

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 1:26-cv-11581-IT

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official
capacity as President of the United States,
et al.,

Defendants,

STATE OF MISSOURI, STATE OF
ALABAMA, STATE OF FLORIDA,
STATE OF INDIANA, STATE OF
KANSAS, STATE OF LOUISIANA,
STATE OF MONTANA, STATE OF
NEBRASKA, STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, STATE
OF SOUTH DAKOTA, and STATE OF
TEXAS,

Intervenor Defendants.

**ALABAMA, FLORIDA, INDIANA, KANSAS, LOUISIANA, MISSOURI, MONTANA,
NEBRASKA, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA, SOUTH DAKOTA AND TEXAS'S
MOTION TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to Rule 24(b)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Alabama, Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, and Texas (“Intervener States”) hereby respectfully move for leave to intervene as defendants in the above-captioned action. The Intervener States submit a Proposed Motion to Transfer with this motion.

As set forth in the accompanying memorandum, the Intervener States satisfy each of the requirements for intervention as of right because the motion is timely; the Intervener States have

a concrete interest in receiving the resources ordered in Executive Order No. 14399 and in seeing increased security for mail ballots cast in their elections; these interests would be impaired if plaintiffs' lawsuit succeeds; and Intervener States are not adequately represented by the federal government under this case's particular circumstances. The Intervener States also satisfy the requirements for permissive intervention because the motion is timely; the Intervener States will raise defenses that share many common questions with the claims and defenses of the parties; and allowing the Intervener States to intervene will neither delay this litigation nor prejudice anyone.

WHEREFORE, the Intervener States respectfully request that the Court grant their motion for intervention in the above-captioned action.

Date: April 21, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

STEVE MARSHALL
ALABAMA ATTORNEY GENERAL

CATHERINE L. HANAWAY
MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ A. Barrett Bowdre
A. Barrett Bowdre*
Solicitor General
STATE OF ALABAMA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
501 Washington Avenue
Montgomery, Alabama 36104
Telephone: (334) 353-8892
Fax: (334) 353-8400
Barrett.Bowdre@AlabamaAG.gov

/s/ Louis J. Capozzi III
Louis J. Capozzi III, DC Bar No. 90018764*
Solicitor General
J. Michael Patton*
Deputy Solicitor General
Benjamin S. Gilberg*
Deputy Solicitor General
Missouri Attorney General's Office
815 Olive Street, Suite 200
St. Louis, MO 63101
Tel. (573) 645-9662
Fax (573) 751-0774
Louis.Capozzi@ago.mo.gov
Michael.Patton@ago.mo.gov
Benjamin.Gilberg@ago.mo.gov

JAMES UTHMEIER
FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL

THEODORE E. ROKITA
INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ David M.S. Dewhirst
David M.S. Dewhirst*
Solicitor General
Jason J. Muehlhoff*
Chief Deputy Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
(850) 414-3300
david.dewhirst@myfloridalegal.com
jason.muehlhoff@myfloridalegal.com

/s/ James A. Barta
James. A Barta, DC Bar No. 1032613*
Solicitor General
Office of the Indiana Attorney General
302 W. Washington Street
IGC South, Fifth Floor
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2770
Phone: (317) 232-0709
Fax: (317) 232-7979
James.Barta@atg.in.gov

KRIS W. KOBACH

KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ James R. Rodriguez

James R. Rodriguez*

Assistant Attorney General

Kansas Office of the Attorney General

Topeka, Kansas 66612

Phone: (785) 368-8197

jay.rodriguez@ag.ks.gov

ELIZABETH B. MURRILL

LOUISIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ J. Benjamin Aguiñaga

J. Benjamin Aguiñaga*

Solicitor General

Louisiana Department of Justice

1885 N. Third St.

Baton Rouge, LA 70802

(225) 506-3746

AguinagaB@ag.louisiana.gov

AUSTIN KNUDSEN

MONTANA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Christian B. Corrigan

Christian B. Corrigan*

Solicitor General

Montana Department of Justice

215 N. Sanders Helena, MT 59601

(406) 444-2707 (o)

Christian.Corrigan@mt.gov

MICHAEL T. HILGERS

NEBRASKA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Cody S. Barnett

Cody S. Barnett*

Solicitor General

Nebraska Department of Justice

1445 K Street, Room 2115

Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

Tel.: (402) 471-2683

Fax: (402) 471-3297

cody.barnett@nebraska.gov

GENTER DRUMMOND

OKLAHOMA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Garry M. Gaskins, II

Garry M. Gaskins, II*

Solicitor General

Office of the Attorney General of Oklahoma

313 NE Twenty-First St.

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

(405) 521-3921

garry.gaskins@oag.ok.gov

ALAN WILSON

SOUTH CAROLINA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Joseph D. Spate

Joseph D. Spate*

Deputy Solicitor General

1000 Assembly Street

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Tel. (803) 734-3371

Fax (803) 734-3677

josephspate@scag.gov

MARTY J. JACKLEY

SOUTH DAKOTA ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Grant Flynn

Grant Flynn*

Assistant Attorney General

South Dakota Office of the Attorney General

1302 East SD Highway 1889,

Suite 1

Pierre, SD 57501-8501

Telephone: (605) 773-3215

Email: grant.flynn@state.sd.us

KEN PAXTON

TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL

s/ David Bryant

David Bryant*

Senior Special Counsel

Office of the Attorney General of Texas

Special Litigation Division

P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station

Austin, Texas 78711-2548

Tel.: (512) 463-2100

david.bryant@oag.texas.gov

/s/ Ian D. Prior

Ian D. Prior (Bar No. 655704)

America First Legal Foundation

611 Pennsylvania Ave S.E. No. 231

Washington, D.C. 20003

(410) 205-9681

ian.prior@aflegal.org

* *Pro hac vice forthcoming*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 21, 2026, the above was filed electronically through the Court's electronic filing system to be served electronically on counsel for the parties.

/s/ Louis J. Capozzi III

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERRAL

I certify that I conferred in good faith with the parties' counsel about the States' motion to intervene. After conferral, Plaintiffs States provided the following position: "Plaintiff States have not had adequate time to confirm a position on the motion to intervene but will promptly advise the parties and the Court of their position and reserve the right to oppose the motion. Plaintiff States would also consent to the filing of a timely amicus brief." The United States provided the following position: "The United States opposes the request for intervention as of right, and takes no position on the request for permissive intervention. The United States would also consent to the filing of a timely amicus brief."

/s/ Louis J. Capozzi III