FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT

2005 SEP 22 A 11: 47

DISTRICT OF UTAH

BY: DEPUTY CLERK

RALPH E. CHAMNESS (Bar No. 6511) LAUREN I. SCHOLNICK (Bar No. 7776) STRINDBERG SCHOLNICK & CHAMNESS, LLC 44 Exchange Place, Second Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: 801-359-4169

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

REBECCA LEIGH DEHART,

Plaintiff,

Attorneys for Plaintiff

v.

STEVENS-HENAGER COLLEGE, INC.,

Defendant.

**COMPLAINT** AND JURY DEMAND

Judge Paul G. Cassell

DATE STAMP: 09/22/2005 @ 11:48:18

1:05CV00118 PGC

Plaintiff Rebecca Leigh Dehart ("Ms. DeHart"), by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby submits this Complaint and Jury Demand against Defendant Stevens-Henager College, Inc. ("Stevens-Henager").

### I. INTRODUCTION

Stevens-Henager violated Ms. DeHart' right to receive pay based upon her 1. job duties as opposed to her gender by paying Ms. DeHart less than it did a male employee performing substantially similar work. Stevens-Henager also violated Ms.

DeHart' right to engage in the protected activity of complaining about the discriminatory nature of the unequal pay by terminating her because she complained.

### II. PARTIES

- 2. Ms. DeHart is a female, and resides in Weber County, State of Utah.
- 3. Stevens-Henager is a Utah corporation doing business in Weber County, State of Utah. At all relevant times it was engaged in an industry affecting commerce, with annual gross sales of not less than \$500,000.00 and employed fifteen or more employees.
- 4. At all relevant times, Stevens-Henager was Ms. DeHart's employer as defined by the FLSA, the EPA and Title VII.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345, in that this action arises under federal law, specifically the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 ("FLSA") as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 216( c) and 217 to enforce the requirements of the Equal Pay Act of 1963, 29 U.S.C. § 206(d) ("EPA") and pursuant to the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et.seq.*. This action is also initiated pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 6. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed inWeber County, Utah, which is within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Central Division of Utah. Thus, venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

7. Ms. DeHart filed a timely Charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging that Stevens-Henager discriminated against her and other women on the basis of gender by failing to pay equal pay for equal work. The EEOC, after investigating Ms. Richard's Charge, issued Ms. DeHart a Letter of Determination finding reasonable cause to believe that Stevens-Henager had violated the EPA and Title VII by failing to pay Ms. DeHart equal work for equal pay. On September 20, 2005, the EEOC issued Ms. DeHart a Notice of Right to Sue on her Title VII claims.

### IV. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Ms. DeHart began working for Stevens-Henager in May 2003 as an Admissions Consultant.
- 9. During her employment, Ms. DeHart would meet with potential students, interview them and help the students enroll in Steven-Henager's programs. Patrick Young ("Mr. Young"), a male employee in the same office, essentially performed the same duties as Ms. DeHart.
- 10. Mr. Young's duties and Ms. DeHart's duties required substantially equal work on jobs the performance of which required equal skill, effort and responsibility.

  The duties were performed under similar working conditions at Stevens-Henager's Ogden, Utah location.

- 11. Stevens-Henager paid Ms. DeHart \$36,000.00 a year to perform the duties of an Admissions Consultant.
- 12. Stevens-Henager paid Mr. Young \$48,000.00 a year to perform substantially the same duties as Ms. DeHart.
- 13. When Ms. DeHart became aware that Mr. Young was receiving more money for performing substantially the same work, she complained to Stevens-Henager about the pay inequity.
- 14. Stevens-Henager terminated Ms. DeHart because she had discovered what Mr. Young was making and complained about the pay inequity.

#### V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

## First Claim for Relief (Violation of the EPA)

- 15. Ms. DeHart incorporates paragraphs 1 through 14 of this Complaint by this reference.
- 16. By paying Ms. DeHart at a rate less than her male colleague in the same establishment for substantially equal work on a job requiring substantially equal skill, effort and responsibility under similar work conditions, Stevens-Henager violated the EPA.
- 17. Because Stevens-Henager purposefully and intentionally discriminated against Ms. DeHart based upon her gender, in violation of the EPA by paying her less

than it paid her male co-worker who was performing substantially the same work, Ms. DeHart has suffered, and will continue to suffer, economic loss.

