IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
WESTERN DIVISION

FELD:	 i .	1	SHG	',	i.c.
Salkan	**	İ	PH	3:	1:0
Faxomic					

		145/au (ii)	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,))	Chb. V. X.	
Plaintiff,)		
vs.) No. 98-2	2235-G/A	
FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION,)		
Defendant.)))		

ORDER CLARIFYING ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL (FILED JAN. 7, 1999)

Counsel in this case has requested clarification of the court's previous discovery order with regard to Interrogatory No. 10. That interrogatory asked for identification of Fed Ex Memphis Hub employees who were deaf or substantially hearing-impaired.

The court's order directed FedEx to provide a response to Interrogatory number 10, but in the previous paragraph the court used the word "names" with regard to the disclosure to be made. This wording has caused some confusion with regard to the intended meaning. The intention of the order was to require FedEx to answer the interrogatory, which includes the names, addresses, and telephone numbers for the identified employees.

This document entered on docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79 (a) FRCP on 3-11-99



It is so ORDERED this _____ day of March, 1999.

JAMES H. ALLEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE