IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PO STAUSIS, FM A: 48

AURITHMEN CLEVELAND OF OND

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

v.

AMERITECH SERVICES, INC.,

and

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL NOS. 165, 188, 336, 383 AND 399

Defendants

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

JUDGE MATIA

MAG. JUDGE PERELMAN

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 and the Equal Pay Act of 1963. The Commission alleges that Ameritech Services, Inc. discriminated on the basis of sex when it instituted a Pension Plan Enhancement Program on or about March 25, 1994, which denied immediate pension benefits to certain female employees for periods of time such employees spent on maternity leave prior to April 29, 1979 but did not deny such benefits to persons who took leave for other temporary disabilities. The Commission's action also seeks to restrain the unlawful payment of wages to employees of one sex

at rates less than the rates paid to employees of the opposite sex, and to provide appropriate relief to employees harmed as a result of such unlawful practices.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) and 707(a), (b) and (c) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) and 2000e-6(a), (b) and (c)("Title VII") and pursuant to Sections 16(c) and 17 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (the "FLSA"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. Sections 216 (c) and 217, to enforce the requirements of the Equal Pay Act of 1963, codified as Section 6(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. Section 206(d).
- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

(the "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of the Equal Pay Act and Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Sections 16(c) and 17 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. Sections 216(c) and 217, as amended by Section 1 of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1978, 92 Stat. 3781, and Public Law 98-532 (1984), 98 Stat. 2705; and by Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) and 707(a), (b) and (c) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) and 2000e(a), (b) and (c).

- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant, Ameritech Services, Inc. (the "Employer"), has continuously been a Delaware corporation, doing business in the State of Ohio and the City of Cleveland and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has acted directly or indirectly as an employer in relation to employees and has continuously been an employer within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
 - 7. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has

continuously employed employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Sections 3(b), (I) and (j) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(b), (I) and (j) or has continuously been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Sections 3(r) and (s) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and (s), in that said enterprise has continuously been an enterprise whose annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not less than \$362,500.

RULE 19(a)(2) DEFENDANTS

- 8. The Communication Workers of America, AFL-CIO ("CWA") is a party to a collective bargaining agreement with Defendant Employer and is named as a Defendant pursuant to Rule 19(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in that it has an interest in the outcome of this action.
- 9. The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local No. 165, ("Local 165"), Local No. 188 ("Local 188"), Local No. 336, ("Local 336"), Local No. 383 ("Local 383"), and Local No. 399 ("Local 399") are parties to collective bargaining agreements with Defendant Employer and are named as Defendants pursuant to Rule 19(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in that they have an interest in the outcome of this action.

STATEMENT OF TITLE VII CLAIMS

- 10. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Diana Crowley, and other similarly situated women, filed charges with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant Employer. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 11. Since at least January 1, 1994, Defendant Employer has engaged in an unlawful pattern of employment practices at its Cleveland, Ohio facility, as well as other facilities in Ohio and other states, in violation of Sections 703(a)(1) and 707(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1) and 2000e-6(a). The unlawful pattern of employment practices includes instituting a Pension Plan Enhancement Program which denied immediate pension benefits to certain female employees by denying them service credit for periods of time such employees spent on maternity leave prior to April 29, 1979 but did not deny such benefits to persons who took leave for other temporary disabilities.
- 12. The effect of the pattern of employment practice(s) complained of in paragraph 11, above, has been to deprive Diana Crowley, and other similarly situated women, of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status because of their sex.

- 13. The unlawful pattern of employment practices complained of in paragraph 11, above, was and is intentional.
- 14. The unlawful pattern of employment practices complained of in paragraph 11, above, was and is now being committed with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Diana Crowley and other similarly situated women.
- 15. Since at least January 1, 1994, Defendant Employer has violated Sections 6(d)(1) and 15(a)(2) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(d)(1) and 215(a)(2), by instituting a Pension Plan Enhancement Program which differentiated in benefits on the basis of sex in that the Pension Enhancement Program denied immediate pension benefits to certain female employees by denying them service credit for periods of time such employees spent on maternity leave prior to April 29, 1979 but did not deny such benefits to persons who took leave for other temporary disabilities.
- 16. As a result of the acts complained of above, Defendant Employer unlawfully has withheld and is continuing to withhold the payment of fringe benefits to Diana Crowley and a class of other similarly situated women generally defined as all present and former female employees of Defendant Employer who took any maternity-related leave of absence which commenced on or after July

- 2, 1965 and before April 29, 1979 and who are now or were within the scope of the offer made by the March 25, 1994 Pension Plan Enhancement Program of Defendant Employer. On information and belief, the size of the class is in excess of 7,000 present and former employees of Defendant Employer, some of whom are named on Exhibit A, attached hereto.
- 17. The unlawful practices complained of in paragraphs 15 and 16, above, were and are willful.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant Employer, its officers, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in the institution, maintenance and/or management of the March 1994 Pension Plan Enhancement Program, or any other similar plan, which discriminates on the basis of sex.
- B. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant Employer, its officers, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from discriminating within any of its establishments between employees on the basis of sex, by instituting, maintaining and/or managing the March 1994 Pension Plan Enhancement Program, or any other similar plan, which

differentiates in benefits on the basis of sex.

