IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

FILED-ED4 41:5 NV 92 NUV 70

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMIS) SION,)		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,)		
v.) Case N 0 4	4C	2936
CRABBY KIMS, INC.))) JURY TRIA	L DEM.	ANDED
Defendant.	DOCKETED		JUDGE CASTILLO
NAT	TURE OF THE ACTION	MAGES	IKATE ITEME MOMMER

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. ("Title VII"), and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a, to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of racial and national origin harassment and to provide appropriate relief to Tracey Crumble ("Crumble"), and to classes of Black and Hispanic employees who were adversely affected by such practices. The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC" or "Commission"), alleges that Defendant, Crabby Kims, Inc. ("Defendant") discriminated against Crumble and classes of Black and Hispanic employees by subjecting them to racial and national origin harassment, in violation of Title VII. The Commission alleges further that Defendant discriminated against Crumble in that it subjected her to unequal terms and conditions of employment and terminated her employment, on account of her race (Black) in violation of Title VII.

1/

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 2. The unlawful acts alleged below were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, EEOC, is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been a corporation doing business in the State of Illinois, County of Cook, and has continuously had at least fifteen (15) employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than thirty (30) days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Crumble filed a charge of discrimination with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
 - 7. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1), EEOC's representatives attempted to

eliminate the unlawful employment practices alleged below and to effect voluntary compliance with Title VII prior to institution of the lawsuit but EEOC was unable to secure a conciliation agreement acceptable to the Commission.

- 8. Since at least October 2000, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a). These practices include, but are not limited to, subjecting Crumble to derogatory jokes and remarks about Blacks and Hispanics and subjecting her to different terms and conditions of employment than her non-Black co-workers, by attacking her work performance, demanding that she work extra shifts and come in on her days off and terminating her in violation of Title VII. These practices also include discriminating against classes of employees on the basis of their race and national origin by subjecting them to racial and national origin harassment in violation of Title VII.
- 9. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Crumble and classes of Black and Hispanic employees of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees because of their race and national origin.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 8 and 9 above were intentional.
- 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 8 and 9 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Crumble and classes of Black and Hispanic employees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors,

assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendant, from engaging in any employment practices which discriminate on the basis of race and national origin;

- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for its employees regardless of race and national origin and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful practices;
- C. Order Defendant to make whole Tracey Crumble by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of the unlawful employment practices;
- D. Order Defendant to make whole Tracey Crumble by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 8 through 11 above, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- E. Order Defendant to make whole Tracey Crumble and classes of Black and Hispanic employees by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 8 through 11, including emotional pain, humiliation, and inconvenience, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- F. Order Defendant to pay punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct described in paragraphs 8 through 11, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest; and
 - H. Award the Commission its costs in this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric S. Dreiband General Counsel

James Lee Deputy General Counsel

Gwendolyn Young Reams Associate General Counsel

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

1801 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 205

Regional Attorney

Gregory M. Gochanbur

Supervisory Thal Attorney

June Wallace Calhoun

Trial Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

COMMISSION

500 West Madison Street

Suite 2800

Chicago, Illinois 60661

312/353-7259



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS!!! [KETF]

APR 2 7 2004

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use only in the Northern District of Illinois.

Plaintiff(s): EQUAL EMPLYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Defendant(s): CRABBY KIMS, INC.

County of Residence:

County of Residence:

Plaintiff's Atty:

June Wallace Calhoun

Defendant's Atty:

Equal Employment Opportunity

Commission

500 W. Madison, Suite 2800

Chicago, IL 60661 312-353-7259

04C 2936

II. Basis of Jurisdiction:

1. U.S. Gov't Plaintiff

JUDGE CASTILLO

III. Citizenship of Principal Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

> Plaintiff:-N/A Defendant:-N/A

MACASTRATE JUDGE BOBRICK

IV. Origin:

1. Original Proceeding

V. Nature of Suit:

442 Employment

VI.Cause of Action:

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42:U.S.C. §

2000e et seq. ("Title VII"), and Title I of the Civil Right's Act of

1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a, to correct unlawful employment practices

on the basis of racial and national harassment.

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: Yes Dollar Demand: Jury Demand: Y es

<u>VIII.</u> This case <u>IS NOT</u> a refiling of a previously dismissed case.

Signature:

UPTED STATES DISTRICT COURTY NUATHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINO

In the Matter of

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Plaintiff,

FACKETED

Case Manuel C 2936

v.

Crabby Kims, Inc.

APR 2 7 2004

JUDGE CASTILLO

Defendant.

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR:

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR:

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR: Plaintiff, U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

(A)					(P)							
SIGNATURE Law Wallaw Callion				SIGNATURE SIGNATURE								
NAME June Wallace Calhoun					NAME Gregory M. Cocyanicur							
FIRM Equal Employment Opportunity Commission				Equal Employment Opportunity Commission								
STREET ADDRESS 500 W. Madison St., Suite 2800				STREET ADDRESS ADDRESS 500 W. Madison St., Suite 2800								
CITY/STATE/ZIP Chicago, IL 60661				CITY/STATE/ZIP Chicago, IL 60661								
TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-353-7259				TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-886-9124								
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) USDC No. 06202058				IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) ARDC No. 06210804								
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	es X	NO)		MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?		YES	Х	NO			
TRIAL ATTORNEY?	es X	NC)	··-	TRIAL ATTORNEY?		YES	Х	NO			
X / 1 /					DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?		YES		NO			
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1	1				(D)	Ü.					
SIGNATURE	Π	Λ			SIONATURE		S.D	Ġì.	1022000 1022000 1022000			
NAME John C. Hendrickson					NAME		Sin	<u></u>	5			
FIRM Equal Employment Opportunity Com	mission			_	FIRM		92	% %		· · · · ·		
STREET ADDRESS 300 W. Madison St., Suite 2	800				STREET ADDRESS		neur		4.5			
CITY STATE Chicago, IL 60661	· -				CITY/STATE/ZIP		-1	— -				
TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-353-8551		<u>.</u>			TELEPHONE NUMBER							
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) ARDC No. 01187589					IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON RE	VERSE))					
	es X	NC			MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	_	YES		NO			
TRIAL ATTORNEY? Y	s X	NC)		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	_	YES		NO			
DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	es 🗆	NO	>		DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?		YES		NO	5		