318990

ORIGHAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, Plaintiff,	JUDGE: Gadola, Paul V. DECK: S. Division Civil Deck DATE: 09/30/2005 @ 11:57:17 CASE NUMBER: 4:05CV40304 cmp eeoc v. consolidated chem corp, si tam
V.	<i>)</i>
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE MONA K: MAJEOUS
D/B/A TRI-CHEM CORPORATION,	MAGISTRATE JUDGE MONA K. MAJZOUB
Defendant.) COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex, and to provide appropriate relief to former employees Kathleen Steenstra, Sherry Link and Julie Kaptur, who were adversely affected by such practices. The EEOC alleges that Defendant, Tri-Chem Corporation ("Defendant" or "Tri-Chem") subjected Steenstra, Link, Kiptur and other similarly situated individuals to a sexually hostile work environment and that the Defendant, despite knowledge of the harassment, failed to take prompt and effective action to correct and prevent the harassment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII

of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII"), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a. 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345.

- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.
- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC" or the "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant Tri-Chem has continuously been a corporation doing business in Troy, Michigan, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, charges were filed with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant Employer which gave rise to this lawsuit. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 7. Since at least April, 2003, Defendant has continuously engaged in unlawful employment practices at its Troy, Michigan facility, in violation of Section 703(a), 42 U.S.C. § 2000c-2(a) by maintaining a sexually hostile work environment.
 - 8. The effect of the unlawful conduct complained of in paragraph 7, above, has been to

deprive Steenstra, Link, Kaptur and other similarly situated individuals of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely to affect their status as employees because of their sex, female.

- 9. As the result of the unlawful employment practices, Steenstra, Link, Kaptur and other similarly situated individuals have suffered emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life.
- 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Steenstra, Link, Kaptur and other similarly situated individuals.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in sexual harassment and any other employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex.
- B. Order Defendant Employer to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for women, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order the Defendant Employer to make whole Steenstra, Link, Kaptur and other similarly situated individuals by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Steenstra, Link, Kaptur and other similarly situated individuals by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraph 7 above in amounts to be proven at trial.

E. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Steenstra, Link, Kaptur and other similarly situated individuals by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraph 7 above in amounts to be proven at trial.

F. Order Defendant Employer to pay Steenstra, Link, Kaptur and other similarly situated individuals punitive damages for its malicious or reckless conduct described in paragraph 7 above, in amounts to be proven at trial.

G. Order Defendant Employer to implement a sexual harassment policy, including but not limited to providing an effective reporting mechanism, provide training to its owners and employees regarding Title VII, including sexual harassment, rescind its training manual, and cease its practice of requiring or encouraging its female employees to use sexual banter to sell its products.

- H. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
- I. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMÉS L. LEE

Deputy General Counsel

ADELE RAPPO**RT** (P44833)

Regional Attorney

ROBERT K. DAWKINS (P38289

Supervisory Trial Attorney

OMAR WEAVER (P58861)

09 30 05

Trial Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION DETROIT DISTRICT OFFICE Patrick V. McNamara Bldg. 477 Michigan Avenue, Rm. 865 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313)226-3407

JS 44 11/99

CIVIL COVER SHEET COUNTY IN WHICH THIS ACTION AROSE: OAKLAND

26128

by law, except as provide:	and the information contained herein fielth d by local rules of court. This form, appro- ourt for the purpose of initiating the civil o	ved by the J	udicial Conference	of the United States in	September 1974, is required
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION			CONSOLIDATED CHEM CORPORATION d/b/a TRI CHEM CORPORATION		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed			County of Residence of First Listed OAKLAND NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED		
(C) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Kno	wn)	
•	61), Equal Employment Opports	-			
MI 48226 (313) 220			4	0304 Gua	dela MKM
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" In One Box Only)		ENSHIP OF PR Versity Cases Only)	INCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Perty)	, î	PLA of This State	I I Incorporated	PLA DEF d or Principal Place 4 4 4 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	[] 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in item 111)	Citizer	n of Another		d and Principal 5 ess In Another State
	// Nam. 177		n or Subject of a eign Country	3 3 Foreign Nati	ion []8 [] 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI			Pioli Coolilla		
CONTRACT	TORTS		EITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment and Enforcement	PERSONAL INJURY □ 31 □ Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 362 Personal Injury Med. Malprae Med. Malprae 365 Personal Injury Product Lia ii 320 Assault Libel And Slander □ 368 Asbastas Be	ility L3 63	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug S Drug Related Serzure of Property 21: 881 O Liquor Laws	1 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	8 U 400 State Reapportionment 41 0 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC
of Judgment	Injury Produc	x	OR.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations
LI 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	Liability Li 340 Marine PERSONAL PROP 345 Marina Product Li 370 Other Fraud	ERTY 66	0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 930 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 SeculitiesCom modifies/ Exchange
(] 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Banefits	Liability [] 371 Truth in Lend	nal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Dar Product Liability □ 385 Property Dar □ 360 Other Personal Product Liab Injury	nage ¦'''' ility ı''ı 72	0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0), aborfMgmt. Relations	☐ 861 H IA (1 395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIMC/DIWW (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters (*) 894 Energy Allocation Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETIT		0 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Tide XV! ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	B95 Freedom of Information Act
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 51 0 Motions to V		8 Pisclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
730 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	□ 443 Housing/ Accommodehons □ 444 Welfare □ 440 Other Clvit Rights □ 550 Clvit Rights □ 556 Prison Condition	ty Other 📙 79	O Other Labor Litigation I Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	U.S. Plaintif or Defendant) (3 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutary Actions
V. ORIGIN	E AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) temoved from	4 Reinst	anoth ated 5 (specify	erred from er district y) 6 Multi d Litigal	Appeal to District 7 Judge from jatriet Magistrate
	ON (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless di	<u>Reope</u> ne filing and wr			
	Fitle VII of the Civil Rights Act of 196			hts Act of 1991, to co	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACT UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ION \$DI	EMAND	CHECK YES O	only if demanded in complaint. Yes No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See (S) Instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY O	E-RECORD		

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?
If yes, giv	e the following information:
Court:	
Judge:	
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)
If yes, giv	re the following information:
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
Notes :	

,