ĽΝ	TH	E U	NITED	S	<b>TATES</b>	DIS	TRI	CT	COURT	
FO	R I	CHE	MIDDI	E	DISTR	ICT	OF	AL	ABAMA	
			NORT	HEI	RN DI	VISI	ON			

	F		L	E	D	- -
	ſ	EC	2 2	2 20	<b>10</b>	
p alem	<b>111</b> 111111111111111111111111111111111	C	LEF	RK		16 -

MARY NELL DINKINS, et al.,	) U. S. DISTRICT COURT ) MIDDLE DIST. OF ALA.
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) CIVIL ACTION 99-D-847-N
CHAROEN POKPHAND USA, INC.,	) )
et al.,	)
Defendants.	)
EEOC,	)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
v.	) CIVIL ACTION 99-D-1389-N
	)
CHAROEN POKPHAND USA, INC.,	)
et al.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

## **ORDER**

Before the court is Charoen Pokphand, USA, Inc.'s Reply brief, which contains a Motion To Strike And Exclude Portions Of EEOC's Response. (Doc. No. 67.)

In CONSIDERATION of the Motion To Strike, it is hereby
ORDERED that the EEOC show cause, if any there be, why the same should not be granted. Showing should be made on or before
Tuesday, January 2, 2001.

DONE this 22nd day of December, 2000.

## IRA DE MENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE