| . : • | | |
|-------|--|---|
| | | |
| 1 | Walter G. Meyer | FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT |
| 2 | Mark D. Watson MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY, P.S. | Eastern District of Washington |
| 3 | P.O. Box 22680 | FEB 1 8 2003 |
| 4 | Yakima, WA 98907-2680 (509) 575-8500 | JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK |
| 5 | Attorneys for Defendant Zirkle Fruit Co. | SPOKANE, WASHINGTON |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 9 | AT YAKIMA | |
| 10 | OLIVIA MENDOZA and JUANA |)) NO. CY-00-3024-FVS |
| 11 | MENDIOLA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, |) NO. CI-00-3024-FVS) |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, |) DEFENDANT ZIRKLE FRUIT) COMPANY'S AMENDED |
| 13 | T failtints, |) ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' |
| 14 | vs. |) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT |
| 15 | ZIRKLE FRUIT CO., a Washington | ,) |
| 16 | corporation and MATSON FRUIT COMPANY, a Washington corporation, |) |
| 17 | and SELECTIVE EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, INC., a Washington |) |
| 18 | corporation, |) |
| 19 | Defendants. |) |
| 20 | |) |
| 21 | COMES NOW the Defendant Zirkle Fruit Company, a Washington corporation | |
| 22 | (hereinafter "Defendant"), and in answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Class Action Complaint | |
| 23 | for Violation of Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Acts and Pendent State Law | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Claim, alleges and states as follows: | |
| 26 | 1. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 1, and specifically denies that this | |
| 27 | is a proper class-action lawsuit. | |
| 28 | | |
| | DEFENDANT ZIRKLE AMENDED ANS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED SOMP URIG | |

2. Defendant admits it is a corporation engaged in the business of growing, warehousing, packing and selling apples and other types of products, but denies the remainder of the allegations of Paragraph 2.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 3-7.

4. Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 8 insofar as it alleges that Plaintiffs Olivia Mendoza and Juana Mendiola were lawfully entitled to be employed in the United States at the time they were employed by Defendant; Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remainder of the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Complaint and/or they are denied.

Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 9 insofar as it is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington with its principal place of business located in Selah, Washington. Defendant denies the remainder of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9.

6. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 10-13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and/or they are denied.

7. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 14-20.

8. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 21-22 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, and/or they are denied.

9. Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 23 insofar as it alleges Defendants Zirkle and Matson operate fruit orchards and packing houses. Defendant denies the remainder of the allegations contained in Paragraph 23.

DEFENDANT ZIRKLE AMENDED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 2 LAW OFFICES OF **MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY, P.S.** 230 South Second Street / P.O. Box 22680 Yakima, Washington 98907 Tel (509)575-8500 Fax (509)575-4676

3.

10. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 24-34.

11. Defendant admits it entered into an agreement with an entity known as Selective Employment Agency (hereinafter "Selective") and that Selective was to furnish, among other things, properly documented, legal workers. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the allegations contained in Paragraph 35, and/or they are denied.

12. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 36-72.

13. As a further affirmative defense, Defendant alleges that Plaintiffs' claimed injuries and damages were caused in whole or in part by Plaintiffs' contributory fault/negligence, waiver, consent, payment, failure to mitigate, and/or assumption of the risk (and any other defense to the extent Plaintiffs knew or should have known about the presence of any illegal workers and failed to take steps to protect their interests or otherwise acquiesced in the continued presence of any illegal workers) and statute of limitations (to the extent any claim is being made for damages accrued outside the applicable statute of limitations).

14. That the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted as there is no private right of action for violation of the Immigration and Nationality Act or for injunctive relief under RICO.

15. That the complaint has been filed in violation of FRCP 11 as the factual allegations concerning illegal activities are without any evidentiary support and are not likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.

WHEREFORE, having answered Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendant prays for relief as follows:

1. For dismissal of Plaintiffs' Complaint with prejudice;

DEFENDANT ZIRKLE AMENDED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 3

| - • | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | 2. For an award of Defendant's costs, expenses and attorney's fees as provided by |
| 2 | law; and |
| 3 | 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable. |
| 4 | DATED this / / day of February, 2003. |
| 5 | $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$ |
| 6 | |
| 7 | MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY, P.S. Attorneys for Defendant Zirkle Fruit Company |
| 8 | Mullat for |
| 9 | WALTER G. MEYER, WSBA #1099 |
| 10 | Muh Moh |
| 11 | MARK D. WATSON, WSBA #14693 |
| 12 13 | |
| 13 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | DEFENDANT ZIRKLE AMENDED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 4 LAW OFFICES OF MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY, P.S. 230 South Second Street / P.O. Box 22680 Yakima, Washington 98907 Tel (509)575-8500 Fax (509)575-4676 |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge:

At all times herein mentioned the undersigned was and now is a citizen of the United States, and a resident of the State of Washington, and over the age of majority; that the undersigned is not a party to the above-entitled action nor interested therein.

That on February 14, 2003, the undersigned caused to be deposited in the United States Post Office at Yakima, Yakima County, Washington, envelopes containing true and correct copies of Defendant Zirkle Fruit Company's Amended Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint; said envelopes bearing correct first class postage and being addressed to:

Steve W. Berman, Esq.
Andrew M. Volk, Esq.
Hagens Berman LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101

Terry C. Schmalz, Esq. Halverson & Applegate, P.S. P.O. Box 22720 Yakima, WA 98907

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Howard W. Foster, Esq. Johnson & Bell, LTD 55 East Monroe, Suite 4100 Chicago, IL 60603

Ryan M. Edgley, Esq. Edgley & Beattie, P.S. 201 East "D" Street Yakima, WA 98901

Brendan V. Monahan, Esq. Velikanje, Moore & Shore, P.S. P.O. Box C-2550 Yakima, WA 98907

DATED this 14th day of February, 2003, at Yakima, Washington.

KATHY MARUGG

DEFENDANT ZIRKLE AMENDED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 5 LAW OFFICES OF **MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY, P.S.** 230 South Second Street / P.O. Box 22680 Yakima, Washington 98907 Tel (509)575-8500 Fax (509)575-4676