epstein files flop*, Fox News (July 10, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/politics/10-reasons-doj-fbi-face-backlash-over-epstein-files-flop; Jacqui Heinrich, *Trump taking hits at mountain of Republicans pushing for Epstein file release*, Fox News (July 16, 2025), https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375808075112; https://www.foxnews.com/video/6375726865112.

[28] Luke Broadwater, *Trump Talks About Anything but Epstein on His Social Media Account*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-social-media.html; Erica L. Green, *How Trump Deflected MAGA's Wrath Over Epstein, at Least for Now*, The New York Times (July 21, 2025), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/21/us/politics/trump-epstein-maga-base.html; Michael Gold, *Republicans and Democrats Call for More Information on Epstein Case*, New York Times (Jul. 20, 20225), https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/20/us/politics/trump-epstein-files.html.

[29] Natalie Andrews, Annie Linskey, and Sadie Gurman, *Trump Calls for Release of "Pertinent" Epstein Grand Jury Material Following WSJ Article,* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-calls-for-release-of-epstein-documents-following-wsj-article-bc3c3a2b?gaa_at; Annie Linskey and Jasmine Li, *Trump Lashes Out at Supporters for Fixating on "Epstein Hoax."* Wall St. Journal (Jul. 16, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/politics/policy/trump-jeffrey-epstein-files-supporters-4021019d?gaa_at; Editorial, *No Special Counsel for the Epstein Files*, Wall St. Journal (Jul. 17, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/opinion/jeffrey-epstein-files-special-counsel-donald-trump-karoline-leavitt-885590f9?gaa_at.

[30] *Trump's "Epstein Files" Problem*, Washington Post Podcast (Jul. 15, 2025) https://www.washingtonpost.com/podcasts/post-reports/trumps-epstein-files-problem/; Jeremy Roebuck and Mark Berman, *Justice Dept. asks court to release Epstein grand jury testimony*, Washington Post (Jul. 18, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2025/07/18/bondi-epstein-files-documents-grand-jury-testimony-doj/; Sarah Ellison, *How MAGA influencers put pressure on Trump, Bondi over Epstein*, Washington Post (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2025/07/19/maga-influencers-trump-epstein//

[31] Eboni Boykin-Patterson, *Joe Rogan Rips Patel and Bongino for Letting Him Down on Epstein*, The Daily Beast (Jul. 19, 2025), https://www.thedailybeast.com/joe-rogan-rips-kash-patel-and-dan-bongino-for-letting-him-down-on-epstein/.

The undersigned hereby certify that these statements submitted supporting DDF's request for expedited processing are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

CC: Director of Public Affairs, Office of Public Affairs
United States Department of Justice,
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.
Washington, DC 20530

Guidance Regarding the Search and Processing of Requested Records:

In connection with this request for records, we provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

Please search for responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. We seek records of any kind, including paper records, electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, photographs, data, and graphical material. Our request includes without limitation all correspondence, letters, emails, text messages, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

The request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to the request, the request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email. I have included potential domain names where possible to aid your search.

Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, out of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please provide the requested documents in the following format: In PDF format, electronically searchable wherever possible;

- Each paper record in a separately saved file;
- ‘Parent-child’ relationships maintained, meaning that the requester must be able to identify the attachments with emails;
- Any data records in native format (i.e. Excel spreadsheets in Excel);
- Emails should include BCC and any other hidden fields; with any other metadata preserved.

For calendar entries created in Outlook or similar programs, the documents should be produced in "memo" form to include all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars - the request is for the production of any calendar, paper or electronic, whether on government issued or personal devices, used to track or coordinate how these individuals allocate their time on agency business. The search should include any calendars associated with an agency official's individual email account, as well as any official calendars maintained for the official, including by his or her administrative assistant or scheduler.

If it is your position any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, DDF requests that you provide it with an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973). If some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.[32] If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.[33] Please be advised that DDF intends to pursue all legal remedies to enforce its right under the FOIA to access these documents. Accordingly, because litigation reasonably is foreseeable, the agency should institute an agency-wide preservation hold on documents potentially responsive to this request.

In the event my fees are not waived, we agree to pay reasonable duplication fees in an amount not to exceed $100, but I request to be notified before processing incurs expenses in excess of that amount.

If you have any questions about this clarification or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact me at gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org. Please send the requested records to me at either gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org or Gabriel Lezra, Democracy Defenders Fund, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/
Ambassador Norman Eisen (ret.)
Executive Chair and Founder

/s/
Virginia Canter
Ethics and Anticorruption Chief Counsel and Director

/s/
Gabriel Lezra
Senior Strategist

[32] *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R47984.
[33] *See* Mead Data Central v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1977).

