BEFORE: JAMES ORENSTEIN DATE: $\frac{4/12/05}{}$

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TIME: <u>2:00 p.m.</u>

DOCKET NO.: CV 04-3076 ASSIGNED JUDGE: LDW

CASE NAME: EEOC v. Comprehensive Benefits Consultants

CIVIL CONFERENCE

Initial	Status (Telephone)	X	Settlement	Pretrial
Other:		=		

APPEARANCES: Plaintiff <u>Monique Joy Roberts</u>

Defendant Brian S. Sokoloff

SCHEDULING:

- 1. The next status conference will be held on May 31, 2005, at 10:30 a.m.
- 2. A pretrial conference will be held on July 25, 2005, at 11:00 a.m.

THE FOLLOWING RULINGS WERE MADE: (1) The defendant's application to allow an expert to examine Ms. Hart's computer (docket entry 48) is DENIED. (2) By April 19, 2005, plaintiff's counsel will submit a letter reporting on (1) the date of last modification of the computer file for the diary indicated on the appropriate directory of Ms. Hart's computer, and (2) whether the EEOC has ever made a request for documents to Ms. Hart to which the diary would have been responsive and, if so, whether Ms. Hart did provide the diary in response. Based on the contents of that report, I will consider whether there is good cause to revisit the preceding denial of the defendant's application.

SO ORDERED

/s/ James Orenstein JAMES ORENSTEIN U.S. Magistrate Judge