United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		se Rebecca R	. Pallmeyer	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge		~, <u>~</u>	
CASE NUMBER		() () () () () () () () () () 	2 1815	DATE	2/29/.	2000	
CASE TITLE			EEOC vs. Pal-Waukee Aviation, Inc.				
мот	ΓΙΟΝ:	[In the following box (a of the motion being pr		he motion, e.g., plaintiff, defe	endant, 3rd party plaintiff, an	d (b) state briefly the nature	
Intervening Plaintiff's Motion to Compel							
DOCKET ENTRY:							
(1)	File	Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]					
(2)	□ Brie	Brief in support of motion due					
(3)	□ Ans	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
(4)	□ Rul	Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
(5)	□ Star	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6)	□ Pre	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(7)	□ Tria	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8)	□ [Be	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] □ FRCP4(m) □ General Rule 21 □ FRCP41(a)(1) □ FRCP41(a)(2).					
(10)	Other docket entry] Motion granted in part and denied in part.						
(11) [For further detail see order on the reverse side of the original minute order.]							
<u> </u>		d, advised in open court.			3	Document	
	No notices required.				number of notices	Number	
	Notices mailed by	-		\ \	1AR 0 1 2000		
Notified counsel by telephone. Docketing to mail notices.				•	date docketed	0/	
Mail AO 450 form.				docketing deput initials	イー		
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.				2/29/2000	d (
ETV		courtroom	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		date mailed notice		
		deputy's initials			ETV		
				e received in Clerk's Office	mailing deputy initials		

ORDER

Intervening Plaintiff's motion to compel granted in part and denied in part. Defendant's objection to production of applications for all positions at Priester is sustained. Objection is sustained to Request No. 10 also, but Defendant will provide data concerning hours worked by each line service employee; the court will deem admitted Plaintiff's allegation that Plaintiff Stops would have been eligible for the maximum starting pay and maximum pay increase paid any employee in the line service position in the years in question. Defendant's objection to Request No. 25 is sustained, but Defendant will produce audited income statement and balance sheet for 1995, 1997 and 1999. The court sustains Defendant's objection to Interrogatory No. 16; the court does not recognize a need for the addresses and telephone numbers of 250 employees in line service positions, as this case is focused on steering in hiring decisions, not on terms and conditions of employment.