- 18. As a result of Stevens-Henager's violation of the EPA, Ms. DeHart is entitled to recover the amount of wages she would have been paid in the absence of the violation, an equal amount as liquidated damages and prejudgment interest on those amounts.
- 19. Ms. DeHart is entitled to recover all attorneys' fees and costs expended in prosecuting this action.
  - 20. Ms. DeHart is entitled to other such relief as this Court deems appropriate.

## Second Claim for Relief (Retaliation in Violation of the EPA)

- 21. Ms. DeHart incorporates paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Complaint by this reference.
- 22. Stevens-Henager took an adverse employment action against Ms. DeHart because she engaged in the protected activity of complaining about the unequal pay she was receiving by terminating her employment.
- 23. Stevens-Henager's actions constitute unlawful retaliation in violation of the EPA.
- 24. As a result of Stevens-Henager's actions, Ms. DeHart has suffered, and will continue to suffer, both economic and non-economic loss, including, but not limited to:

loss of wages and benefits, future pecuniary losses, emotional distress, and other compensatory damages.

- 25. As outlined above, Stevens-Henager purposefully and intentionally retaliated against Ms. DeHart based upon her complaint by taking adverse employment actions against her. Ms. DeHart is entitled to recover damages for all future pecuniary losses, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and all other non-pecuniary losses caused by Stevens-Henager's unlawful retaliation.
- 26. Stevens-Henager's actions described above were done with malice or a reckless indifference to Ms. DeHart' federally protected right to be free from retaliation for having complained of unequal pay. Due to the willful and malicious nature of the retaliation against Ms. DeHart, Ms. DeHart is entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter Stevens-Henager from engaging in retaliatory conduct in the future.
- 27. Ms. DeHart is entitled to recover all attorneys' fees and costs expended in prosecuting this action.
  - 28. Ms. DeHart is entitled to other such relief as this Court deems appropriate.

# Third Claim for Relief (Discrimination in Violation of Title VII)

29. Ms. DeHart incorporates paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Complaint by this reference.

- 30. By paying a male employee more than it paid Ms. DeHart for performing the same work, Stevens-Henager purposefully and intentionally discriminated against Ms. DeHart in violation of Title VII.
- 31. Stevens-Henager' actions described above were done with malice or a reckless indifference to Ms. DeHart' federally protected right to have employment decisions based upon legitimate non-discriminatory business reasons. Due to the willful and malicious nature of the discrimination against Ms. DeHart, Ms. DeHart is entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter Stevens-Henager from engaging in discriminatory conduct in the future.
- 32. Ms. DeHart is entitled to recover all attorneys' fees and costs expended in prosecuting this action.
  - 33. Ms. DeHart is entitled to other such relief as this Court deems appropriate.

# Fourth Claim for Relief (Retaliation in Violation of Title VII)

- 34. Ms. DeHart incorporates paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint by this reference.
- 35. Stevens-Henager took adverse employment actions against Ms. DeHart because she engaged in the protected activity of complaining about the discrimination she suffered, by terminating her employment.

- 36. Stevens-Henager's actions constitute unlawful retaliation in violation of Title VII.
- 37. As a result of Stevens-Henager's actions, Ms. DeHart has suffered, and will continue to suffer, both economic and non-economic loss, including, but not limited to: loss of wages and benefits, future pecuniary losses, emotional distress, and other compensatory damages.
- 38. As outlined above, Stevens-Henager purposefully and intentionally retaliated against Ms. DeHart based upon her complaint or Charge by taking adverse employment actions against her. Ms. DeHart is entitled to recover damages for all future pecuniary losses, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and all other non-pecuniary losses caused by Stevens-Henager's unlawful retaliation.
- 39. Stevens-Henager's actions described above were done with malice or a reckless indifference to Ms. DeHart' federally protected right to be free from retaliation for having complained of unlawful discrimination. Due to the willful and malicious nature of the retaliation against Ms. DeHart, Ms. DeHart is entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter Stevens-Henager from engaging in retaliatory conduct in the future.

