UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPOI COMMISSION,	RTUNITY))
	Plaintiff,) Case No. 05 C 4995
GWENDOLYN FARLEY,)) Judge Aspen
Intervening	Plaintiff,)) Magistrate Judge Ashman)
ANITA EVANS, Intervening v. H AND M INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORTATION, INC.,	Plaintiff,	J.N JAN 2 7 2006 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	Defendant.))

COMPLAINT OF INTERVENING PLAINTIFF ANITA EVANS

Intervening Plaintiff, ANITA EVANS (Evans), states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. This is an action to redress the deprivation of Evans' rights, secured by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000e et seq. In addition, Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to pendant state claims.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(3). The court also has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C.§ 1367.
 - 3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a).

Parties

- 4. Evans is a female citizen of the United States and Illinois. At all times relevant herein, she has resided in the district of this Court. Evans has been an employee of the Defendant H & M INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORTATION, INC. ("H & M") from on or about May 8, 2002 through the present.
- 5. H & M is a New Jersey Corporation, doing business in the State of Illinois; with its principal place of business at 301 West Lake Street, Northlake, Illinois. H & M is in the business of providing transportation of goods for various manufacturers.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE

- 6. Evans filed charges of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) against H & M in 2004, consistent with Title VII. A copy of the relevant charge of discrimination is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 7. On or about June 9, 2004, Evans and another employee of H & M filed a police action report with the Northlake Police Department. A copy of the report is attached hereto as Group Exhibit B. This report relates to the conduct of one of H & M's managers/supervisors, James Nolan (Nolan).
- 8. On or about March 15, 2005, the EEOC forwarded correspondence to Evans stating in relevant part as follows:
 - "...evidence obtained in the investigation establishes reasonable cause to believe that Respondent (H & M) subjected a class of Black female employees, including Charging Party, to sexual harassment based on their sex, female, and race, Black, and retaliated against them and discriminated against them because of their sex, female, by discharging them and/or subjecting them to different terms and conditions of employment,

in violation of Title VII.

9. A copy of the March 15, 2005 letter is attached hereto as Group Exhibit C.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. At all times relevant hereto, Evans performed her employment duties in a satisfactory manner.
- 11. Shortly after she began to work at H & M, and continuing throughout her employment with H & M, Plaintiff was sexually harassed and sexually discriminated against by Nolan. At all relevant times, Nolan's conduct was condoned by H & M as was the harassing work environment that resulted from his conduct.
- 12. The unlawful discrimination and harassment included, but was not limited to the following:
 - a. Nolan continually asking Evans to go out on a date with him;
 - b. Nolan continually sticking his finger in Evans' ears;
 - c. Nolan continually attempting to massage Evans' shoulders;
 - d. Nolan massaging Evans' shoulders without her consent;
 - e. Nolan continually telling Evans that she would be "his;"
 - f. Nolan continually attempting to massage Evans back;
 - g. Nolan continually massaging Evans back without her consent;
 - h. Nolan continually rubbing various body parts against Evans body;
 - I. Nolan putting things down the back of Evans, such as snow;
 - j. Nolan chasing Evans out of the H & M office building;
 - k. Nolan continually running his finger up and down Evans' arms;
 - Nolan continually phoning Evans at work and blowing into the phone and making sounds;
 - m. Nolan continually licking his lips while looking at Evans;
 - n. Nolan continually making sexual comments such as "I will be your chocolate candy licker;"
 - o. Nolan continually looking down Evans' shirt and making comments about melons;
 - Nolan continually attempting to massage Evans' shoulders;
 - Nolan massaging Evans' shoulders without her consent;

