1 M.E. STEPHENS (SBN 149649) SHELBY L. STUNTZ (SBN 231594) CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER STOCK STEPHENS, LLP 2 MAY 05 2006 110 W. "C" STREET, SUITE 1810 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 3 ALAN SLATER, Clerk of the Court Tel: (619) 234-5488 Fax: (619) 234-8814 4 BY: ENRIQUE VELOZ DEPUTY 5 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF, JOHN DOE 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 **COUNTY OF ORANGE** 9 10 **060005833** 11 Case No. JOHN DOE, 12 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** Plaintiff, FOR: 13 VS. (1) DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE 14 (2) INTENTIONAL INFLICTION COUNTY OF ORANGE; ORANGE OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; 15 SHERIFF MICHAEL S. CARONA: (3) NEGLIGENCE ORANGE COUNTY HEALTH CARE 16 AGENCY; and DOES 1 through 25, GENERAL CIVIL CASE inclusive, 17 **AMOUNT EXCEEDS \$25,000** Defendants. 18 JUDGE ANDREW P. BANKS 19 DEPT. C6 20 COME NOW Plaintiff JOHN DOE individually, and alleges as follows: 21 **JURISDICTION** 22 Plaintiff, JOHN DOE, is an individual, and except as otherwise indicated, at all times 23 herein mentioned was a resident of the County of Orange, State of California. 24 2. JOHN DOE is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, COUNTY 25 26 1 27 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -28 JOHN DOE V. COUNTY OF ORANGE, ET AL.

OF ORANGE, ("COUNTY") and ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ("DEPARTMENT") are and were at all times herein mentioned political subdivisions and/or public entities of the State of California, created, duly organized, and existing under the laws of the State of California.

- 3. JOHN DOE is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant, SHERIFF MICHAEL S. CARONA ("SHERIFF CARONA") is and at all times herein mentioned was employed by COUNTY as Sheriff. JOHN DOE is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all relevant times herein mentioned, SHERIFF CARONA was acting under color of law and in the course and scope of his employment for Defendant COUNTY as Sheriff. Defendant, SHERIFF CARONA is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 4. JOHN DOE is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant, ORANGE COUNTY HEALTH CARE AGENCY ("OCHCA") is and at all times herein mentioned was a political subdivision and/or public entity of the State of California, created, duly organized, and existing under the laws of the State of California. OCHCA provides essential medical services to persons for whom the COUNTY has legal responsibility including those in the Orange County Jail, by and through Correctional Medical Services, a division of OCHCA.
- 5. JOHN DOE is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 25, and therefore shall sue these Defendants by such fictitious names. JOHN DOE is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that each of the Defendants designated as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the circumstances alleged in this Complaint, and caused JOHN DOE to suffer damages as alleged herein. JOHN DOE will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.
- 6. JOHN DOE is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, including all Defendants sued under fictitious names, were acting within the course and scope of their authority as agents, representatives, subcontractors,

Defendants.

partners, alter egos and/or employees for Defendant COUNTY, and were therefore looked upon as holding a superior position of authority and trust by JOHN DOE. These unidentified DOES are sued individually and in their official capacities as employees, agents, representatives, subcontractors, partners, and/or alter egos with the permission and consent of each other Defendant, and each other Defendant having ratified the acts of the other Defendants. Therefore, Defendants, and each of them, are liable to JOHN DOE for the acts of each of the individually named

- 7. Each reference in this Complaint to a specifically named Defendant refers to all Defendants sued under fictitious names.
- 8. All events referred to in the allegations contained herein occurred within the boundaries of the County of Orange, State of California, except as otherwise indicated.
- 9. The allegations of this Complaint stated on information and belief are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery.
 - 10. Based on the foregoing, jurisdiction over this action lies with this Court.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Refusal to Provide Medical Treatment - Deliberate Indifference (Against all Defendants)

- 11. JOHN DOE incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 10 of this Complaint, as though set forth in full herein with the same force and effect.
- 12. In or around 1991, JOHN DOE was diagnosed with Gender Identity Disorder. Gender Identity Disorder is categorized as a medical condition by the American Psychiatric Association's *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. (DSM-IV 532 (4th ed. 1994).) Prevailing medical standards of care dictate that hormone therapy is a correct and proper component of treatment and in many cases is medically necessary as treatment for persons with Gender Identity Disorder.

- 13. In or around 1992, because of the diagnosis, JOHN DOE legally and medically transitioned from female to male. JOHN DOE began wearing men's clothing and began a medically prescribed regimen of male hormones. JOHN DOE has undergone testosterone therapy for more than ten years which includes prescription testosterone treatment once every fourteen days. JOHN DOE underwent chest reconstruction surgery in 1992. As a result of the surgery and testosterone treatment, he has a flat male chest, developed facial, body and chest hair, gained body weight in the form of muscle mass, and his voice deepened.
- 14. JOHN DOE was incarcerated on or around August 20, 2004 and continuing through October 26, 2004, at the Orange County Jail Intake and Release Center ("IRC"), a facility operated by the DEPARTMENT, located at 550 North Flower Street, Santa Ana, California.
- 15. Prior to his admittance his last injection was on or around August 6, 2004. JOHN DOE was due for his next injection on or around August 20, 2004. Upon his admittance to IRC, JOHN DOE disclosed to Defendants that he required prescription testosterone injections every two weeks. Between August 20, 2004 and October 26, 2004, JOHN DOE was explicitly denied access to his medically prescribed testosterone treatment. In or around August 21, 2004, JOHN DOE made a verbal request for treatment. Defendants denied treatment and JOHN DOE made six additional written demands for medical treatment between August 21, 2004 and October 26, 2004.
- 16. JOHN DOE'S primary care physician, Richard Horowitz, M.D., informed the Correctional Medical Services Medical Director that JOHN DOE'S prescribed testosterone treatment was medically necessary for JOHN DOE'S health and well-being. Dr. Horowitz informed the Medical Director of the negative health consequences associated with the failure to provide treatment to JOHN DOE during his incarceration.
- 17. JOHN DOE is informed and believes that Attorney Ed Isler, contacted the DEPARTMENT and the Medical Director on JOHN DOE'S behalf on or around September 1, 2004 to request treatment. The Medical Director told Attorney Isler that JOHN DOE'S testosterone

to change out his blues while wearing a pink sleevless garment in front of three pods of inmates. One deputy even commented, "here comes the parade." On occasions when an inmate referred to JOHN DOE as "he" or "him" the inmate was reprimanded in JOHN DOE'S presence and told that JOHN DOE is female. Deputies routinely stopped at JOHN DOE'S cell and laughed at him, called him "a freak," "a sicko" and "that thing." Deputies threatened to place JOHN DOE with the male prisoners so that he could see that he is "really a woman." During the transfer of JOHN DOE for a court appearance he was placed in a cell without bathroom facilities. After remaining in the cell for six hours JOHN DOE requested the use of a bathroom. At which time one deputy said to the other, "it says it needs to use the head." To which the other deputy laughed and replied "nope." JOHN DOE was forced to endure an additional three hours without bathroom facilities, totaling nine hours without access to a bathroom.

- 21. JOHN DOE was transferred to Valley State Prison Chowchilla ("CHOWCHILLA") on or around October 26, 2004. CHOWCHILLA is located in the County of Madera, California. JOHN DOE received his medically prescribed hormone treatment shortly after transferring to CHOWCHILLA. The injections were continued by CHOWCHILLA until JOHN DOE was released on September 30, 2005.
- 22. JOHN DOE continues to experience physical and mental health problems due to the deprivation of hormone treatment and harassment by Defendants. JOHN DOE was prescribed and given blood pressure medication by the presiding doctor at CHOWCHILLA. JOHN DOE remains on prescription blood pressure medication to this day. JOHN DOE continues to have black spots in his vision, suffers from sharp pains in his chest and severe muscle cramping. JOHN DOE is afraid of the future effects that the denial of the testosterone treatment will have on his body.
- 23. On or around April 18, 2005, JOHN DOE filed a formal complaint, "Claim for Money or Damages", with the COUNTY regarding Defendants' deliberate indifference to provide necessary medical care during his incarceration at IRC and the health consequences associated therewith. The

COUNTY rejected JOHN DOE'S claim on November 7, 2005. (A true and correct copy of the Claim for Money or Damages is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)

- 24. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants', and each of them. as aforesaid, JOHN DOE suffered severe, serious, and permanent injuries to his person, including physical injury and severe emotional distress, fear, terror, anxiety, humiliation, embarrassment, and loss of his sense of security and dignity, all to his damage in a sum to be shown according to proof and within the jurisdiction of the Superior Court.
- 25. These acts of the Defendants, and each of them, showed a complete and total disregard for the standards of their profession and the well-being of JOHN DOE, and served no legitimate penalogical purpose, were merely cruel, mean spirited, malicious, wanton, and oppressive. JOHN DOE is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages against them.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

(Against all Defendants)

- 26. JOHN DOE incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint, as though set forth in full herein with the same force and effect.
- 27. Because JOHN DOE was an inmate in the custody of the COUNTY, the DEPARTMENT, SHERIFF CARONA, OCHCA, and DOES 1 through 25, and each of them, had a duty under state regulations to provide him necessary medical care and safety. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Defendants, and each of them, had a duty to, among other things: provide humane treatment; and provide necessary medical treatment.
- 28. During the period of his detention from August 20, 2004, and up to and including October 26, 2004, Defendants, and each of them, breached their duties to JOHN DOE. These breaches were intentional and in reckless disregard for the probability that severe injury would result from their failure to adhere to their duties. Defendants knew or should have known that there

23

24

25

was a probability that injury would result from the failure to adhere to their duties. In particular, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Defendants, and each of them, intentionally, maliciously, and with deliberate indifference to JOHN DOE'S health and safety failed to, among other things:

