UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY		
	X	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNI	TY:	
COMMISSION,	:	Civ ( )
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	<u>COMPLAINT</u>
V.	:	
	:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
VENTIV PHARMA SERVICES,	:	
a Division of Ventiv Health, Inc, now	:	
known as inVentiv Pharma Services, a	:	
Division of inVentiv Health, Inc.,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	
	X	

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Pregnancy Discrimination Act, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful discrimination on the basis of sex (pregnancy), and to provide appropriate relief to Charging Party Lisa Lewis ("Lewis") and a group of similarly situated female employees who were adversely affected by such practices. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission contends that since at least 2003, Defendant Ventiv Pharma Services, a division of Ventiv Health, Inc., now known as inVentiv Pharma Services, a division of inVentiv Health, Inc., ("Defendant"), engaged in sex discrimination by treating pregnant employees less favorably than non-pregnant employees and impermissibly denied leave time to and then terminated Lewis and other similarly situated pregnant women in its nationwide sales force who requested leave of more than six weeks, while granting such leave to non-pregnant employees who took leave for medical reasons, as described with greater particularity below.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and 706(f)(3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e <u>et seq</u>.
("Title VII") and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981A.

2. The unlawful employment practices alleged below were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

#### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("the Commission" or "the EEOC"), is an agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1), 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1).

4. At all relevant times, Defendant, a Delaware corporation, has continuously been doing business in the State of New Jersey, with its corporate headquarters located in Somerset, New Jersey, and has continuously had at least fifteen employees.

5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-(b),(g), and (h).

6. At relevant times, Defendant was an employer of Lewis.

# STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

7. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Lewis filed a charge

of discrimination with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All

conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

8. Since at least February 2003 until the present, and continuing, Defendant has

engaged in unlawful employment actions at its corporate headquarters in Somerset, New Jersey

and with its nationwide sales force, in violation of Section 703 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2.

These practices include, but are not limited to:

- a. discriminating against Lewis and similarly situated pregnant employees in its nationwide sales force who are not covered by the Family Medical Leave Act by denying Lewis and other pregnant employees leaves of absences in excess of six weeks, while granting similar and longer leaves to a larger group of non-pregnant employees who needed leaves for other medical reasons and who also are not covered by the Family Medical Leave Act.
- b. terminating Lewis and other similarly situated pregnant employees in its nationwide sales force who are not covered by the Family Medical Leave Act who took leaves of more than six weeks while not terminating non-pregnant employees who took such leaves and who also are not covered by the Family Medical Leave Act.

9. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Lewis and a

class of similarly situated former and current employees of equal employment opportunities, and

otherwise adversely affect their status as employees on the basis of sex (pregnancy).

10. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were intentional.

11. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were done with malice

and/or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of the aggrieved employees and

other similarly situated employees.

#### Case as 661-03304/5960/0/LC-JDb/cunDentub20ent 1-1File #i0ed/209/200/2000@age adge f46 of 6

12. Lewis and the aggrieved employees have suffered and will continue to suffer physical and emotional pain, including, but not limited to, mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience and loss of enjoyment of life as a result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct described above.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates on the basis of pregnancy.

B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for pregnant women, including, but not limited to, providing leave benefits in a non-discriminatory manner to pregnant employees.

C. Order Defendant to make whole Lewis and all those individuals adversely affected by the unlawful employment practices described above, by providing appropriate backpay and other benefits with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be proved at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to reinstatement of Lewis and the aggrieved individuals.

D. Order Defendant to make whole Lewis and all those individuals adversely affected by the unlawful employment practices described above by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses, including, but not limited to, medical expenses in amounts to be determined at trial.

4

### Case as 661.33045960011C-JDbcunDertu320nt 1-1File Eiles/209/2002006Page age f56 of 6

E. Order Defendant to make whole Lewis and all those individuals adversely affected by the unlawful employment practices described above by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses, including, but not limited to, pain and suffering, mental anguish, inconvenience and loss of enjoyment in life, in amounts to be determined at trial.

F. Order Defendant to make whole Lewis and all those individuals adversely affected by the unlawful employment practices described above, by providing punitive damages against Defendant for its malicious and reckless conduct, in amounts to be determined at trial.

G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.

H. Award the Commission its costs in this action.

## JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by its

Complaint.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2006

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Ronald S. Cooper General Counsel

James L. Lee Deputy General Counsel

Gwendolyn Y. Reams Associate General Counsel

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 1801 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20507 Case 35861-33934596401LC-JDblcun Deutu 228nt 1-1File File 200200000 Page 309660f 6

s/Elizabth Grossman

Elizabeth Grossman Regional Attorney

s/Judy Keenan

Judy Keenan Acting Supervisory Trial Attorney

s/Michael Ranis Michael B. Ranis (#3757) Trial Attorney

New York District Office 33 Whitehall Street, 5th Floor New York, N.Y. 10004 (212) 336-3701 michael.ranis@eeoc.gov