10395.006.602905v3

1	HOWARD SLAVITT (CA SBN 172840) has@cpdb.o		
•	RACHEL COHEN (CA SBN 218929) rgc@cpdb.com		
2	COBLENTZ, PATCH, DUFFY & BASS LLP		
3	One Ferry Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94111		
4	Tel. 415.391.4800 / Fax 415.989.1663		
-			
5	ROBERT RUBIN (CA SBN 85084) rrubin@lccr.com		
6	PHILIP HWANG (CA SBN 185070) phwang@lccr.cl LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS	om	
	131 Steuart Street, Suite 400		
7	San Francisco, CA 94105		
8	Tel. 415.543.9444 / Fax 415.543.0296		
	JULIA HARUMI MASS (CA SBN 189649) jmass@a	aclunc.org	
9	ALAN L. SCHLOSSER (CA SBN 49957) aschlosser@aclunc.org		
10	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDA	TION	
11	OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA		
11	39 Drumm Street San Francisco, CA 94111		
12	Tel. 415.621.2493 / Fax 415.255.8437		
13			
	Attorneys for Plaintiff  VERINDER OF STREET AND		
14	KEBIN REYES, a minor, by and through his father and guardian, NOE REYES		
15			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT (	OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION	
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
19	KEBIN REYES, a minor, by and through his	Case No.	
20	guardian ad litem NOE REYES,	Case No.	
21		COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF	
	Plaintiff,	THE FOURTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED	
22		STATES CONSTITUTION	
23	V.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
1	NANCY ALCANTAR, San Francisco Field Office	DEMAND FOR JUNI IRIAL	
24	Director for Detention and Removal Services,	·	
25	Immigration Customs Enforcement, in her		
26	individual capacity, and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive,		
27	Defendants.		
28			
1	•		

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FOURTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

Case No.

1	LUCAS GUTTENTAG (CA SBN 90208)		
	lguttentag@aclu.org		
2	lguttentag@aclu.org AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT		
3	39 Drumm Street		
4	San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel. 415.343.0770 / Fax 415.395.0950		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	KEBIN REYES, a minor, by and through his father and guardian, NOE REYES		
7	Tudior and guardian, 1000 RE 125		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	10395.006.602905v3		

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FOURTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

Case No.

27

1

3

4

Plaintiff KEBIN REYES, by and through his guardian *ad litem*, NOE REYES, alleges as follows:

## **JURISDICTION**

1. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(4), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

#### **VENUE**

2. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

## **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

3. Assignment to the San Francisco or Oakland Division of this Court is proper under Local Rule 3-2(d) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Marin County.

### **THE PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff KEBIN REYES (also referred to hereinafter as "Kebin") is a United States citizen of Guatemalan descent. He is seven years old and resides within the Northern District of California. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c), Plaintiff brings this action by and through NOE REYES (also referred to hereinafter as "Mr. Reyes"), who is Plaintiff's father and also resides within the Northern District of California. Plaintiff, who is a minor, is not competent to bring this action on his own behalf. Mr. Reyes is willing and able to act as Plaintiff's guardian ad litem and will conduct this litigation at all times in Plaintiff's best interests.
- 5. Defendant NANCY ALCANTAR is Field Office Director in San Francisco, California for Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Office of Detention and Removal Operations. At all relevant times, ALCANTAR was acting under color of federal law and is sued in her individual capacity.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendants DOES 1 through 50 (also referred to hereinafter as the "DOE defendants"), each of whom Plaintiff sues in his or her individual capacity, were agents, employees, or otherwise representatives of United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (also referred to hereinafter as "ICE") or other federal agencies. At all relevant times, DOES 1 through 50 were acting under color of federal law. Plaintiff is informed and

10395.006.602905v3 1 Case No.

believes and thereon alleges that that many, if not all, of DOES 1 through 50 are residents of the Northern District of California. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are legally responsible for the wrongs committed against Plaintiff, as alleged herein. When Plaintiff becomes aware of the true identities of one or more DOE defendants, Plaintiff will amend his complaint to add or substitute them as named Defendants.

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants caused, and is liable for the unconstitutional and unlawful conduct and resulting injuries, by, among other things, personally participating in said conduct or acting jointly with others who did so; by authorizing, acquiescing or setting in motion policies, plans or actions that led to the unlawful conduct; by failing to take action to prevent the unlawful conduct; by failing or refusing with deliberate indifference to maintain adequate training and supervision; and/or by ratifying the unlawful conduct taken by employees under their direction and control. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants' actions were pursuant to a policy, custom, or usage of ICE or other related federal agencies.

# FACTS GIVING RISE TO THE CLAIMS

- 8. On March 6, 2007, Defendants seized Kebin, a United States citizen, and took him into their custody, without lawful cause and without a warrant for his arrest, at his residence in San Rafael, California.
- 9. Defendants arrived at Kebin's residence in the early morning hours of March 6, 2007. Armed and wearing clothes bearing the word "police," Defendants entered the residence and demanded the immigration papers and passports of Kebin and his father. Upon information and belief, Defendants did not have lawful authorization or a valid warrant for entering the home. Kebin's father provided Defendants with Kebin's U.S. passport, identifying Kebin as a United States citizen. Kebin's father truthfully answered the questions asked of him.
- Despite being placed on notice that Kebin is a United States citizen, Defendants instructed his father to waken Kebin because they were going to seize him as well. Kebin's father requested repeatedly that Defendants permit him to make a phone call to a family member living nearby who

10395.006.602905v3 2 Case No.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

could care for Kebin so that he would not be taken into forced custody. But Defendants refused to permit Kebin's father to make a phone call. Defendants seized Kebin and his father. Defendants took Kebin and his father to an ICE office in San Francisco and held them there against their will.

- 11. At the ICE office, Kebin's father again requested to make a phone call to arrange for Kebin's care, but Defendants did not allow him to make a phone call. Defendants told Kebin that he would only need to stay at the ICE office for an hour or two. Instead, they held him in a locked room all day against his will. Kebin thought he was in jail. Defendants refused to give Kebin any food, other than bread and water. Kebin was hungry and crying. He did not know when he would be free to leave.
- 12. That afternoon, a family member and family friend who had learned about the incident from others in the neighborhood, came to the ICE office to seek Kebin's release and to bring Kebin home. Defendants forced Kebin to remain in custody, without cause, for several more hours, until evening, before they released him.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Kebin has been harmed. 13. His harm includes but is not limited to:
- being detained, arrested or seized and being detained and held for a period (a) of approximately 12 hours;
- (b) being deprived of food, other than bread and water, for a period of approximately 12 hours;
- being denied the opportunity to make a phone call or to have one made for (c) him to arrange for his care;
  - (d) pain and suffering; and
- being subject to intimidation and inflicted with emotional and mental (e) distress.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the above-described acts of Defendants were done knowingly, intentionally, maliciously, with deliberate, reckless or callous indifference to Plaintiff's security, freedom, and civil and constitutional rights, or with intent to injure, harass, and oppress Plaintiff. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

10395.006.602905v3

	94111-4213	
COBLENTZ, PATCH, DUFFY & BASS LLP	ONE FERRY BUILDING, SUITE 200, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	415 391 4800 · FAX 415 989 1663

23.

10395.006.602905v3

1	punitive damages against Defendants.		
2	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
3	(Violation of Fourth Amendment and Title 8 United States Code Section 1357)		
4	(Against All Defendants)		
5	15. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs 1 through 14 above and each allegation		
6	therein as though fully set forth herein.		
7	16. The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that each person		
8	has the right to be secure in his or her person, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable		
9	searches and seizures.		
10	17. By committing the above-described acts, Defendants violated Plaintiff's rights		
11	under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.		
12	18. The conduct of Defendants violated clearly established constitutional or other		
13	rights of which Defendants knew, or of which a reasonable public official should have known		
14	Defendants exceeded their authority to make detentions, seizures and arrests, including the		
15	limitations set forth in Title 8 United States Code Section 1357.		
16	19. Plaintiff has no effective administrative mechanism or other remedy at law by		
17	which to seek the proper measure of damages for these constitutional wrongs.		
18	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
19	(Violation of Fifth Amendment)		
20	(Against All Defendants)		
21	20. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 as though fully set forth		
22	herein.		
23	21. The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution requires that no person		
24	shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law.		
25	22. By committing the above-described acts, Defendants violated Plaintiff's rights		
26	under the substantive due process and/or procedural due process clauses of the Fifth Amendment		
27	to the United States Constitution.		

The conduct of Defendants violated clearly established constitutional or other

	94111-		
COBLENIZ, TAICH, COLFII & DASS LLT	ONE FERRY BUILDING, SUITE 200, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-	415.391.4800 · FAX 415.989.1663	

rights of which defendants knew, or of which a reasonable public official should have known.

Plaintiff has no effective administrative mechanism or other remedy at law by 24. which to seek the proper measure of damages for these constitutional wrongs.

# **ADDITIONAL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

25. In addition to filing this Complaint, Plaintiff is presenting a claim pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act seeking relief from the United States of America. If the claim is denied, Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to seek monetary damages from the United States of America for various torts committed.

### **PRAYER**

Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered in his favor and the following relief be granted against each and every Defendant:

- 1. Actual and compensatory damages in an amount to be determined;
- 2. Punitive or exemplary damages against Defendants Alcantar and DOES 1-50 to the extent permitted by law;

/// /// 111

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

20

21

22

23 ///

111

24 111

25

26

27

28 ///

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FOURTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

1	3.	Attorneys' fees	and costs of sui	it, pursuant to all applicable statutory, common law,
2	or constitutio	onal provisions; and		
3	4.	Such relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
4	Dated: April	26, 2007		COBLENTZ, PATCH, DUFFY & BASS LLP
5				
6				By:
7				Howard A. Slavitt
8 9				Attorneys for Plaintiff KEBIN REYES, a minor, by and through his father and guardian, NOE REYES
10				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27			•	
20	1			

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable to the full extent permitted by law.

Dated: April 26, 2007

COBLENTZ, PATCH, DUFFY & BASS LLP

By:

Howard A. Slavitt

Attorneys for Plaintiff KEBIN REYES, a minor, by and through his father and guardian, NOE REYES

10395.006.602905v3

Case No