w

ORIGINAL

| 1 | Peter F. Laura, CA SBN 164242 | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Wilfredo Tungol, HI SBN 2550 | | | | |
| 3 | EQUAL EMPLOYMENT | ###################################### | FLEDINTHE | | |
| I | OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION D | G E D | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWAILA | | |
| 4 | 255 East Temple Street, 4th Floor | \ | , DEC 14 2007 45 | | |
| 5 | Los Angeles, CA 90012 DEC 10 | ~ / | at Aclock and A.P. (| | |
| 6 | Telephone: (213) 894-1076 CLERK, U.S. DIS Facsimile: (213) 894-1301 DISTRICT OF | TRICT COURT | SUE BEITIA, CLERK | | |
| 7 | Pacsimine. (213) 674-1301 | | | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | | | |
| 9 | EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION | | | | |
| 0 | Sarah O. Wang, HI SBN 6649-0 | | | | |
| 1 | Melanie Mito May, HI SBN 6739-0 | | | | |
| 2 | MARR HIPP JONES & WANG | | | | |
| | Honolyly, UI 06912 | | | | |
| 3 | Telephone: (808) 536-4900 | | | | |
| 4 | Facsimile: (808) 536-6700 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Defendants | | | | |
| 7 | STRAUB CLINIC & HOSPITAL and HAWAII PACIFIC HEALTH | | | | |
| | HAWAII FACIFIC HEALTH | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 20 | DISTRICT | OF HAWAII | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT |) CASENO C | V 06-00530 ACK (LEK) | | |
| 23 | OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, |) CABLAG. C | v oo oosso rear (BBIL) | | |
| 24 | Plaintiff, |) | | | |
| | V. |) [PROPOSEE | O CONSENT DECREE; | | |
| 25 | |) EXHIBITS A | A-B; ORDER | | |
| 26 | STRAUB HOSPITAL and HAWAII |) | | | |
| 27 | PACIFIC HEALTH, |) | | | |
| 28 | Defendants. | <u>)</u> | | | |

-

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

26

25

2728

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC" or "Commission") and Defendants Straub Clinic & Hospital and Hawaii Pacific Health, ("Straub" and "HPH") hereby stipulate and agree to entry of this Consent Decree to resolve the Commission's Complaint (the "Complaint"), filed under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. ("Title VII ") and under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 et seq. (the "ADEA"). This Consent Decree resolves all issues raised by the EEOC in the present lawsuit involving the Charging Parties, Helen Josypenko, Laureen Arashiro, Yvonne Lima, Candace Johnson, Jennifer Siemsen, Doris Faletoi, and Ruth Jones, ("Charging Parties") and the additional Claimants. (the "Other Claimants"). "Other Claimants" are those individuals who were not hired by Straub, HPH or Kapi'olani Medical Center for Women and Children ("KMCWC") for security officer positions for which they applied and who were female and/or 40 years of age or older during the period from June 1, 2004 until October 1, 2006, or any other similarly situated individuals that the EEOC determines to be class members. (The "Claimants" refers to both Charging Parties and the Other Claimants unless otherwise specified).

Plaintiff-Intervenor Doris Faletoi moved to intervene in this matter on January 23, 2007, to pursue her interests under federal and state law, and she filed a Complaint-in-Intervention on March 20, 2007.

Defendants deny the allegations in the Complaint and the Complaint in Intervention and assert that their actions were in compliance with all applicable federal and state laws.

In the interest of resolving this matter, and as a result of having engaged in comprehensive settlement negotiations, the Commission and Defendants (hereinafter referred to as "the Parties") have agreed that this action should be

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

is not an admission of liability by Defendants and it shall not constitute an adjudication and/or finding on the merits of the case. II. PURPOSES AND SCOPE OF THE CONSENT DECREE A. The Parties to this Consent Decree ("Decree") are EEOC, Straub, and HPH. This Decree shall be binding on and enforceable against Straub, HPH and their officers, directors, agents, successors and assigns, and against the

- B. The Parties have entered into this Decree for the following purposes:
 - To provide relief agreed upon for the Claimants; 1.
 - 2. To ensure that Straub's and HPH's employment practices comply with federal law;
 - To avoid expensive and protracted costs incident to litigation; 3. and.
 - 4. To provide a final and binding settlement upon the Parties as to all claims described in section III.A below.

III.

RELEASE OF CLAIMS

This Decree fully and completely resolves all issues, claims, and Α. allegations made by the EEOC against Straub and HPH that are raised in the Complaint filed in this action in the United States District Court, District of Hawaii 2006, captioned U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity on September 28, Commission v. Straub Hospital and Hawaii Pacific Health, Case No. CV 06-00530 ACK (LEK), which emanated from Charges of Discrimination Nos.: 378-2004-00618, 378-2004-00619, 378-2004-00620, 378-2004-00634, 378-2004-00635, 378-2004-00636, 378-2004-00637, 378-2004-00648, 378-2005-00442, 378-2005-00444, 378-2005-00445, 378-2005-00446, 378-2005-00448, 378-2005-

00449, all as amended, or all issues and claims which could have been raised or made by the Commission in this litigation, including but not limited to:

- 1. The claims set forth in Charges of Discrimination Nos. 378-2004-00618, 378-2004-00619, 38-2004-00620, 378-2004-00634, 378-2004-00635, 378-2004-00636, 378-2004-00637, 378-2004-00648, 378-2005-00442, 378-2005-00444, 378-2005-00445, 378-2005-00446, 378-2005-00448, 378-2005-00449, all as amended, including claims against KMCWC;
- 2. The claims of the Charging Parties, Other Claimants, and other similarly situated class members.

The entry of said Decree and release of claims is not contingent upon either the execution or efficacy of any Release sought from individual Claimants pursuant to the Monetary Relief section below.

- B. Nothing in this Decree shall be construed to limit or reduce Straub's or HPH's obligation to comply fully with Title VII or the ADEA or any other federal employment statute.
- C. This Decree in no way affects the EEOC's right to bring, process, investigate, or litigate charges, other than those released herein, that may be in existence or may later arise against Straub or HPH in accordance with standard EEOC procedures.
- D. The existence of this Consent Decree or settlement of the claims in the Commission's Complaint, in general, may not be construed in any way as an admission of any liability on Straub's or HPH's part or as an adjudication and/or finding on the merits of the case.

IV.

JURISDICTION

A. The Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and the subject matter of this lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1345, 1367 and 42 U.S.C.

§ 2000e-5(f). The Complaint asserts claims that, if proven, would authorize the Court to grant the equitable relief set forth in this Decree. The terms and provisions of this Decree are fair, reasonable, and just. This Decree conforms with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Title VII and the ADEA and is not in derogation of the rights or privileges of any person. The entry of this Decree will further the objectives of Title VII and the ADEA and will be in the best interests of Straub and HPH, the Commission, and those for whom the Commission alleges relief is appropriate (the Claimants).

B. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action during the duration of the Decree for the purposes of entering all orders, judgments and decrees that may be necessary to implement the relief provided herein.

V.

EFFECTIVE DATE AND DURATION OF DECREE

- A. The provisions and agreements contained herein are effective immediately upon the date which this Decree is entered by the Court ("the Effective Date").
- B. The duration of this Consent Decree shall be two years and three months from the date of the Effective Date.

VI.

MODIFICATION AND SEVERABILITY

- A. This Decree constitutes the Parties' complete understanding with respect to the matters contained herein. By the Parties' mutual agreement, this Decree may be amended or modified in the interests of justice and fairness in order to effectuate the provisions of the Decree. No waiver, modification, or amendment of any provision of this Decree will be effective unless made in writing and signed by an authorized representative of each of the Parties.
- B. If one or more provisions of the Decree are rendered unlawful or unenforceable, the Parties shall make good faith efforts to agree upon appropriate

4

5

6

7 8

10 11

1213

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

amendments to this Decree in order to effectuate the purposes of the Decree. In any event, the remaining provisions will remain in full force and effect unless the purposes of the Decree cannot, despite the Parties' best efforts, be achieved.

