

1. EEOC Case ID#: EE-NY-0100

2. Docket number/Court of each of the related or consolidated cases: 97-CV-09531 and 97-CV-07305 (S.Dist. New York)

3. Related or consolidated? Consolidated

4. Docket entry # (or other location) where consolidation or relation appears: Docket entry #9 on 97-09531 but no corresponding entry on the other docket.

5. Date of consolidation/relation? 6/05/1998

6. Terms of the consolidation (e.g. “consolidated for purposes of discovery only, trial to be in front of original judge” or “consolidated for purposes of discovery; decision on trial consolidation to be made later”): Actions are “consolidated at this time solely for pretrial purposes.”

7. For each case, who are the parties (include charging parties if EEOC is plaintiff) and what is the basic theory of the case? (e.g. sexual harassment, age discrimination)

97-09531: Plaintiff is EEOC; Defendants are Medical Management, Inc. and Complete Management, Inc.; Intervenor Plaintiffs are Shatie Shova and Evett Moore; Intervenor Defendants are Medical Management, Inc., Complete Management, Inc., Greater Metropolitan Medical Services, and Clair Cardone; theory—not much information available, but lost wages seems to be part of it.

97-07305: Plaintiff is Shatie Shova; Defendants are Medical Management, Inc., Complete Management, Inc., and Claire Cardone; theory—as above, not clear from information available.

8. Briefly describe the procedural history of each case prior to their being related or consolidated.

97-09531 was filed on 12/30/1997 by EEOC and the only event prior to consolidation is the intervention of the private party plaintiffs.

97-07305 was filed on 10/01/1997 by private party and other than pre-trial conference, no significant activity prior to consolidation.

9. After the cases were related/consolidated, what happened? was one case designated the lead case and all subsequent activity appears on that case docket? do both dockets contain lots of subsequent entries and if so, are they mostly or entirely duplicative, or do they indicate different types of activities in the two cases?

While a lead case does not seem to be specifically designated in the materials available, 97-09531 contains more activity. The activity in 97-07305 is mostly duplicative of the activity in 97-09531, and the cases proceed together to a consent decree entered on 05/25/1999.