- r. Nolan continually stating that he hated women but that there was "something" about Evans that made him like her;
- s. Nolan stating that woman are only good for what they could do sexually for him; and
- t. Nolan continually displaying a mural of black women in suggestive positions.
- 13. The acts alleged above were unwelcome and directed to Plaintiff because she is female, and the acts created a hostile work environment.
- 14. Nolan was in a managerial/supervisory role at such a senior level that complaining to anyone would be an act of futility. However, did Evans complain to relevant H & M supervisory and management level personnel about the conduct of Nolan, and/or H & M supervisory and management level personnel had knowledge of Nolan's conduct, but did nothing to remedy the situation. As a result, the acts of sexual harassment and sexual discrimination continued throughout Plaintiff's employment with H & M.
- 15. In addition to Evans being female, she is also black. The sexual harassment and sexual discrimination was directed only to black women.
- 16. Evans experienced retaliation because she complained of the unlawful behavior cited above. The unlawful retaliation took four (4) forms. First, Nolan ensured that Evans' paychecks did not include all the hours she worked, and therefore numerous paychecks were "short." Second, Nolan made sure the Plaintiff was not given overtime and as a result, could not make the money that other employees were making. Third, Nolan made sure that Evans did not receive a raise in the years 2003, 2004 and 2005. Fourth, Nolan continually threatened to fire Evans.
 - 17. H & M supervisory and management level personnel had knowledge of the

unlawful retaliation at all relevant times, but did nothing.

COUNT I Federal Claim - Sex Discrimination

18. Intervening Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-17 of the Introduction as though fully set forth in this Count I.

WHEREFORE, Intervening Plaintiff, ANITA EVANS, prays that this court enter judgment in her favor on COUNT I and against Defendant, H & M as follows:

- a. That a finding be entered that Defendant violated Title VII by sexually discriminating against Intervening Plaintiff;
- b. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded the maximum monetary damages available;
- c. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded all wages, benefits and other compensation due to her;
- d. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages;
- e. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- f. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded such other relief as this court deems proper.

COUNT 11 Federal Claim - Hostile Work Environment

- 19. Intervening Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-18 of Count I as though fully set forth in this Count II.
- 20. If & M by and through the management and supervisory personnel of the company permitted the unlawful conduct to be carried on in such a fragrant manner as to create an unreasonable hostile work environment. H & M condoned this work environment to which Evans was subjected.

WHEREFORE, Intervening Plaintiff, ANITA EVANS, prays that this court enter judgment in her favor on COUNT I and against Defendant, H & M as follows:

a. That a finding be entered that Defendant violated Title VII by subjecting

- Intervening Plaintiff to a hostile work environment;
- b. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded the maximum monetary damages available;
- c. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded all wages, benefits and other compensation due to her;
- That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages;
- e. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- f. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded such other relief as this court deems proper.

COUNT III Federal Claim - Race Discrimination

- 19. Intervening Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-18 of Count I as though fully set forth in this Count IV.
- 20. The defendant treated the black women in a different manner than caucasian women as black women were the only women subject to such offensive and constant harassment.
 - 21. Evans is black.

WHEREFORE, Intervening Plaintiff, ANITA EVANS, prays that this court enter judgment in her favor on COUNT III and against Defendant, H & M, as follows:

- a. That a finding be entered that Defendant violated Title VII by discriminating against Intervening Plaintiff due to her race;
- b. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded the maximum monetary damages available;
- c. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded all wages, benefits and other compensation due to her;
- d. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages;
- c. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- f. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded such other relief as this court deems proper.

<u>COUNT IV</u> Federal Claim - Retaliation

19. Intervening Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-18 of Count I as though

fully set forth in this Count VI.

- 20. H & M, acting through Nolan, retaliated against Evans for complaining about sexual harassment, sexual discrimination and racial discrimination by giving her paychecks that did not equal the amount of hours she actually worked. In addition, H & M, acting through Noland would not give Evans over-time work that other employees were receiving. Further, H & M acting through Nolan, would not give Evans raises in 2003, 2004 or 2005. Finally, Nolan continually threatened to fire Evans.
 - 21 At all relevant times herein, H & M ratified and/or approved of Nolan's conduct.
 - 22. At all relevant times herein, Nolan acted as the alter ego of H & M.

