## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		Joan B. C	ottschall	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	Morton Denlow	
CASE NUMBER		01 C	4427	DATE	2/23/2004	
CASE TITLE			EEOC vs. International Profit Associates, Inc.			
[In the following box (a of the motion being pr			indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature sented.]			
DOCKET ENTRY:						
(1)	□ Filed	Filed motion of [ use listing in "Motion" box above.]				
(2)	□ Brief	Brief in support of motion due				
(3)	□ Ansv	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due				
(4)	□ Rulir	Ruling/Hearing on set for at				
(5)	□ Statu	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at				
(6)	□ Pretr	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at				
(7)	☐ Trial	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at				
(8)	□ [Ben	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at				
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).				
[Other docket entry] Motion hearing held. Plaintiff's motion to add class members [228-1] is granted. Defendant's motion to bar testimony of witnesses disclosed after discovery cutoff or reopen discovery is granted in part and denied in part. Defendant's motion for sanctions against the EEOC for deposition and discovery misconduct [194-1] is denied. Plaintiff's motion to compel the production of documents and further deposition of Andrew Daley is taken under advisement [205]. A ruling shall be entered on or before 3/5/04 by mail. Defendant's motion to approve directed communication for settlement purposes between IPA and claimants [214-1] is granted subject to the completion of a settlement conference. Settlement conference set for 3/3/04 at 10:00 a.m. EEOC to file an amended complaint on or before 3/1/04. Defendant to answer on or before 3/15/04.						
(11)						
	No notices required, advised in open court.				Document Number	
	No notices required.				number of notices	
<b>/</b>	Notices mailed by judge's staff.  Notified counsel by telephone.				2=25-14	
Docketing to mail notices.			•	Catholic County		
	Mail AO 450 form.		18000	19814219 <b>S'N</b>	worketing deputy phitials	
1	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		LC:	da jagad Sili 👅	2/23/2004	
рк		courtroom deputy's initials		c received in	DK6	

## (Reserved for use by the Court) ORDER

The general procedures that govern Title VII pattern and practice lawsuits are set forth in Int'I. Brotherhood of Teamsters v. United States, 431 U.S. 324 (1977) and General Telephone v. EEOC, 446 U.S. 318 (1980). The EEOC may seek classwide relief without being certified as the class representative under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. General Telephone, 446 U.S. at 320. With respect to the trial of this case, the trial shall be bifurcated and shall follow the procedures outlined in EEOC v. Dial Corp., 156 F. Supp. 2d 926 (N.D. III. 2001) and 259 F. Supp. 2d 710 (N.D. III. 2003). Therefore, the trial scheduled for February 7, 2005 shall deal only with phases I (whether a pattern or practice existed and, if so, when) and II (whether any such pattern or practice was done with malice or reckless indifference, and if so, an amount, if any, of punitive damages to the class). 259 F. Supp. 2d at 712. In the event a pattern and practice of sexual harassment and sexual discrimination is found, a later trial will be conducted of phases III (compensatory damages in the individual claims); and IV (an apportionment of any punitive damages among the class members). As a result, dispositive motions to be filed by July 15, 2004 shall address only the pattern and practice and punitive damage issues in phases I and II and shall not address the issue of individual claims.

EEOC's Motion to Add Class Members is granted and Defendant's Motion to Bar Testimony of Witnesses Disclosed after Discovery Cutoff or Reopen Discovery is granted in part and denied in part. Plaintiff's complaint alleges a pattern and practice of sex harassment, sex discrimination and hostile and abusive work environment for the period from at least 1991 to the present. This Court required Defendant to produce an employee list for employees for the period 1997 through September 29, 2001. The EEOC was allowed to contact these employees as part of its investigation. Since the close of fact discovery on December 31, 2003, approximately one dozen new prospective class members seek to join this suit as members of the class. These individuals will be allowed to join the class, however, none of them will be allowed to testify in phases I and II of the trial with respect to issues for the period of 1997 through September 29, 2001. On or before March 1, 2004, the EEOC will once again confirm in writing to Defendant the identity of the new class members and will specifically identify those new class members who they intend to call at trial to establish a pattern or practice prior to 1997 or after September 29, 2001. All other new class members will be barred from testifying in phases I and II of the trial. Defendant may depose the proposed witnesses at its option on all issues so as to avoid a second deposition.

Defendant's Motion for Sanctions Against the EEOC for Deposition and Discovery Misconduct is denied for the reasons stated in open court.

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel the production of documents and further deposition of Andrew Daley is taken under advisement. A ruling shall be entered on or before March 5, 2004. Defendant shall produce to Defendant and the Court an updated privilege log and deliver withheld documents to the Court for in camera review on or before February 24, 2004.

Defendant's Motion to Approve Direct Communications for Settlement Purposes between Defendant and Claimants is granted subject to the completion of a settlement conference with this Court on March 3, 2004 at 10:00 a.m. and prior approval of the written communications and procedures by the Court.

The EEOC shall submit its updated settlement demand, including proposed form of consent decree, to Defendant on or before February 26, 2004. Defendant to submit its revised settlement offer and response to the EEOC's proposed consent decree to the EEOC on or before March 2, 2004 at Noon. Defendant shall provide the Court with copies of the revised settlement demand and offer by March 2, 2004 at Noon.

EEOC to file an amended complaint on or before March 1, 2004 and Defendant to answer on or before March 15, 2004.

MORTON DENLOW

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: February 24, 2004