EXHIBIT J

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Information Policy
Sixth Floor
441 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Telephone: (202) 514-3642

August 7, 2025

Gabriel Lezra
Democracy Defenders Fund
gabe@statedemocracydefenders.org

Re: FOIA-2025-06084
ADF:ERH

Dear Gabriel Lezra:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated and received in this Office on July 28, 2025, in which you requested records of the Offices of the Attorney General and Deputy Attorney General concerning Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell since January 20, 2025.

You have requested expedited processing of your request pursuant to the Department's standard permitting expedition for requests involving "[a]n urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged federal government activity, if made by a person primarily engaged in disseminating information." See 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(ii) (2018). Based on the information you have provided, I have determined that your request for expedited processing under this standard should be denied. This Office cannot identify a particular urgency to inform the public about an actual or alleged federal government activity beyond the public's right to know about government activities generally.

You have also requested expedited processing of your request pursuant to the Department's standard involving "[a] matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity which affect public confidence." See 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(e)(1)(iv) (2018). Pursuant to Department policy, we directed your request to the Director of Public Affairs, who makes the decision whether to grant or deny expedited processing under this standard. See id. § 16.5(e)(2). Please be advised that as of the date of this letter, a decision on your expedition request is still pending. Once a determination has been made, we will promptly notify you. Nevertheless, please be advised that your request has been assigned to an analyst in this Office and our processing of it has been initiated.

To the extent that your request requires a search in another Office, consultations with other Department components or another agency, and/or involves a voluminous amount of material, your request falls within "unusual circumstances." See 5 U.S.C. § 552 (a)(6)(B)(i)-(iii) (2018). Accordingly, we will need to extend the time limit to respond to your request beyond the ten additional days provided by the statute. For your information, we use multiple tracks to process requests, but within those tracks we work in an agile manner, and the time needed to complete our work on your request will necessarily depend on a variety of factors, including the complexity of our records search, the volume and complexity of any material located, and the order of receipt of your request. At this time, we have assigned your request

to the complex track. In an effort to speed up our process, you may wish to narrow the scope of your request to limit the number of potentially responsive records so that it can be placed in a different processing track. You can also agree to an alternative time frame for processing, should records be located, or you may wish to await the completion of our records search to discuss either of these options. Any decision with regard to the application of fees will be made only after we determine whether fees will be implicated for this request.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss the processing of your request, you may contact this Office by telephone at the above number, by e-mail at doj.oip.foia@usdoj.gov, or you may write to the Office of Information Policy, United States Department of Justice, Sixth Floor, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001. Lastly, you may contact our Acting FOIA Public Liaison, Eric Hotchkiss, at the telephone number listed above to discuss any aspect of your request.

Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, Room 2510, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD 20740-6001; e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

If you are not satisfied with this Office's determination in response to this request, you may administratively appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy, United States Department of Justice, Sixth Floor, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's FOIA STAR portal by creating an account following the instructions on OIP's website: https://www.justice.gov/oip/submit-and-track-request-or-appeal. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically submitted within ninety days of the date of my response to your request. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." If possible, please provide a copy of your original request and this response letter with your appeal.

Sincerely,

for
Andrew D. Fiorillo
Acting Chief, Initial Request Staff
on behalf of
Sean O'Neill
Chief of Staff

EXHIBIT K

Gmail

Riley Pynnonen <riley@democracydefenders.org>

FOIA-2025-06084

Taryn Wilgus Null <taryn@democracydefenders.org> Mon, Sep 8, 2025 at 12:50 PM
To: doj.oip.foia@usdoj.gov
Cc: Ginny Canter <ginny@democracydefenders.org>, Gabe Lezra <gabe@democracydefenders.org>, Chris Swartz <chris@democracydefenders.org>, Riley Pynnonen <riley@democracydefenders.org>, Pooja Chaudhuri <pooja@democracydefenders.org>, Sana Sinha <sana@democracydefenders.org>, Jacob Kovacs-Goodman <jacob@democracydefenders.org>

Hi there,

I am responding to the August 7, 2025 letter in response to FOIA-2025-06084. I would like to have a discussion about potentially reducing the scope of this request. I can be reached at 301-741-9316 but it would be best if you could reply all to this email to schedule a call, given that some of my colleagues should be included in any conversation about the scope of the requests.

Thank you,
Taryn

Taryn Wilgus Null (she/her)
Senior Counsel

Democracy Defenders Fund
Email: taryn@democracydefenders.org
Phone: (301) 741-9316

democracydefendersfund.org Democracy Defenders Fund

EXHIBIT L

Gmail

Riley Pynnonen <riley@democracydefenders.org>

FOIA-2025-06084

Taryn Wilgus Null <taryn@democracydefenders.org> Wed, Sep 17, 2025 at 10:09 AM
To: "Hotchkiss, Eric (OIP)" <Eric.Hotchkiss@usdoj.gov>
Cc: "ginny@democracydefenders.org" <ginny@democracydefenders.org>, "gabe@democracydefenders.org" <gabe@democracydefenders.org>, "chris@democracydefenders.org" <chris@democracydefenders.org>, "riley@democracydefenders.org" <riley@democracydefenders.org>, "pooja@democracydefenders.org" <pooja@democracydefenders.org>, "sana@democracydefenders.org" <sana@democracydefenders.org>, "jacob@democracydefenders.org" <jacob@democracydefenders.org>

Thank you, Eric, and thank you again for your time this morning. We will look forward to receiving the supplemental acknowledgment letter addressing the Office of Public Affairs expedition determination.

