1 WILLIAM R. TAMAYO -- #084965 (CA) JONATHAN T. PECK -- #12303 (VA) 2 CINDY O'HARA -- #114555 (CA) EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY 3 COMMISSION San Francisco District Office 350 The Embarcadero, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94105 E-filing Telephone: (415) 625-5653 Facsimile: (415) 625-5657 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JSW 2974 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 C. LAction No. 6 12 **EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY** COMMISSION, 13 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 14 Civil Rights - Employment 15 Discrimination BALLY TOTAL FITNESS CORPORATION, 16 Respondent. JURY TRIAL DEMAND 17 18 19 NATURE OF THE ACTION 20 This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the 21 Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of 22 national origin and religion, and to provide appropriate relief to Mr. Sukhdev Davin 23 Singh Dhaliwal who was aggrieved by Defendant's unlawful practices. Plaintiff alleges 24 that Defendant, Bally Total Fitness Corporation, unlawfully refused to hire Mr. 25 Dhaliwal because of his national origin, Indian, and/or his religion, Sikh. Plaintiff 26 further alleges that Defendant failed to maintain records as required Section 709(c) of

COMPLAINT

Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-8(c).

27

28

Page 1

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706 (f) (1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII), 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 (f) (1) and (3), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981(a).
- 2. The unlawful employment practices alleged herein were committed in the State of California, thus venue is proper "in any judicial district in the State in which the unlawful employment practice is alleged to have been committed." (§706(f)(3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(3)). Venue is therefore proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

## **INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

3. This action is appropriate for assignment to San Francisco/Oakland as the administrative charges underlying this case were investigated in the San Francisco District Office of Plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the records related to that investigation are in San Francisco.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Bally Total Fitness Corporation ("Defendant") has continuously been, and is now, a Delaware corporation, qualified and doing business in the state of California and has continuously had and does now have at least 15 employees.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been, and is now, an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections

701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

## STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act for Discrimination Based on National Origin and/or Religion

- 7. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Charging Party Sukhdev Davin Singh Dhaliwal ("Charging Party") filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 8. Since at least on or about June 11, 2004, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices of discrimination based on national origin and/or religion in violation of Section 703 (a) (1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1), by refusing to hire Charging Party because of his national origin and/or his religion.
- 9. The effect of the practices complained of above in paragraph 7 has been to deprive Charging Party of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect his employment status because of his national origin and/or religion.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of above in paragraph 7 were and are intentional.
- 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of above in paragraph 7 were done with malice and/or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Charging Party.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# Violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act for Failure to Make and Preserve Records

- 12. Plaintiff Commission hereby incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 7 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. Since at least on or about June 11, 2004, Defendant has failed, in violation of Section 709(c) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-8(c), to make and preserve records relevant to the determination of whether unlawful employment practices have been or

are being committed.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in discrimination based on national origin and/or religion against its employees.
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for persons of Indian national origin and persons of Sikh religion, and which eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order Defendant to make whole Charging Party by providing appropriate back pay, lost wages and benefits with prejudgment interest, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant to make whole Charging Party by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices complained of above, including but not limited to medical expenses or other out of pocket expenses in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make whole Charging Party by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of above, including but not limited to pain and suffering, emotional distress, indignity, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of self-esteem and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay Charging Party punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct complained of above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Order Defendant to make and preserve all records, in accordance with the provisions of Section 709(c) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-8(c), relevant to the determination of whether unlawful employment practices have been or are being committed.

ı	
1	H. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the
2	public interest.
3	I. Award the Commission its costs of this action.
4	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
5	Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff
6	hereby demands a jury trial.
7	LAMECT LET
8 9	JAMES L. LEE Deputy General Counsel GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS Associate General Counsel
10	
11	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Office of the General Counsel
12	Washington, DC 20507
13	Dated: 5 p/2004 May
14	WILLIAM R. TAMAYO Regional Attorney
15	
16	Dated: 5 2/2006
17	JONATHAN T. PECK Supervisory Trial Attorney
18	$\mathcal{A}_{1}$
19 20	Dated: 5/1/2006 CINDYO'HARA
21	Senior Trial Attorney
22	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
23	San Francisco District Office
24	350 The Embarcadero, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94105
25	
26	
27	
28	