

**FILED**  
APR 06 2007  
*[Signature]*  
CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

\*\*\*\*\*

\* CIV 04-4215 \*

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY \*  
COMMISSION, \*  
Plaintiff, \*  
\*  
RICHELLE DOOLEY and \*  
ANGIE GACKIE, \* VERDICT FORM  
\* (ANGIE GACKE)  
\*  
Plaintiffs - Intervenors, \*  
\*  
-vs- \*  
\*  
SIOUXLAND ORAL MAXILLOFACIAL \*  
SURGERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.P., \*  
\*  
Defendant. \*

\*\*\*\*\*

Complete this form by answering the following questions.

Was Plaintiff Angie Gacke's pregnancy a motivating factor in Defendant's decision to not hire Plaintiff Angie Gacke ?

Yes  or No  (Check one or the other.)

**If you have answered yes to the above question, answer the next question. If you have answered no to the above question, sign and date the verdict form and disregard the following sections.**

Has it been proved by the greater weight of the evidence that the Defendant would have not hired Plaintiff Angie Gacke regardless of her pregnancy?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ or No  (Check one or the other.)

**If you have answered yes to the above question sign and date the verdict form. If you have answered no to the above question, proceed to the damages portion of this form.**

We find plaintiff Angie Gacke's damages as defined in these Instructions to be:

Wage loss in the amount of \$ 5757<sup>00</sup> (state the amount).

Other damage in the amount of \$ 0 (state the amount).

For total damages in the amount of \$ 5757<sup>00</sup> (state the amount).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Foreperson

Dated: April 6, 2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

**FILED**

APR 06 2007



CLERK

\*\*\*\*\*

\* CIV 04-4215

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY \*  
COMMISSION, \*

Plaintiff, \*

RICHELLE DOOLEY and \*  
ANGIE GACKIE, \*

Plaintiffs - Intervenors, \*

-vs- \*

SIouxLAND ORAL MAXILLOFACIAL \*  
SURGERY ASSOCIATES, L.L.P., \*

Defendant. \*

\*\*\*\*\*

Complete this form by answering the following questions.

Was Plaintiff Richelle Dooley's pregnancy a motivating factor in Defendant's decision to discharge Plaintiff Richelle Dooley?

Yes  or No  (Check one or the other.)

**If you have answered yes to the above question, answer the next question. If you have answered no to the above question, sign and date the verdict form and disregard the following sections.**

Has it been proved by the greater weight of the evidence that the Defendant would have discharged Plaintiff Richelle Dooley regardless of her pregnancy?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ or No  (Check one or the other.)

**If you have answered yes to the above question sign and date the verdict form. If you have answered no to the above question, proceed to the damages portion of this form.**

We find plaintiff Richelle Dooley's damages as defined in these Instructions to be:

Wage loss in the amount of \$ 15,341<sup>00</sup> (state the amount).

Other damage in the amount of \$ 0 (state the amount).

For total damages in the amount of \$ 15,341<sup>00</sup> (state the amount).

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Foreperson

Dated: April 6, 2007