

1. EEOC Case ID#: EE-FL-0057

2. Docket number/Court of each of the related or consolidated cases: CV-2:05-00460 (M. D. FL.)

3. Related or consolidated? I think this case is not actually a consolidated or related case; rather, the court order was only required the parties to comply with a local rule which required the parties to notify the court if they became aware of any related cases; several entries in the docket suggest that the parties notified the court of possible related cases, but the judge never official related any cases.

4. Docket entry # (or other location) where consolidation or relation appears: Docket #2 in case 00460.

5. Date of consolidation/relation? 10/04/2005.

6. Terms of the consolidation (e.g. “consolidated for purposes of discovery only, trial to be in front of original judge” or “consolidated for purposes of discovery; decision on trial consolidation to be made later”):

7. For each case, who are the parties (include charging parties if EEOC is plaintiff) and what is the basic theory of the case? (e.g. sexual harassment, age discrimination)

00460: plaintiff is EEOC; intervenor plaintiff is Viegela Jean-Francois, Changolia Julien, Solange Marcelin, Carmelia Pierre, and Loundy Saint-Hilaire; defendant is Gargiulo, Inc. Theory- job discrimination (sex).

8. Briefly describe the procedural history of each case prior to their being related or consolidated.

9. After the cases were related/consolidated, what happened? was one case designated the lead case and all subsequent activity appears on that case docket? do both dockets contain lots of subsequent entries and if so, are they mostly or entirely duplicative, or do they indicate different types of activities in the two cases?