- a. follow, implement, and/or adhere to the medically necessary treatment prescribed by JOHN DOE'S physician;
- b. accord to JOHN DOE individual dignity and respect, and instead subjected him to abuse and harassment;
- c. properly and accurately administer prescribed hormone treatments; and,
- d. diagnose and treat JOHN DOE'S symptoms which resulted from Defendants' refusal to administer prescribed hormone treatments.
- 29. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was outrageous and beyond the bounds of decency such that no reasonable person could be expected to endure it. JOHN DOE was forced to endure physical injury and pain as well as severe emotional and mental distress, verbal harassment, fear, terror, anxiety, humiliation, embarrassment, and loss of his sense of security and dignity.
- 30. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants and each of them knew that JOHN DOE was at risk when they failed to meet their duty. Defendants knew that their failure to comply with such duties, would result in injury to JOHN DOE. In breaching their duties to JOHN DOE, Defendants, and each of them, acted maliciously, intentionally and with a conscious disregard of the consequences.
- 31. By reason of the foregoing, JOHN DOE was required to employ the services of physicians and other professional services and was compelled to incur expenses for medications and other medical supplies and services.

25 | ///

- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the intentional, malicious, cruel, harmful, unlawful and offensive acts of Defendants, as aforesaid, JOHN DOE sustained severe and serious injury to his person, including but not limited to severe emotional distress, all to JOHN DOE'S damage in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and to be shown according to proof.
- 33. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have acted with malice, fraud and oppression, and an award of punitive damages in a sum according to proof at trial is justified, warranted and appropriate.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence

(Against all Defendants)

- 34. JOHN DOE incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint, as though set forth in full herein with the same force and effect.
- 35. At all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them acted under the color of law and within their official capacities as employees, agents, representatives, subcontractors, partners, and/or alter egos of Defendants, COUNTY, DEPARTMENT, and OCHCA and were therefore looked upon as holding a superior position of trust and authority by JOHN DOE. Defendants owed a duty to JOHN DOE at all relevant times to exercise reasonable care and such other care as required by law in a way which would not cause harm to JOHN DOE while under Defendants' custody and control.
- 36. During JOHN DOE'S incarceration, Defendants failed to exercise reasonable care expected of a law enforcement agency and/or official sworn to carry out the laws in the COUNTY, resulting in, among other things, the abuse, torment, harassment and deliberate indifference to the medical needs of JOHN DOE.

///

25 ///

26

22

///

- 37. Defendants breached the duty owed to JOHN DOE by deliberately failing to follow, implement, and/or adhere to JOHN DOE'S physician's orders; failing to accord to JOHN DOE'S individual dignity and respect; subjecting JOHN DOE to continued and ongoing verbal harassment and mistreatment; failing to properly and accurately administer proper medical treatment to JOHN DOE; and, failing to diagnose and treat JOHN DOE'S symptoms resulting from Defendants' refusal to administer proper medical care to JOHN DOE.
- 38. Defendants knew or should have known that their failure to exercise reasonable care would and did cause physical, mental and emotional harm to JOHN DOE.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants, and each of them, breaching their duty of care, JOHN DOE incurred financial damages and severe physical, mental and emotional harm. JOHN DOE has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 40. The damages suffered by JOHN DOE were solely due to the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, acting individually and/or as the agent, and employee of each of the other Defendants, and acting within the course and scope of that agency and employment.
- 41. JOHN DOE is informed and believes that at all relevant times, SHERIFF CARONA, COUNTY, DEPARTMENT, and OCHCA were negligent, careless, reckless and unlawful in the manner in which they selected, hired, trained and supervised the Medical Director, and DOES 1 through 25, so as to proximately cause JOHN DOE'S injuries and damages set forth below. SHERIFF CARONA, COUNTY, DEPARTMENT, and OCHCA were unfit and incapable of providing supervision of each other Defendant, DOES 1-25, and the Medical Director, thereby proximately causing the injuries and damages described below.
- 42. These acts of the Defendants, and each of them, showed a complete and total disregard for the standards of their profession and the well-being of JOHN DOE, and these acts caused JOHN DOE irreparable physical, mental and emotional distress and harm.

43. As a direct, legal and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of
them, as aforesaid, JOHN DOE, has sustained severe, serious, and permanent injuries to his person,
all to his damage in a sum to be shown according to proof and within the jurisdiction of the
Superior Court.

44. As a further direct, legal and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of Defendants, and each of them, JOHN DOE was compelled to and did employ the services of physicians, nurses, and the like, to care for and treat him, and did incur medical, professional, and incidental expenses, and JOHN DOE is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that he will necessarily by reason of his injuries, incur additional like expenses for an indefinite period of time in the future, all to his damage in a sum to be shown according to proof.

WHEREFORE, JOHN DOE prays for judgment as follows:

ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Deliberate Indifference)

- 1. For general damages in a sum according to proof;
- 2. For special damages in a sum to be proven at trial;
- 3. For punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants, and to deter others from engaging in similar willful misconduct; and,
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

- 1. For general damages in a sum according to proof;
- 2. For special damages in a sum to be proven at trial;
- 3. For punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants, and to deter others from engaging in similar willful misconduct; and,
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

25 | ///