- C. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for women , and which eradicate the effects of its past and present pattern of unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Diana Crowley, and other similarly situated women, by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be proved at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful pattern of employment practices, including but not limited to immediately modifying the March 1994 Pension Plan Enhancement Program to give immediate pension benefits to female employees for periods of time such employees spent on maternity leave prior to April 29, 1979.
- E. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Diana Crowley by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful pattern of employment practices described in paragraph 11, above, including past and future out of pocket costs suffered by aggrieved individuals as the result of Defendant's sex differentiated Pension Plan Enhancement Program, in amounts to be determined at trial.
 - F. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Diana Crowley, and

other similarly situated women, by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the pattern of unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 11, above, including emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.

- G. Order Defendant Employer to pay Diana Crowley, and other similarly situated women, punitive damages for its malicious and/or reckless conduct described in paragraph 11, above, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- H. Grant a judgment requiring Defendant Employer to pay appropriate back wages in amounts to be determined at trial, an equal sum as liquidated damages, or prejudgment interest in lieu thereof, to employees whose wages are being unlawfully withheld as a result of the acts complained of above, including but not limited to Diana Crowley and those employees named on Exhibit A, attached hereto. The Commission is seeking monetary relief for a class of female employees who have been adversely affected by Defendant's March 1994 Pension Plan Enhancement Program because of said Plan's differentiation of benefits based on sex.
- I. Grant such further relief as this Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.

Award the Commission its costs of this action. J.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

> Respectfully submitted C. GREGROY STEWART GENERAL COUNSEL

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 1801 L STREET, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20507

C. Larry Watson

Regional Attorney(#0031443)

Supervisory Trial Attorney

Solvita A. McMillan(#0040011)

Trial Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Cleveland District Office 1660 W. 2d St. Ste 850 Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1454 (216) 522-7453

EXHIBIT A

Linda Apling Janet Appleton Elaine Baer Kathie Bair Dorothy Baptista Phyllis Barnes Roberta Barrick Joyce Benjamin Bernadette Bernabei Catherine Berry Linda Bilbrey Betty Bober Deborah Briner Sherri Brooks Pam Brumfield Joyce Burns Barbara Burris Carol Burton Harriett Campbell Carol Chovanec Harriet Christian Jackie Clay Nancy Clemens Linda Cochran Karen Cousino Kathleen Cox Nancy Craig Diana Crowley Cheryl Cuprys Barbara Dale-Collins Susan Dattilo Paula Davidson Renee Eiland Linda Engel Mary Ann Enright Evelyn Evans Kathy Ewing Vicki Fauth Joan Fetters Joyce Fogle Arlene Foulk

Lenore Friedman Phyllis Gaulden Susan Gehlers Mary Gonyer Mirta Gonzalez Marlene Gorka Carla Grubb Janice Hagemeyer Judieth Hale Betty Hardin Janice Harding Jane Harris Janet Harris Ravella Hartman Rose Harvey Carol Hays Joyce Hicks Victoria Higginbotham Sandra Hughes Angel Humphrey Joan Hunnell Saundra Holland Madeline Honeycutt Delois Johnson Margaret Johnson Sharon Johnson Shirley Jones Frances Kajfasz Jackie Kendall Pamela Kopena Sharon Krajicek Carol LaCourse Lunceray Lamb Patricia Lanzy Jean Lefevre Sandra Lentz Mary Livingston Linda Massengale Patricia Mays Marcia Matney Mary McBride Georgianna McKeever Margaret McKinley

Mary Minnear

Sandra Moon

Susan Morel

Anita Moses

Sandra Mulhall

Pamela Murphy

Virginia Myszenski

Melba Nix

Nancy Nuding

Sharon Oehlers

Diane Parker

Bettie Peck

Ruth Pettit

Gilda Pitts

Betty Pope

Barbara Raglin

Carolyn Ray

Connie Ray

C. Retherford

Linda Rettiq

Louise Richardson

Teresa Riddlebarger

Phyllis Riffle

Maryann Roberts

Brenda Rouchion

Margaret Ruminski

Janet Sagert

Ann Schmidt

Jean Schulte

Carol Sears

Karen Shearer

Betty Sherman

Sherry Sidner

Frances Smith

Janice Smith

Sandra Smith

bandra biliten

Brenda Smitherman-Muir

Susan Spangler

Latricia Sparks

Harriet Spencer

Kathryn Strauss

Marsha Struckel

Wilma Teems

Ruth Tellings Connie Thacker Joanna Thurston Barbara Tofstad Rosalind Toyer Karen Thompson Janice Tudor Leslie Turner Sharon Tynan Janet Vogelpohl Elsie Whitfield Marie Whitson Francie Wingo Joyce Wiczynski Belinda Williams Glenna Witt Rita Wray Karen Zawodny