CHECOLUM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS WESTERN DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY) COMMISSION,)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,)	
v. (***)	04050251
PZ RESTAURANTS, INC.	Case No.
Defendant.)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et. seq.("Title VII"), and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a, to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex and retaliation and to provide appropriate relief to Sandra White ("White"), and to a class of female employees who were adversely affected by such practices. The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC" or "Commission"), alleges that Defendant, PZ Restaurants, Inc. ("Defendant") discriminated against White and a class of female employees employed at Defendant's Parkway Restaurant in Sterling, Illinois by subjecting them to sexual harassment, in violation of Title VII. The Commission alleges further that Defendant retaliated against White and a class of female employees for complaining of sexual harassment in that it



JUN 0 4 2004

terminated White's employment and the employment of other members of the class, in violation of Title VII. Defendant later rehired White but made conditions so intolerable that it caused her constructive discharge.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 2. The unlawful acts alleged below were committed within the Jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Western Division.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, EEOC, is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Sections 706 (f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been doing business in the State of Illinois and the City of Sterling,

and has continuously had at least fifteen (15) employees.

5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than thirty (30) days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, White filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 7. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1), EEOC's representatives attempted to eliminate the unlawful employment practices alleged below and to effect voluntary compliance with Title VII prior to institution of the lawsuit but EEOC was unable to secure a conciliation agreement acceptable to the Commission.
- 8. Since at least July 2000, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices at Parkway Restaurant in Sterling, Illinois, in violation of Sections 703(a) and 704 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) and 3. These practices include, but are not limited to, sexually harassing White and a class of female employees, failing to correct the sexually hostile work environment, and retaliating against White and a class of female employees after they complained of harassment by terminating White

and other females and then, after rehiring White, making conditions so intolerable that it caused her constructive discharge.

- 9. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive White and a class of female employees at Parkway Restaurant of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees because of their sex and retaliation.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 8 and 9 above were intentional.
- 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 8 and 9 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of White and a class of female employee.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this
Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any employment practices which discriminate on the basis of sex or engaging in retaliation;
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for its employees regardless of sex and which

eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.

- C. Order Defendant to make whole Sandra White and a class of female employees by providing appropriate backpay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant to make whole Sandra White and the class of female employees, by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 8 and 9 above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make whole Sandra White and the Class of female employees by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraphs 8 and 9 above, including emotional pain, humiliation, and inconvenience, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct described in paragraphs 8 and 9 above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.

H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric S. Dreiband General Counsel

James Lee Deputy General Counsel

Gwendolyn Young Reams Associate General Counsel

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

COMMISSION

/ N.W.

2050

John C. Hendrickson

Regional Attorney

Gregory N. Godhanoyr

Supervisory Triel Attorney

haurie S. Elkin

Laurie S. Elkin Trial Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 500 West Madison Street Suite 2800 Chicago, Illinois 60661 312/353-7259

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

THE TO WITH

79114 JUN -4 AM 8: 10

Civil Cover Sheet

ILS. DISTRICT COURT

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use <u>only</u> in the Northern District of Illinois.

Plaintiff(s): EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Defendant(s):PZ RESTAURANTS, INC.

County of Residence:

County of Residence: Whiteside County

Plaintiff's Atty: Laurie S. Elkin

Defendant's Atty:

Equal Employment Opportunity

04650251

Commission

500 W. Madison, Suite 2800

Chicago, IL 60661

II. Basis of Jurisdiction:

1. U.S. Gov't Plaintiff

III. Citizenship of Principal Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:- N/A
Defendant:- N/A

IV. Origin:

1. Original Proceeding

V. Nature of Suit:

442 Employment

VI.Cause of Action:

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. ("Title VII"), and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a, to correct unlawful employment practices

on the basis of sexual harassment and retaliation.

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: **Yes**Dollar Demand:
Jury Demand: **Yes**

DOCKETED JUN 0 4 2004

VIII. This case IS NOT a refiling of a previously dismissed case.

Signature: Laurie S. Elkin

Date: 6304

10



CH IN IMA

In the Matter of

WESTERN DIVISION

2004 JUN -4 AM 8: 10

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Plaintiff

V.

PZ Restaurants, Inc.

Case Number: DISTRICT COURT

04050251

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR:

	a guerran an an an ann an an an an an an an an	
(A)	(A(B))	
Jame S. Elkin	SIGNATURE MUNICIPAL ALL	
NAME Laurie S. Elkin	NAME Gregory M. Godhardan	
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	
STREET ADDRESS 500 W. Madison St., Suite 2800	STREET ADDRESS 500 W. Madison St., Suite 2800	
CITY/STATE/ZIP Chicago, IL 60661	CITY/STATEZIP Chicago, IL 60661	
TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-353-7259 FAX NUMBER	TELEPHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER 3120353-7259	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	E-MAIL ADDRESS	
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) ARDC NO. 6210523	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) ARDC No. 06210804	
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? YES NO	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? YES NO	
TRIAL ATTORNEY? YES NO	TRIAL ATTORNEY? YES V NO	
2/10/11/11/	DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL? YES NO	
	(D)	
SIGNACIONAL	SIGNATURE	
John C. Hendrickson	NAME (///	
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	FIRM 0 4 2000	
STREET ADDRESS 500 W. Madison St., Suite 2800	STREET ADDRESS	
Chicago, IL 60661	CITY/STATE/ZIP	
TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-353-8551	TELEPHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	E-MAIL ADDRESS	
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) ARDC No. 01187589	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE)	
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? YES NO	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? YES NO	
TRIAL ATTORNEY? YES NO	TRIAL ATTORNEY? YES NO	
DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL? YES NO	DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL? YES NO	