WHEREFORE, Intervening Plaintiff, ANITA EVANS, prays that this court enter judgment in her favor on COUNT IV and against Defendant H & M, as follows:

- a. That a finding be entered that Defendant violated Title VII by retaliating against Plaintiff;
- b. That Plaintiff be awarded the maximum monetary damages available;
- c. That Plaintiff be awarded all wages, benefits and other compensation due to her;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages;
- e. That Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- f. That Plaintiff be awarded such other relief as this court deems proper.

COUNT V State Claim - Assault and Battery

- 19. Intervening Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-18 of Count I as though fully set forth in this Count V.
- 20. By reason of the assault and battery by Nolan, Evans was injured in that she was reasonably afraid of bodily harm, and was touched in an offensive manner by a person she did not give permission to touch her in any manner.

21. Nolan was at all times relevant hereto, the agent of H & M and was using his authority or apparent authority as Evans' supervisor.

WHEREFORE, Intervening Plaintiff, ANITA EVANS prays that this court enters judgment in her favor on COUNT V and against Defendant, H & M, as follows:

- a. That a finding be entered that H & M injured Intervening Plaintiff by an assault and battery;
- b. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded the maximum monetary damages available;
- c. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded all compensation due to her;
- d. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages; and
- e. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded such other relief as this court deems proper.

COUNT VI

State Claim - Intentional and/or Reckless Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 19. Intervening Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1-18 of Count I as though fully set forth in this Count VI.
- 20. Nolan knew that there was a high probability that his conduct would cause severe emotional distress to Evans and acted intentionally, recklessly, and or willfully and wantonly, and with reckless disregard as to the effect of his conduct.
- 21. Nolan's conduct did in fact cause Evans severe emotional pain and suffering, distress, anguish and mental trauma.
- 22. At all relevant times herein, Nolan was an employee of H & M and acted within the scope of his employment.
 - 23. H & M ratified and/or approved of Nolan's conduct.
 - 24. At all relevant times herein, Nolan acted as the alter ego of H & M.

WHEREFORE, Intervening Plaintiff, ANITA EVANS, prays that this court enter judgment in her favor on Count VI and against Defendant H & M, as follows:

- a. That a finding be entered that Nolan injured Intervening Plaintiff by intentional and/or reckless and/or willful and/or wanton infliction of emotional distress;
- b. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded the maximum monetrary damages available;
- c. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded all compensation due to her;
- d. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages;
- e. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- f. That Intervening Plaintiff be awarded such other relief as this court deems proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

ANITA EVANS

By one of her attorney

ROSS J. PETERS

The Law Offices of Ross J. Peters, Ltd.

33 North County Street, Ste. 402

Waukegan, Illinois 60085 Telephone: 847-625-1854

Facsimile: 847-625-0282 Attorney Number: 03126326

					
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION		Charge F	Presented To:	Agency(ies)	Charge No(s):
(his form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act			FEPA	AME	NDED
Statement and other information before completing this form.	٠	図	E EOC	210-200	04-05059
Illinois Depart	ment Of H	ıman Rigi	nts		and EEOC
	or local Agency, II .	Р			9 -1-14 (2) (1)
Name (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)			(773) 892-0		Date of Birth
Ms. Anita D. Evans	City, State and 2	IP Code	(1/3) 032-0	012	
8130 South Evans, Chicago, IL 60619	··· /, ······ ·· · ·	P. 00			
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, A Discriminated Against Me or Others, (If more than two, list under PAI	pprenticeship Co RTICULARS bel	ommittee, or S	tate or Local Governmen	t Agency Tha	t i Believe
Namo	<u> </u>		No. Employees, Member	Phone No.	(Include Area Good
1 & M INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORTATION			15 - 100	(708) 216-0007
Siriet Address	City, State and 21	P Code	_		
301 W. Lake Street, Northlake, IL 60164		·		1 = :-	
Isme			No. Employees, Members	Phone No.	(Include Area Code)
rest Address	City, State and Zif	Code	<u> </u>		
SCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(ss).)			DATE(S) DISCRIMIN	ATION TOOK F	MACE
X RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION	Г	ITIONAL ORIGII	Earligat		Latest
			06-01-2002	2 04	-30-2004
X RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY	OTHER (Sp	cally below.)	Fix		_
			[X] covin	NUMG ACTION	(
abjected to sexual harassment by my supervisor uching incidents. My supervisor sexually hara merous management individuals but no action en retaliated against by the alleged sexual hara sulted in not getting paid and threatening me velieve I have been discriminated against based this Actor 1964, as amended and retaliated against based.	asses Black was taken asser by his with terminal on my sex	k females. a. After resonation. aftermale	. I have reported porting these incorong my hours	these inc idents, I worked v	idents to have vhich
the shape of the state of the s		1			
this charge filed with boin the EEOC and the State of local Agency, if any. If the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate tem in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures,	fully NOTARY -	AAUSU USCORESI	ry for Sinte and up car Ragner	Requirements	
are under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.			ave read the above charge, information and ballet		s true to
		E OF COMPLAI		-	}
// ~/ - / -		- "]
21/84 Starta Malares	SUSSCRIBI		V TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY	TE	1
gate Charging Porty Signature	— ···································	, 1			ļ

NORTHLAKE POLICE DEPARTMENT

			ACTION NET		_ 	1 (
Address <u>301</u>		Date:	_	Tim	ne Received:	Incident Number:
Business Name	H+M Internation	145 0d	JUN 2004		1343 hrs	04-12528
		INCIDE	NT REPORT	ED		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Abandoned Auto Assist Other Agency Animal: Stray/Ba	//Fire/Other arking/Other ic/Drunk/Noise Hangup		9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	Lost D. L. / Licen Lost / Found Pro Notification Other Public Other Traffic / Lo Premise Check Suspicious Auto / Motorist Assist Civil Matter	perty
		DIS	POSITION			
A. B. C.	No Problem Found Unfounded Agency	Unit #				To Seek Legal Assistance de Service
(5)	Other Police Service Area Secure				I. Card Iss D. L. / P	ued: Yes No
C - COMPLAINA R - RESIDENT	ANT E - EMPLOYEE SUB - SUBJECT	J - JUVENILE K - KEYHOLD		VNER SUSPEC	OT - OT T W - WI	
CODE NAME: (L C EVA	AS, ANITA D	Ci	Sex/Race F/B	D.O.B.	D.L #: 2.54 E152 Phone #	.0045 4704
11312	S. ELIMG AIR	CHIC	-	60617	1	892 0612
CODE NAME: (L	ast, First, Middle)		Sex/Rece	D.O.B.	D.L. #:	
C Far	ley, GWEND	olyd	<u> F/B</u>	4		
Address				Zip	Phone #	
CODE NAME: (L	sst, Firet, Middle)		Sex/Race	D.O.B.	D.L., #:	
	LN, James		M/W			
Address	,	Cli	<u>Y</u>	Zip	Phone #	
CODE NAME: (LI	et, First, Middle)		Sex/Race	D.O.B.	Ð.L. #:	
Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Çit	у	Zip	Phone #	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Narrative: ON	09 June 2004	l at 134	3 hrs An	ita E.	bus zunz	Gwendelyn S
	me into the					file A P
told me	ainst their ex-	SEXAUL	Harass M	<u> </u>	charges a	Legainst &
Simes to	nd he was fir	Ed Approxu	mately 2	2 We	sks Ago	BEONUSE &
Reporting Officer		Star Number Se	ergeant / Watch Co	mmander	7	Star Number
567 K	Buars	(05	S6-KBy			108
FORM 109 REV. 7,		GROUP EXHI	7			>>>

of the charges. They stated that they are affected of James
Because he has made statements that if he loss his Iss
HE WAS COING to go on A shooting Rampage. THEY worke informed
that he has assault werpons and they are afraid that he might
harm them or their family. THEY wanted us to be aware of
the situation and Keep an Extra which out for him in the
area of 301 W. lake ST. H& M International. James is
described as see
James Also has A
Tames drives A only
description. Anita and Gwendolyn stated that they needed
a report as A matter of RECORD and they will file A Restraining
Order against Innes. I gave Both of them the case
Number to this reposit and they left the status. THE
information was passed on to other members of our department.
NOTE: I was unable to Sounder Jumes North Information.



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Chicago District Office 500 Wes

500 West Madison St., Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60661 PH: (312) 353-2713 TDD: (312) 353-2421 ENFORCEMENT FAX: (312) 886-1168 LEGAL FAX: (312) 353-8555

EEOC Charge Number 210-2004-05059

Anita D. Evans 8130 South Evans Chicago, Illinois 60619

Charging Party

VS.

H & M International Transportation, Inc. 75 County Road Jersey City, New Jersey 07307

Respondent

&

H & M International Transportation, Inc. 301 West Lake Street Northlake, Illinois 60164

Respondent

AMENDED DETERMINATION

Under the authority vested in me by the Commission's Procedural Regulations, I issue the following determination on the merits of the subject charge filed under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII).

The Respondent is an employer within the meaning of Title VII and all requirements for coverage have been met.

The Charging Party alleges that she was subjected sexual harassment by the Respondent and that she was retaliated against for opposing the sexual harassment by being terminated. In addition, Charging Party alleges that Respondent subjected a class of female employees, to include Charging Party, to sexual harassment because of their race, Black, in violation of Title VII.

I have determined that the evidence obtained in the investigation establishes reasonable cause to believe that Respondent subjected a class of Black female employees, including Charging Party, to sexual harassment based on their sex, female, and race, Black, and retaliated against them and discriminated against them because of their sex, female, by discharging them and/or subjecting them to different terms and conditions of employment, in violation of Title VII

EEOC Charge Number 210-2004-05059 Page 2

This determination is final. When the Commission finds that violations have occurred, it attempts to eliminate unlawful practices by informal methods of conciliation. Therefore, I invite the parties to join with the Commission in reaching a just resolution of this matter. Disclosure of information obtained during the conciliation process will be made only in accordance with the Commission's Procedural Regulations (29 CFR Part 1601).

If the Respondent wishes to accept this invitation to participate in conciliation efforts, it may do so at this time, by proposing terms for a conciliation agreement; that proposal should be provided to the Commission representative within 14 days of the date of this determination. The remedies for violations of the statutes we enforce are designed to make the identified victims whole and to provide corrective and preventive relief. These remedies may include, as appropriate, an agreement by the Respondent not to engage in unlawful employment practices, placement of victims in positions they would have held but for the discriminatory actions, back pay, restoration of lost benefits, injunctive relief, compensatory and/or punitive damages, and notice to employees of the violation and the resolution of the claim.

Should the Respondent have further questions regarding the conciliation process, or the conciliation terms they would like to propose, we encourage the Respondent to contact the assigned Commission representative. Should there be no response from the Respondent in 14 days, we may conclude that further conciliation efforts would be futile or nonproductive.

On Behalf of the Commission

3-15-05

John P. Rowe District Director

GROUP EXHBIBIT C

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Ross J. Peters, an attorney, states that he caused a copy of the foregoing Defendant's Complaint of Intervening Plaintiff Anita Evans to be served upon the following attorneys of record:

Gordon G. Waldron
U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
Chicago District Office
500 W. Madison Street
Ste. 2800
Chicago, Illinois 60661

Lawrence W. Byrne Pedersen & Houpt, P.C. 161 N. Clark Street Suite 3100 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Paul J. Krause Littler Mendelson, P.C. 200 North LaSalle Street Ste. 290 Chicago, Illinois 60601

by faxing a copy to each and enclosing a true and correct copy thereof in a duly-addressed, postage prepaid envelope, and depositing same in the United States Mail from 33 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085 before 5:00 p.m., this 27 day of January, 2006.

Ross J. Peters)