VII.

MONETARY RELIEF

A. Straub and HPH shall pay a total of \$450,000.00 as alleged damages to the Charging Parties and Claimants. Of this total amount, Straub and HPH shall pay \$59,000.00 to intervenor Doris Faletoi with a check to be made payable to Mary Wilkowski in trust for Doris Faletoi. The payment for Doris Faletoi shall be made within 10 business days after the Effective Date of this Decree, or the effective date of the separate agreement between Defendants and Faletoi, whichever is later. The EEOC shall have the sole discretion on the monetary distribution of the money to the remaining Charging Parties and to Shemariah Garcia and Shannon Long, both of whom were identified by the EEOC and disclosed to Defendants during conciliation of the Charges of Discrimination. Within ten business days after the Effective Date, or receipt of the distribution list from the Commission (along with completed W-9 forms for each payee), whichever is later, Straub and HPH shall mail, via certified mail, checks payable to the Charging Parties, Garcia and Long, to be apportioned as notified by the Commission.

B. The sum of \$20,000 shall be designated from the total amount of \$450,000 as the Class Fund to be distributed to individuals not previously identified in conciliation. The EEOC shall have the sole discretion on the monetary distribution of the money to these Claimants. Within ten business days after the Effective Date, or receipt of the distribution list from the Commission (along with completed W-9 forms for each payee), whichever is later, Straub and HPH shall mail, via certified mail, checks payable to these previously unidentified Claimants, to be apportioned as notified by the Commission.

B. Recruiting and Hiring

Straub and HPH shall endeavor to increase diversity in the workforce by undertaking recruitment activities. In pursuing this endeavor, Straub and HPH shall engage in the following activities:

- 1. Advertise and actively recruit women and individuals who are age forty or older in the state of Hawaii;
- 2. Advertise and actively recruit in publications read by women and individuals who are age forty or older (*i.e.*, in newspapers of general circulation and in AARP publications); and
- 3. Participate in job/career fairs to actively recruit women and individuals who are age forty or older.

27 ||

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 ||

C. Revision of Policies Concerning Discrimination

To the extent the following items are not already included in Straub and HPH's written policy(ies) on discrimination and recruitment/hiring, such policy(ies) shall be revised to include these items:

- 1. Clear objective hiring criteria which expressly prohibit hiring decisions on the basis of sex or age, except as permitted by law;
- 2. Assurance that applicants who make complaints of discrimination or provide information related to such complaints will be protected against retaliation;
 - 3. Assurance of involvement of human resources in the hiring process;
- 4. A clearly described complaint process that provides accessible and confidential avenues of complaint with contact information including name (if applicable), address, and telephone number of persons to whom applicants may report discrimination and retaliation, including a Compliance Hotline, which is externally administered and permits anonymous complaints; and
- 5. Assurance that Straub and HPH will take immediate and appropriate corrective action when they determine that their hiring policies have been violated.

Straub and HPH acknowledge that they centrally gather and retain applications and that their disciplinary policies hold employees and managers accountable for failing to take appropriate action or for engaging in conduct prohibited under this Decree.

Straub and HPH shall provide a copy of their written policy(ies) on discrimination and recruitment/hiring to the EEOC within sixty days after the Effective Date. EEOC shall comment on the policy within forty-five (45) days of receipt. Should the policy not require any revision, Straub and HPH shall confirm re-distribution of the policy no later than thirty (30) calendar days after notification by the EEOC that no revision is required. Also within that thirty (30) calendar day period, the policy shall be posted on Straub and HPH's intranet, Straub and HPH's managers shall be notified by e-mail that the policy is so posted, an equal

employment opportunity statement with contact information for applicants with questions will be posted on Straub and HPH's job application website, and the policy shall be included in the Human Resources Policy Manual. In addition, a summary of the policy shall be included in the employee handbook that is distributed to employees by Straub and HPH the next time such handbook is printed.

D. Posting

Within ten business days after the Effective Date and throughout the term of this Decree, Straub and HPH shall post notice (attached as Exhibit "A") of the terms of this Decree in at least one clearly visible location frequented by employees at the location covered by this Decree. This posting shall remain in place for the duration of this Decree.

E. Equal Employment Opportunity Consultant

Within thirty days after the Effective Date, Straub and HPH shall designate an employee as an Equal Employment Opportunity Consultant ("Consultant") with demonstrated experience in the area of employment discrimination and recruitment/hiring issues. The Consultant shall be subject to the EEOC's approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. If the EEOC does not approve Straub and HPH's proposed Consultant, the EEOC shall provide Straub and HPH with a list of at least three suggested candidates acceptable to the EEOC. Straub and HPH shall bear all costs associated with the selection and retention of the Consultant and the performance of his/her duties. The Consultant's responsibilities shall include:

- a. developing procedures in recruiting, screening, interviewing, selecting, rejecting and hiring individuals without regard to sex and age in compliance with Title VII and the ADEA;
- b. creating, applying, and implementing objective hiring criteria and reporting/auditing procedures to carry out Straub's and HPH's obligations under this Decree;

c. training managerial/supervisory staff of their responsibilities with respect to recruiting and hiring under Title VII and the ADEA;

d. ensuring that all reports required by this Decree are accurately compiled and timely submitted; and

e. ensuring compliance with the terms of this Decree.

F. Training

Within sixty days after the Effective Date or thirty days after hiring the Consultant, whichever is later, all of Straub managers that are based in the King Street hospital facility, all Straub security department employees, and HPH human resources employees shall be required to attend an intensive training program of at least two hours with regard to compliance under Title VII and the ADEA. The training shall be mandatory.

- 1. All employees' training shall include coverage of the subjects of equal employment opportunity rights and responsibilities, including but not limited to Title VII's and the ADEA's prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of sex and age and reaffirmation of Straub and HPH's commitment to non-discriminatory hiring.
- 2. For the remainder of the term of this Decree, all new Straub security department employees shall receive the staff/hourly employee training within ninety days of hire, and all employees based at the Straub King Street hospital facility who are promoted from a staff/hourly to a managerial position shall receive the managerial employee training within ninety days of promotion.
- 3. After the initial training as specified above, all Straub managers based at the King Street hospital facility, employees of the Straub Security Department, and HPH human resources employees shall receive a one hour training at least annually thereafter for the remainder of the term of this Decree.

Within forty-five days after the Effective Date, Straub and HPH shall submit to the EEOC a description of the training to be provided and an outline of

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

the curriculum developed for the trainees. Straub and HPH shall give the EEOC a minimum of ten business days' advance written notice to the EEOC of the date, time and location of each training program provided pursuant to this Decree, and agrees that a EEOC representative may attend any such training program.

IX.

RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING

A. Record Keeping

Straub and HPH shall (1) submit annual EEO-1 reports pursuant to applicable law, (2) maintain supporting documentation as required by applicable law, and (3) maintain employment applications and resumes as required by applicable law.

B. Audit Report

Within sixty calendar days after each annual anniversary date of the Effective Date, and at the end of the term of the Consent Decree, Straub and HPH shall conduct an annual audit of the previous twelve-month period, or in the case of the final report the previous three-month period (the "Audit Period") and submit a written report (the "Audit Report") to the EEOC stating the total number of persons identified by gender and age who during the Audit Period:

- 1. Were interviewed for security guard positions at Straub;
- 2. Were hired for security guard positions at Straub;
- Were offered security guard positions at Straub, but declined the 3. employment offer;
- Voluntarily withdrew from consideration for a security guard position 4. at Straub.

The Audit Report shall also state:

- The percentages of the newly hired security guards at Straub who are 1. within and outside of the protected classes of gender and age;
 - 2. The total applicant flow for security guard positions at Straub;

28 | ///

- 3. The percentages of such applicants who are within and outside of the protected group, to the extent known by Straub and HPH; and
- 4. Confirmation that the Notice has remain posted during the Audit Period.

For the duration of the Decree, Straub and HPH will request voluntary disclosure of age data from applicants for security guard positions at Straub for the limited purpose of assembling data and submitting the Audit Report to the EEOC as provided herein. The Parties agree that Straub and HPH's submission of incomplete data to the EEOC in any Audit Report, due to withholding of such data by any applicant for security guard positions at Straub, shall not constitute a violation of this Decree. The EEOC acknowledges that the voluntary gathering of age data from applicants is not a violation of the ADEA. The Hawaii Civil Rights Commission has issued a statement regarding the voluntary gathering of age data pursuant to a Consent Decree which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

The EEOC shall have 45 days from receipt of each Audit Report in which to inspect the records and documents reviewed or relied upon by Straub and HPH in compiling the Audit Report.

In addition to the Audit Report to the EEOC specified above, Straub and HPH shall provide a list providing the names for all those who attended any training sessions described in section IX.F to the EEOC in writing, by mail or facsimile, if any such training sessions took place during the applicable twelvementh period.

X.

COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF CONSENT DECREE

Straub and HPH shall bear all costs associated with its administration and implementation of their obligations under this Consent Decree.

3

4

5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

Date: 12/5/07

28

XI.

COSTS AND ATTORNEYS' FEES

Each Party shall bear its own costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

XII.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- A. During the term of this Consent Decree, Straub and HPH shall provide any potential successor-in-interest with a copy of this Decree within a reasonable time of not less than thirty days prior to the execution of any agreement for acquisition or assumption of control of any or all of Straub and HPH's operations, or any other material change in corporate structure, and shall simultaneously inform the EEOC of same.
- B. During the term of this Decree, Straub and HPH and its successors shall assure that each of its officers, managers, and supervisors is aware of any term in this Decree which is related to his/her job duties.
- C. The Parties agree to cooperate with each other and use their best efforts to resolve any dispute that arises under this Agreement prior to seeking the Court's intervention, by way of motion or otherwise.
- D. The Parties agree to entry of this Decree subject to final approval by the Court.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Anna Y. Park Peter F. Laura Wilfredo Tungol

By: Anna Y. Park

Attorneys for Plaintiff

| l | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | MARR HIPP JONES & WANG | | | |
| 2 | Sarah O. Wang | | | |
| 3 | Melanie Mito May | | | |
| 4 | 1 A MALLER | | | |
| 5 | Date: DEC 0 6 2007 By: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | |
| 6 | Sarah O. Wang | | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Defendants | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | [PROPOSED] ORDER | | | |
| 10 | Pursuant to Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 375, 381 | | | |
| 11 | 82 (1994) and Flanagan v. Arnaiz, 143 F.3d 540, 543-44 (9th Cir. 1998), the Partie | | | |
| 12 | further stipulate that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action for a period of | | | |
| 13 | two years and three months following entry of this Consent Decree for purposes of | | | |
| 14 | resolving any disputes that may arise in the future regarding the Consent Decree | | | |
| 15 | its terms or the enforcement thereof. The Court may order an extension of the term | | | |
| 16 | of this Consent Decree for such period of time as there is shown to be a breach of | | | |
| 17 | the Decree, and may order any other relief the Court deems appropriate. The | | | |
| 18 | provisions of the foregoing Consent Decree are hereby approved and compliance | | | |
| 19 | with all provisions thereof is HEREBY ORDERED . | | | |
| | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | Date: DEC 1 4 2007 Cya - Kan | | | |
| 22 | The Honorable Alan C. Kay | | | |
| 23 | United States District Judge | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |