UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

JUDGE: Roberts, Victoria A.
DECK: S. Division Civil Deck
DATE: 06/24/2005@10:37:34

CASE NUMBER: 2:05CV72515 CMP EROC V. B&V CONST (KC) SI

 \mathbf{V}_{\star}

B & V CONSTRUCTION

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND

MACKS FAZOR PARCE DAGE J. MOMIVES

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex, and to provide appropriate relief to Doug Haskins and other similarly situated victims who were adversely affected by such practices. The EEOC alleges that Defendant, B & V Construction, ("Defendant") subjected Haskins and other male coworkers to a sexually hostile work environment and that the Defendant, despite knowledge of the harassment, failed to take prompt and effective action to correct and prevent the harassment. In addition, Defendant retaliated against Haskins and other coworkers for complaining about harassment. As a result of the harassment and Defendant's failure to end it, Haskins was forced to leave his employment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

I. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343,

and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) and 707(e) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and 42 U.S.C. 2000e-6(e) ("Title VII"), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a, 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345.

- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.
- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, ("EEOC" or the "Commission") is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been a corporation doing business in South Lyon, Michigan, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than thirty (30) days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Haskins filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant Employer. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 7. Since at least October 2002, Defendant Employer has engaged in unlawful employment practices, in violation of Section 703(a), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a). The unlawful

employment practices include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Haskins was repeatedly subjected to sexually explicit comments and touching
 by a foreman who had supervisory authority over him;
- b. The comments included such statements as "suck my dick" and "let's go to back shed". Physical conduct included Haskins' butt being grabbed and being kissed on the face;
- c. Other male coworkers were also subjected to verbal and physical sexual conduct by the harasser;
- d. Despite repeated complaints by Haskins, Defendant failed to take appropriate steps to end the harassment;
- e. Defendant retaliated against Haskins after he began complaining of harassment by intimidating him and subjecting him to unsafe working conditions.
- 8. The effect of the unlawful conduct complained of in paragraph 7, above, has been to deprive Haskins and other similarly situated victims of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees because of their sex.
- 9. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7, above, were and are intentional.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7, above, were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Haskins and other similarly situated victims.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. GRANT a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant Employer, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in sexual harassment and any other employment practices which discriminate on the basis of sex;
- B. ORDER Defendant Employer to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for men, and which cradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices;
- C. ORDER Desendant Employer to provide training on Title VII of the Civil Rights

 Act of 1964, as amended, and its prohibitions against sexual harassment and retaliation to all of its

 employees and management;.
- D. ORDER Defendant Employer to make whole Haskins and other similarly situated victims by providing appropriate monetary relief, in the form of backpay and compensatory damages in the amounts to be proven at trial;
- E. ORDER Defendant Employer to pay Haskins and other similarly situated victims punitive damages for its malicious or reckless conduct described in paragraph 7, above, in amounts to be proven at trial;
- H. GRANT such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest; and
 - I. AWARD the Commission its costs for this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

DATED: 414/05

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC S. DREIBAND General Counsel

JAMES N. LEE Deputy General Counsel

ADELE RAPPORT (P44833)

Regional Attorney

ROBERT K. DAWKINS (P38289) Supervisory Trial Attorney

TRINA MENGESHA Trial Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION DETROIT DISTRICT OFFICE Patrick V. McNamara Building 477 Michigan Avenue, Room 865 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313)226-5673

Filed 06/24/2005 Page 6 of 7

CIVIL COVER SHEET COUNTY IN WHICH THIS ACTION AROSE: OAKLAND JS 44 11/99

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the fiting and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANT	S _ ^ 1	
U.S. EQUAL EM COMMISSION	PLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY		B&VC(BS)	5 Бистон7мс2	0 1 0
(b) County of Residence of First Listed			NOTE: IN LAND C	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE	AKLAND THE LOCATION OF THE
(C) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (if Kilding) ORIA A. ROBERTS		
Opportunity Commis 865. Detroit. MI 482				ATE JUDGE PAL	'
1 U.S. Government	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For DI	iversity Cases Only) PLA n of This State	DEF Incorporated or of Business	lace an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) PLA DEF Principal Place 4 4 In This State
2 U.S. Government Defendant	↓ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item 111)	Cilizer	n of Another _ n or Subject of a [_]		In Another State
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	<u>i Fore</u>	aign Country	1,V-1),,	
CONTRACT	TORTS	FOREJ	EITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 1 1 0 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Millier Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment and Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 158 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Reel Property	PERSONAL INJURY 31 0 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault Libel And Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property Dam	RY	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug Struck Outher Food & Drug Struck Outher Rege O Airline Rege O Airline Rege O Coupational Safety/Health Other LABOR O Felt Labor Standards Act O Labor/Migmt. Relations O Labor/Migmt. Reporting & Disdosure Act O Raifway Labor Act O Cher I, abor Litigation Other I, abor Litigation Security Act		U 400 State Reapportlontient 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/(CC 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Compt Organizations 470 Selective Service 850 Secunitics/Commed Meley Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 LISC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Melters 1894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 August of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions
Original 2 5	temoved from L 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinst or Reope	anoth ated 5 (apecify	Litigation	Appeal to District 7 Judge from nict
"THE SHOP SHOP	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTI UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 (See	4, and Title		ghts Act of 1991 to corre	ect unlawful employment The manded in complaint: Yes No
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY O	FRECORD	1.4 '	

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?
If yes, give	the following information:
Co u rt:	
Case No.: _	
Judge:	
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)
If yes, give	the following information:
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
Notes :	
