UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA FOURTH DIVISION

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission,

Civil File No. 99-CV-829 JMR/FLN

Plaintiff,

and

Elroy Thompson, Jr.,

Plaintiff Intervener, STIPULATION AND ORDER TO AMEND PLAINTIFF'S **COMPLAINT AND DEFENDANTS' ANSWER**

٧.

Allied Automotive Group, Inc., and Allied Systems Ltd., as successors to Commercial Carriers, Inc.

Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties

hereto through their respective undersigned attorneys, that:

- 1. Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") may amend its Complaint to name Allied Systems Ltd. as an additional defendant.
- 2. Defendants may amend their Answer to the Complaint of the EEOC to add the following three additional affirmative defenses:

	JAI		2000
FILED FRANC	is F Do		ERK
JUDGMEN			
DEPUTY C	LERK	 	water Areks the Married . T

EIGHTH DEFENSE

To the extent Thompson or the EEOC seek to impose liability or obtain other relief from Allied for conduct or statements protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, such liability or relief is rendered unconstitutional by the First Amendment.

NINTH DEFENSE

Allied and its successor exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any racial harassment, and Thompson unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by Allied or its successor or to avoid harm otherwise.

TENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of laches.

3. This stipulation shall not alter any of the pretrial deadlines or the discovery limitations under the existing pretrial order.

Dated: <u>\(\hat{\partial}\) \(\hat{\partial}\) \(\ha</u>	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION By
	Lloyd B. Zimmerman (#12008x)
	Minneapolis Area Office
	330 Second Avenue South, Ste. 430
	Minneapolis, MN 55401-2224

Attorneys for Plaintiff Equal **Employment Opportunity Commission** Dated: ______, 1999.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

J. Marquis Eastwood (#25367) Paul J. Robbennolt (#240497) 220 South Sixth Street, Ste. 1300 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 340-2600

ALSTON & BIRD LLP Forrest W. Hunter (Ga. #378850) Christopher S. Enloe (Ga. #249155) One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309-3424

Attorneys for Defendant Allied Automotive Group, Inc.

Telephone: (404) 881-7000

ORDER

Based upon the Stipulation set forth above,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") may amend its Complaint to name Allied Systems Ltd. as an additional defendant.
- 2. Defendants may amend their Answer to the Complaint of the EEOC to add the following three additional affirmative defenses:

EIGHTH DEFENSE

To the extent Thompson or the EEOC seek to impose liability or obtain other relief from Allied for conduct or statements protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, such liability or relief is rendered unconstitutional by the First Amendment.

NINTH DEFENSE

Allied and its predecessor exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any racial harassment, and Thompson unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by Allied or its predecessor or to avoid harm otherwise.

TENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of laches.

3.	This Order shall not alter any of the pretria	deadlines or the discovery
limitations ur	der the existing pretrial order.	

Dated: JAN 6, 2000

Franklin L. Noel Chief Magistrate Judge United States District Court For Immediate Release January 3, 2000

PRESS RELEASE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Contact: Francis E. Dosal, Clerk (651) 848-1100

FEDERAL COURT ADOPTS AMENDMENTS TO LOCAL PRACTICE RULES

The U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota announces the adoption of several amendments to its local rules of practice. The changes were recommended by the Court's Local Rules Committee chaired by Minneapolis Attorney Cliff Greene of the law firm Greene Espel.

As required by federal statute, the proposed revisions were posted for public review and comment in late 1999. Following consideration of the comments received, the proposed rules were adopted unanimously by the Court to be effective January 3, 2000. The proposed amendments are as follows:

- LR 5.1
 - Amendments to LR 5.1 and LR 5.2 regarding filing procedures.
- LR 7.1(b)(2)

A new advisory committee's note regarding evidentiary materials submitted with reply briefs.

- LR 16.1
 - Amendments in response to the requirements of the Alternative Dispute Resolution Act of 1998.
- LR 83.13

New rule regarding the discipline of court appointees.

The amendments to the Local Rules may be obtained in the Office of the Clerk of Court in either St. Paul, Minneapolis or Duluth; by contacting the Clerk of Court at the address below; or online through the Update Service of Westlaw.

Francis E. Dosal, Clerk U.S. District Court 708 Federal Building 316 North Robert Street St. Paul, MN 55101 651-848-1100