Thanks,
Taryn

Taryn Wilgus Null (she/her)
Senior Counsel

Democracy Defenders Fund
Email: taryn@democracydefenders.org
Phone: (301) 741-9316

democracydefendersfund.org

On Wed, Sep 17, 2025 at 10:03 AM Hotchkiss, Eric (OIP) <Eric.Hotchkiss@usdoj.gov> wrote:

> Hi again Taryn,
>
> Thanks for talking with me this morning. This is to memorialize our conversation. First, you clarified that you had also submitted your request to the Federal Bureau of Investigation. We agreed that your request was seeking records from the Offices of the Attorney General and Deputy Attorney General. I provided an estimate for our response of 650 days which is based solely on our average processing time for a complex request (as reported in our FY24 annual FOIA report here: https://www.justice.gov/oip/department-justice-annual-foia-report-fy-2024). This estimate is based on limited information and subject to change. Finally, I advised that you should expect to receive our supplemental acknowledgment letter addressing the Office of Public Affairs expedition determination under DOJ's standard iv soon.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you for your time,

Eric

From: Hotchkiss, Eric (OIP)
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2025 10:14 AM
To: 'Taryn Wilgus Null' <taryn@democracydefenders.org>
Cc: ginny@democracydefenders.org; gabe@democracydefenders.org; chris@democracydefenders.org; riley@democracydefenders.org; pooja@democracydefenders.org; sana@democracydefenders.org; jacob@democracydefenders.org
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] FOIA-2025-06084

9:30 would be great. You can call me at 202-616-5456.

Talk to you then,

Eric

From: Taryn Wilgus Null <taryn@democracydefenders.org>
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2025 10:09 AM
To: Hotchkiss, Eric (OIP) <Eric.Hotchkiss@usdoj.gov>
Cc: ginny@democracydefenders.org; gabe@democracydefenders.org; chris@democracydefenders.org; riley@democracydefenders.org; pooja@democracydefenders.org; sana@democracydefenders.org; jacob@democracydefenders.org
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] FOIA-2025-06084

Hi Eric,

We are available this Wednesday either 9:30-10:30am or 12-12:30pm. What would be best for you?

Thank you,

Taryn

Taryn Wilgus Null (she/her)
Senior Counsel

Democracy Defenders Fund
Email: taryn@democracydefenders.org
Phone: (301) 741-9316

democracydefendersfund.org

On Mon, Sep 15, 2025 at 7:51 AM Hotchkiss, Eric (OIP) <Eric.Hotchkiss@usdoj.gov> wrote:

> Good morning Taryn,
>
> I'd be happy to schedule a call to discuss your request. I'm generally available 6-3 M-Th this week with a few exceptions (unavailable Tues 10-12 and Wed 11-12 and 1-2), and the same hours M-F next week. Let me know your availability for a meeting.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Eric Hotchkiss
>
> Senior Government Information Specialist &
>
> Acting FOIA Public Liaison
>
> Department of Justice
>
> Office of Information Policy
>
> Office: 202-616-5456

From: Taryn Wilgus Null <taryn@democracydefenders.org>
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 12:50 PM
To: DOJ.OIP.FOIA (SMO) <DOJ.OIP.FOIA@usdoj.gov>
Cc: Ginny Canter <ginny@democracydefenders.org>; Gabe Lezra <gabe@democracydefenders.org>; Chris Swartz <chris@democracydefenders.org>; Riley Pynnonen <riley@democracydefenders.org>; Pooja Chaudhuri <pooja@democracydefenders.org>; Sana Sinha <sana@democracydefenders.org>; Jacob Kovacs-Goodman <jacob@democracydefenders.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] FOIA-2025-06084

Hi there,

I am responding to the August 7, 2025 letter in response to FOIA-2025-06084. I would like to have a discussion about potentially reducing the scope of this request. I can be reached at 301-741-9316 but it would be best if you could reply all to this email to schedule a call, given that some of my colleagues should be included in any conversation about the scope of the requests.

Thank you,

Taryn

Taryn Wilgus Null (she/her)
Senior Counsel

Democracy Defenders Fund
Email: taryn@democracydefenders.org
Phone: (301) 741-9316

democracydefendersfund.org