- 40. Ms. DeHart is entitled to recover all attorneys' fees and costs expended in prosecuting this action.
  - 41. Ms. DeHart is entitled to other such relief as this Court deems appropriate.

### **Request for Jury Trial**

42. Ms. DeHart requests that her claims be tried to a jury of her peers.

#### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ms. DeHart respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in her favor and against Stevens-Henager, and award the following:

- a. Back pay, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- b. An amount equal to the amount awarded as back pay as liquidated damages;
- c. Reinstatement, or front pay in lieu of reinstatement;
- d. Emotional distress and punitive damages;
- e. Compensatory and consequential damages;
- f. Injunctive and/or declaratory relief requiring Stevens-Henager to take appropriate actions to ensure that its female employees are paid equal pay for equal work;
- g. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rate;
- h. Attorneys' fees and costs of this action, including expert witness fees, as appropriate;

- i. Injunctive relief restraining Stevens-Henager from engaging in further discriminatory conduct; and,
- j. Any such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated this 22 day of September, 2005.

STRINDBERG SCHOLNICK & CHAMNESS, LLC

Ralph E. Chamness

Erik Strindberg

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Plaintiff's Address 825 West 4100 South Riverdale, Utah 84045

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS REBECCA LEIGH	H DEHART	DEFENDANT		FILED J.S. DISTRICT COURT			
			<b>2</b> 0	105 SEP 22 A 11: 47			
(b) County of Residence of First Lister	d Plaintiff WEBER	County of Resid	County of Residence of First Listed WEBERICT OF UTAH  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
•	N U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	_ (I					
		NOTE: IN LAND IN	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  LAND INVOLVED.  OF THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Strindberg Scholnick & Salt Lake City, Utah 84	Address, and Telephone Number) & Chamness, LLC, 44 Exchange Place, 2 <sup>nd</sup> I 1111 - 801-359-4169	Attorneys (If Know	wn)				
•							
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	(Far Director Const. O. 1.)		I O - D - C - D C - d - v)			
		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	x 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a	Citizen of This State	1 ☐ 1 Incorporated or I of Business In	<b>-</b>			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Citizen of Another State	2	Principal Place   5   5   Another State			
	in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	3 🗀 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	1 Oloigii Counti v					
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
□ 110 Insurance     □ 120 Marine     □ 130 Miller Act     □ 140 Negotiable Instrument     □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 362 Personal 315 Airplane Product Med. Liability 365 Personal 320 Assault, Libel & Product	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881  GO Liquor Laws	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	□ 400 State Reapportionment     □ 410 Antitrust     □ 430 Banks and Banking     □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.     □ 460 Deportation			
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander Liability Slander Personal	□ 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	470 Racketeer Influenced and			
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury	_	820 Copyrights	Corrupt Organizations			
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability  340 Marine PERSONAL	660 Occupational Safety/Health	□ 830 Patent	810 Selective Service			
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine Product PROPERTY 345 Marine Product Property Fraud	Safety/Health 690 Other	□ 840 Trademark	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange			
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability     371 Truth in   Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	- ☐ 875 Customer Challenge			
160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle Person all 355 Motor Vehicle Damage	x 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	□ 891 Agricultural Acts			
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability   Product Liability   385 Property   Damage   Damage   Toduct   Liability   Liability   Liability   Damage   Liability   Liability   Damage   Damag	Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL DICHTS PRISONER	120 Labor/Wight. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting DETITIONS	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act			
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	X 442 Employment Sentence	l	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to			
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 General	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	S70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Justice ☐ 950 Constitutionality of			
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	State Statutes  □ 890 Other Statutory Actions			
v. origin (Place an	"X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)			<u></u>			
	moved from the Court 3 Remanded 4 from Appellate Court Court	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred another dis (specify)	d room strict 6 Multidistrict Litigation	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which yo	ou are filing and write brief statement of	of cause.				
	Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless of S.C. § 206(d) and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act						
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS			:			
COMPLAINT:	ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	Judge Pau	l G. Cassell				
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY    See instructions):   DECK TYPE: Civil   DATE STAMP: 09/22/2005 @ 11:48:18   CASE NUMBER: 1:05CV00118 PGC							

		JUDGE	DOC	KET NUMBER	<u></u>
DATE 9-12-05		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPL	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 12/96)

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet