

1. EEOC Case ID#: EE-NY-0015

2. Docket number/Court of each of the related or consolidated cases: 99-CV-05197, 97-CV-06723, and 98-CV-03427

3. Related or consolidated? Related

4. Docket entry # (or other location) where consolidation or relation appears: There are two relations, as follows: docket entry #6 of 99-05197, which is not noted on the docket of 97-06723; docket entry #1 of 98-03427, which is not noted on the docket of 97-06723 either.

5. Date of consolidation/relation? 98-03427 was related to 97-06723 on 5/20/1998. 99-05197 was related to 97-06723 on 10/22/1999.

6. Terms of the consolidation (e.g. “consolidated for purposes of discovery only, trial to be in front of original judge” or “consolidated for purposes of discovery; decision on trial consolidation to be made later”): For 98-03427, “Case accepted as related to 97cv6723.” For 99-05197, “Case accepted as related to 1:97cv 6723.” Nothing further appears on the dockets.

7. For each case, who are the parties (include charging parties if EEOC is plaintiff) and what is the basic theory of the case? (e.g. sexual harassment, age discrimination)
99-05197: Plaintiff is EEOC; Defendants are Verizon Communications Inc., NYNEX Corporation, New York Telephone Company, Empire City Subway Company (Ltd.), New England Telephone & Telegraph Company, Telesector Resources Group, Inc., Nynex Information Resources Company, Nynex Mobile Communications Company, and Bell Atlantic Mobile Inc.; theory—sex discrimination and pregnancy discrimination in that service credit not being given for pregnancy and maternity leave taken prior to 1979.

97-06723: Plaintiff is EEOC; Intervenor plaintiffs are Communications Workers of America, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 2213, Locals 2222, 2313, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers AFL-CIO, New England IBEW Locals, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 2213 [listed a second time], and Communications Workers of America [listed a second time]; Defendants are Verizon Communications, Inc., NYNEX Corporation, New York Telephone Company, Empire City Subway Company (Ltd.), New England Telephone & Telegraph Company, Telesector Resource Group, Inc., Nynex Information Resources Company, NYNEX Mobile Communications Company, and Bell Atlantic Mobile, Inc.; Intervenor Defendants are [All defendants listed again as intervenor defendants]; theory—sex discrimination and pregnancy discrimination in that service credit not being given for pregnancy and maternity leave taken prior to 1979.

98-03427: Plaintiff is Local 2213 of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; Defendants are Verizon Communications Inc., Nynex Corporation, New York Telephone

Company, Telesector Resources Group, Inc., Nynex Information Resources Company; theory—sex discrimination and pregnancy discrimination in that service credit not being given for pregnancy and maternity leave taken prior to 1979.

8. Briefly describe the procedural history of each case prior to their being related or consolidated.

99-05197 was filed by EEOC on 7/16/1999. The only significant event prior to the relation was defendant's motion to dismiss claims.

97-06723 was filed by EEOC on 9/10/1997. Early in the case, multiple intervenor plaintiffs sought successfully to intervene in the lawsuit; defendants filed motion for dismissal; after that, first relation occurred. After this, but before the second relation, there was some discovery and related motions, and attempts by defendants to have plaintiffs' claims dismissed.

98-03427 was filed by Local 2213 on 05/14/1998. It was related less than a week later, during which time nothing occurred in the case.

9. After the cases were related/consolidated, what happened? was one case designated the lead case and all subsequent activity appears on that case docket? do both dockets contain lots of subsequent entries and if so, are they mostly or entirely duplicative, or do they indicate different types of activities in the two cases?

After the relations, 97-06723 has the bulk of activity. The other cases also have large amounts of activity, but it seems to be duplicative. Consent decree is listed on 2/28/2002, and it is approved on 10/10/2002 after a fairness hearing has been held.