

FILED  
LODGED  
JUL 7 2000  
ENTERED  
RECEIVED  
AT SEATTLE  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
BY WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

KHALIL NOURI,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE BOEING COMPANY,

Defendant.

No. C99-1227L

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR CONSOLIDATION,  
LEAVE TO FILE A CONSOLIDATED  
AMENDED COMPLAINT, AND TO  
EXTEND TIME TO MOVE FOR  
CLASS CERTIFICATION

This matter comes before the Court on "Plaintiffs' Motion for Consolidation, Leave to File a Consolidated Amended Complaint and to Extend Time to Move for Class Certification."<sup>1</sup> Having considered the pleadings and exhibits submitted by the parties,<sup>2</sup> it is hereby ORDERED that:

(1) The following actions shall be consolidated for all purposes and proceedings in this Court:

Nouri v. The Boeing Company, C99-1227L

Nouri, et al. v. The Boeing Company, C99-1836L

Golchin v. The Boeing Company, C99-1895L and

<sup>1</sup> Having reviewed the submissions of the parties, and taking into consideration defendants' desire to reach the merits of plaintiffs' class allegations at the earliest possible time, plaintiffs' request for oral argument is DENIED.

<sup>2</sup> Defendant moves to strike the exhibits attached to plaintiffs' memorandum for failure to comply with Local Rule 7(b)(1). Defendant's motion itself, however, was contained in a footnote in its opposition and fails to meet the requirements of a motion under either the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the Local Rules. The motion to strike has not, therefore, been substantively considered.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION

Sharma, et al. v. The Boeing Company, et al., C00-0582L.

The effect of this consolidation on suit limitation, exhaustion, and other substantive issues will be determined, if at all, in the context of an appropriate motion.

(2) All future submissions shall be made under cause number C99-1227L with the caption "Nouri, et al. v. The Boeing Company."

(3) Plaintiffs shall, in keeping with this Court's Order of June 19, 2000, Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order in one of the now-consolidated cases, make efforts to move this matter forward in a timely manner and refrain from casting unnecessary aspersions on defense counsel and on defendant Boeing.


(4) The Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint filed by plaintiffs on June 30, 2000, is now the operative pleading for this consolidated action.

(5) Plaintiffs shall file their motion(s) to sever specific individuals from the consolidated action no later than ten days from the date of this Order.

(6) Defendant shall answer or move with respect to the Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint within twenty days of the date of this Order.

(7) In light of defendant's concerns, the significant delays that have already occurred in this case, and the investigations and discovery efforts that have been made to date, plaintiffs shall file their motion for class certification no later than seventy days from the date of this Order. The parties are instructed to cooperate in an effort to complete class discovery as expeditiously and efficiently as possible.

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of July 2000.

  
Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge