1	Nicholas J.P. Wagner, #109455		
2	Wagner & Jones		
3	The Atrium Building 1111 East Herndon Ave., Suite 317		
4	Fresno, CA 93720		
5	5 (559) 449-1800		
6			
7	Johnson & Bell, Ltd. 33 W. Monroe, Suite 2700		
8	Chicago, IL 60603		
9	) (312) 372-0770		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs (Additional Counsel listed on signature page)		
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION		
14	1		
15	JOSE HERNANDEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,		
16		Y TRIAL DEMANDED	
17	Plaintiffs, CLA	ASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
18	3 V.		
19	P LEO BALAKIAN, ANTHONY		
20	BALAKIAN AND VINCE BALAKIAN,		
21	Defendants.		
22	2		
23	3		
24	1		
25	5		
26	5		
	- 1 -		
	1474384		
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

I.

#### NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is a class action brought on behalf of all legal hourly-paid workers, who have been employed by any entity owned or operated by the defendants, including Fruit Patch, Inc. of Reedley, California.

Mr. Hernandez contends that the defendants (hereafter "the Balakians") have depressed his wages as an agricultural worker at Fruit Patch Inc. by knowingly employing vast numbers of illegal immigrants there for the express purpose of depressing the market price for unskilled labor. (This is referred to as "the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme" or "the Scheme".)

2. The Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme violates the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), 18 U.S.C. § 1961 *et seq*. It is perpetrated every day, as an integral part of the Balakian's regular manner of staffing their orchards, and will continue unabated, victimizing every legal worker, until halted by judicial intervention.

20

II.

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

### **PARTIES, JURISDICTION & VENUE**

3. Plaintiff Jose Hernandez is a citizen of California. He was legally authorized to be employed in the U.S. when he was employed by Fruit Patch, Inc., as an hourly paid farmworker. Fruit Patch Inc. is owned and operated by the Balakians. Mr. Hernandez's wages as an agricultural worker for Fruit Patch Inc. were set by the

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Balakians at a level which was depressed as a direct result of the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme they perpetrated.

4. Leo Balakian and his sons, Anthony and Vince Balakian, are citizens of California. They have conspired to operate Fruit Patch Inc. and other agricultural growing businesses they own or operate (hereafter "the other entities") through a pattern of racketeering activity, the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme.

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this case as a federal question, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c).

6. Venue is proper in this District because the illegal acts giving rise to this case occurred in this district and all parties reside here.

## III. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

7. This action is brought and may be maintained as a class action pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3). Mr. Hernandez brings this action on behalf of himself and all other persons legally authorized to be employed in the U.S. who have been employed by Fruit Patch Inc. and the other entities owned and/or operated by any of the three Defendants in the last four years (hereafter the "Class" or "Class members") as hourly or piece rate unskilled laborers.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 8. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class members is 2 impracticable. The actual number can be ascertained through discovery of the various 3 entities' records. 4 5 9. Among the questions of fact and law that are common to the Class are: 6 Whether the Defendants have been and are currently engaged in a. 7 the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme in order to depress wages; 8 9 To what extent the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme has caused b. 10 Class members' wages to be depressed; and 11 Whether the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme violates the c. 12 13 Immigration and Nationality Act and RICO. 14 10. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the members of the Class 15 inasmuch as their alleged damages were directly and proximately caused by the Illegal 16 17 Immigrant Hiring Scheme. Plaintiffs seek no relief that is antagonistic or adverse to 18 other Class members. 19 Plaintiffs are committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and 11. 20 21 have retained counsel who are competent in the prosecution of RICO cases generally, 22 and this legal theory in particular. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and their counsel will fairly 23 and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. 24 25 26

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

12. Questions of law or fact that are common to the members of the Class are substantially similar and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members, and a class action is the only appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy for the following reasons, among others:

a. The individual amounts of damages involved, while not insubstantial, are generally not large enough to justify individual actions;

b. The costs of individual actions would unreasonably consume the amounts that would be recovered;

c. Individual actions would unduly burden the judicial system; and
d. Individual actions brought by Class members would create a risk
of inconsistent results and would be unnecessarily duplicative of this litigation.

13. Plaintiffs anticipate no difficulty in the management of this action because the evidence proving the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme is ascertainable through discovery, the identities of the members of the Class are known to Defendants and the other entities over which they have control. Damages can be calculated through expert testimony.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

#### IV. THE ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT HIRING SCHEME ENSURES THAT MOST HOURLY WORKERS ARE UNAUTHORIZED FOR EMPLOYMENT AND THAT WAGES ARE DEPRESSED

14. The Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme saves Fruit Patch Inc. and the other entities millions of dollars per year in labor costs. This is so because illegal immigrants will work for starvation wages and in deplorable working conditions, a form of modern-day indentured servitude. Such hiring practices are in direct violation of the Immigration and Nationality Act and RICO, which makes the employment of illegal immigrants a predicate offense. Thus, if the Balakians were not hiring large numbers of illegal immigrants, their businesses would have to pay the Plaintiff Class significantly higher wages, and their profits would be sharply reduced.

15. All three of the Balakians have approved the following hiring criteria for Fruit Patch Inc. and the other entities. These criteria subvert the law against hiring illegal immigrants and effectively turn a blind eye to factors which any reasonable employer would know indicate job applicants are unauthorized for employment in the U.S.: 1) hiring workers who cannot speak English while claiming to be U.S. Citizens or Lawful Permanent Residents; 2) hiring workers who do not have housing and are recent arrivals to the U.S. and claim to be U.S. Citizens or Lawful Permanent Residents; 3) hiring workers who present authorization documents which are invalid on their face because the pictures are of a different person, are upside down, are on poor quality paper, etc.; 4) hiring workers who are personally known to them and their co-conspirators to be in the U.S. illegally and are using false documents; and 5) hiring workers who have previously been employed under different identities. A majority of the hourly workforce of Fruit Patch Inc. and the other entities fall into one or more of these categories.

16. Additionally, Fruit Patch Inc. and the other entities are often informed that large numbers of their workers are using false social security numbers by the Social Security Administration. Most of these workers then simply assume new identities and continue to be employed, pursuant to policies set by the Balakians.

A.

#### The Balakians Have Personally Approved The Scheme; Their Co-Conspirators Implement It

17. The Balakians have personally approved the hiring procedures detailed above. They have also directed their hiring personnel, including Roberto Olivarez, who has hired hundreds of illegal immigrants for Fruit Patch, Inc., to follow these procedures, *i.e.*, in ways that ensure the employment of a largely illegal workforce.

18. In addition, the Balakians facilitate the hiring of many of these illegal immigrants by directing their hiring-personnel to obtain housing for them, which Roberto Olivarez, among others, has done for illegal workers at Fruit Patch Inc.

19. Therefore, Mr. Hernandez alleges that the Balakians and their co conspirators have facilitated the Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme, thereby causing

1 Fruit Patch Inc. and the other entities they operate to hire more than 100 illegal 2 workers per year during the last four years. 3 В. The Scheme Violates the Immigration and Nationality Act. 4 The Immigration and Nationality Act provides, in relevant part: 20. 5 6 Any person who, during any 12-month period, knowingly hires for employment at least 10 individuals with actual 7 knowledge that the individuals are aliens... shall be fined 8 under Title 18, or imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both. 9 8 U.S.C. § 1324(a)(1)(B)(3)(A). 10 11 The Balakians have violated this provision of the Act by conspiring to 21. 12 employ more than 10 undocumented, illegal aliens in each of the last four years, 13 knowing each was smuggled into the country and/or harbored once they arrived here. 14 15 Additionally, by knowingly employing illegal immigrants and, further, 22 16 conspiring to provide them with housing, the Balakians have conspired to violate 8 17 18 U.S.C. § 1324(a)(1)(A)(iii), which makes it a federal crime for anyone who "knowing 19 or in reckless disregard of the fact that an alien has come to, entered, or remains in the 20 United States in violation of law, conceals, harbors, or shields from detection, or 21 22 attempts to conceal, harbor, or shield from detection, such alien in any place, 23 including any building or any means of transportation." 24 These are provisions of § 274 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 23. 25 26 which is a RICO predicate offense. 18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(F).

- 8 -

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

### C. Fruit Patch Inc. And The Balakians' Other Agricultural Businesses Are RICO Enterprises Controlled And Operated By The Balakians

24. Fruit Patch Inc. is a California corporation affecting interstate commerce. It is therefore a RICO enterprise, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1961(4). Additionally, the other entities are each RICO enterprises affecting interstate commerce. All three of the Balakians operate and manage each of these enterprises and, as stated, have implemented the Scheme at each by virtue of their roles as managers, officers and owners of each entity.

### D. The Balakians Have Violated 18 USC § 1962(d) And Will Continue To Do So Indefinitely

25. The conspiracy to perpetrate the Scheme is a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), an agreement among the Balakians and co-conspirators to violate § 1962(c), by participating in the affairs of Fruit Patch Inc. and the other entities through a pattern of racketeering activity. The Scheme is ongoing, open-ended, and has been perpetrated continuously for the last four years. It will not stop without judicial intervention.

# V. PLAINTIFFS ARE DIRECT VICTIMS OF THE ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT HIRING SCHEME

26. The Balakians' Illegal Immigrant Hiring Scheme, by itself, was a substantial factor in causing the depressed wages about which Hernandez and the Class complain. No other party has been damaged by the Scheme.

1		27.	Each defendant is subject to joint and several liability for all of the	
2				
3	dama	damage caused by all the racketeering acts committed by any of the conspirators.		
4	VI.	VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
5		28.	Plaintiffs demand judgment and other relief, as follows:	
6			a. Certification of the Class pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3);	
7 8			b. Judgment in an amount equal to three times the damage caused to	
9		<b>NI 1</b>		
10	the Class by the Defendants' racketeering activity/the Illegal Immigrant Hiring			
11	Scheme, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c);			
12			c. For appropriate attorney's fees, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1964;	
13			d. For the costs of this action; and	
14			e. For any other relief the Court deems just and proper.	
15				
16 17				
17				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
	1474384		- 10 -	
	CLASS	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

1	DATED: October 5, 2006
2	By <u>/s/ Nicholas Wagner</u> Nicholas J.P. Wagner
3	Wagner & Jones
4	The Atrium Building 1111 East Herndon Ave., Suite 317
5	Fresno, CA 93720
6	Michael Goldberg
7	The Goldberg Law Firm
8	925 N St., Suite 130 Fresno, CA 93721
9	
10	Howard W. Foster Johnson & Bell, Ltd.
11	33 W. Monroe St., Suite 2700
12	Chicago, IL 60603
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
	- 11 -
	1474384
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT