## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

**CASE NO.: 97-2806-CIV-HUCK** 


MARK OSTERBACK, et al.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

MICHAEL W. MOORE, et al.

Defendants.



## OMNIBUS ORDER DENYING CLASS MEMBERS' PRO SE MOTIONS

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon numerous pro se motions filed by various individuals who appear to be members of the class. This litigation was certified as a class action on July 25, 2000 and the class was represented by counsel long before that date. While class members may file their objections to the proposed settlement, which is currently pending, individual class members do not have standing to file pro se motions. Upon considering the motions, having heard argument from counsel, and being otherwise duly advised, it is therefore

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the following motions are DENIED:

- 1. Motion to Strike Appearance of Counsel Peter M. Siegel, Esq. [DE#260-1];
- 2. Motion to Strike Appearance of Counsel Randall C. Berg, Jr. [DE#259-1];
- 3. Motion to Restyle Case Name [DE#263-1];
- 4. Motion for Voluntary Dismissal [DE#265-1];
- 5. Motion to Strike and Reserve [sic] the Amended Complaint [DE#261-1];
- 6. Motion for Dissolution of Class [DE#264-1];
- 7. Motion to Amend the Complaint [DE#262-1];
- 8. Motion to Dismiss [DE#278-1];
- 9. Motion for Temporary Restraining Order [DE#290-1];



- 10. Motion for Preliminary Injunction [DE#290-2];
- 11. Motion to Show Cause [DE#296-1];
- 12. Motion for Temporary Restraining Order [DE#296-2]; and
- Motion for Rule 60(b) Relief from Order Granting Leave to Proceed IFP [DE#305-1].DONE in Chambers, Miami, Florida, this 16th day of November, 2001.

Paul C. Huck

United States District Judge

Copies furnished to: Magistrate Judge Charlene H. Sorrentino Charles M. Fahlbusch, Esq. Peter M. Siegel, Esq.