UNITED STATES COURTS SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED

JAN 2 1 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS VICTORIA DIVISION

Michael N. Milby, Clerk of Court

ELVA GRIFFIN, ANITA HERRIC		§	
ANIYERITZA VELAZQUEZ, AN	D LYNN	§	
STOEBNER,		§	
	Plaintiffs,	§	
		§	CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. V-03-77
FORMOSA PLASTICS		§	
CORPORATION, TEXAS, FORM	OSA	§	
PLASTICS CORPORATION, U.S.	.A.,	§	
GLENN DOBBS, MITCH McBRII	DE,	§	
SEVERO LOPEZ, JOE FRANK		Š	
RODRIGUEZ, U.S. CONTRACTO	DRS,	§	
INC., AND U.S. CONTRACTORS	-	§	
		§	
	Defendants,	ş	
		§	
AND		§	
		§	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT		§	
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,		§	
	Plaintiff,		
		ş	
vs.		\$ \$ \$ \$	
		§	
U.S. CONTRACTORS, LTD.,		§ §	
		Ş	
	Defendant.	§	

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex, female and retaliation, and to provide appropriate relief to Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza Velazquez and other similarly situated females, who were adversely affected by such practices. The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission") alleges that corporation doing business in the State of Texas and Calhoun County, Texas, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.

5. At all relevant times, Defendant U.S. Contractors has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Lynn Stoebner and Aniyeritza Velazquez filed charges with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant U.S. Contractors.

7. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

8. Since at least June 2001, Defendant U.S. Contractors has engaged in unlawful employment practices at a project site in Calhoun County, Texas, in violation of Section 703(a)(1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2(a)(1) by:

- a. subjecting Elva Griffin, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza Velazquez and other similarly situated females, to sexual harassment from male supervisors, co-workers, and other males on the job site;
- b. disparately discharging Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza
 Velazquez, and other similarly situated females based on their sex; and
- c. discharging Elva Griffin, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza Velazquez and other similarly situated females after they complained about sex discrimination.

9. Since at least June 2001, Defendant U.S. Contractors has engaged in unlawful employment practices at a project site in Calhoun County, Texas, in violation of Section 704(a) of

Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-3(a) by:

a. subjecting Elva Griffin to a retaliatory demotion; and

b. discharging Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza Velazquez, and other similarly situated females after they complained about sex discrimination, or for otherwise participating in a protected activity under Title VII.

10. The effect of the practices complained of in paragraphs eight and nine above has been to deprive Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza Velazquez and other similarly situated females of equal employment opportunities and to otherwise adversely affect their status as employees, because of their sex and retaliation.

11. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs eight and nine above were and are intentional.

12. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs eight and nine above were and are done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza Velazquez and other similarly situated females.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant U.S. Contractors, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in retaliation and from any other employment practice which facilitates, condones, or encourages retaliation, and from any other employment practice which discriminates on the basis of retaliation;

B. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant U.S. Contractors, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from subjecting its

of enjoyment of life, in an amount to be determined at trial;

G. Order Defendant U.S. Contractors to pay Elva Griffin, Anita Herriges, Lynn Stoebner, Aniyeritza Velazquez and other similarly situated females punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct described in paragraphs eight and nine above, in an amount to be determined at trial;

H. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest; and

I. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC S. DREIBAND General Counsel

JAMES L. LEE Deputy General Counsel

GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS Associate General Counsel

ROBERT B. HARWIN Regional Attorney District of Columbia Bar No. 076083

G. TAYI lor (

Supervisory Trial Attorney Texas Bar No. 19708300 Federal I.D. No. 14850

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint

Page 6

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ $\sqrt{\cdot} <$

DAVID C. RIVELA Attorney-in-Charge Texas State Bar No. 00797324 Federal I.D. No. 32914

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION San Antonio District Office 5410 Fredericksburg Rd., Ste 200 San Antonio, TX 78229-3555 Telephone: (210) 281-7619 Telecopier: (210) 281-7669

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CHAR		GENCY	CHAR	GE NUMBER
this form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before			360A1	1277 - 920-
completing this form.		X EEOC	OUAL.	1212 2 210
Texas Commission on Human Rights and EEOC State or local Agency, if any				oc
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		HOME TEL	EPHONE (In	clude Area Code)
Elva Griffin		•	51) 758	
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND	ZIP CODE		· .	DATE OF BIRTH
302 W. Forrest, Victoria, TX 77902				01/01/1959
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EM STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMI				
	BER OF EMPLOYEES, ME			
	<u>at C (201-500)</u>			
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND	•			COUNTY
<u>301 Formosa Dr., Point Comfort, TX 779'</u>	<u>78</u>	TELEPHONE	NUMBER (In	057 clude Area Code)
STREET ADDRESS OITY, STATE AND	ZIP CODE			COUNTY
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))		DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK		
	NATIONAL ORIGIN			
I RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY OTHER	(Specify)	12/20/2000 03/25/20 continuing action		
 THE PARTICULARS ARE (IT additional space is needed, stach extre sheet(s)): From on or around December 20, 2000 to on or around March 25, 2001, I was subjected to sexual and sexist comments from male Respondent officials. On or around February 15, 2001, I was subjected to unwelcome touching of a sexual nature from one of these officials. On or around February 16,2001, I complained to upper management about sexual and sexist harassment. On March 7, 2001, I was bumped down/ demoted from Foreman. On or around March 13, 2001, I again complained to upper management about the sexual and sexist harassment. On March 25, 2001, I was discharged. I was told that I was discharged due to a reduction in force. Males in my position were not discharged in the reduction in force. I believe that I have been discriminated against because of my sex, female, and in retaliation for protesting harassment, both in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. 				
local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the I	NOTARY - (When necessar I swear or affirm that I it is true to the best of	have read f my knowle	the above c	harge and that
and correct.	SIGNATURE OF COMPL	AINANT		
Date 6-29-01 (Eluca C. Griffin EEOC FORM 5 (Rev. 07/99)	SUBSCRIBED AND SW (Wonth, day and year)	ORN TO	BEFORE M	E THIS DATE

CHARGE JE DISCRIMINATION		GENCY	CHARC	BE WUNBER 27
his form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act ompleting this form.		FEPA	3602	A11271
Texas Commission on Human Rights State or local Agency, if any		· · ·	and EE	00
AME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		HOME TEL	EPHONE (In	clude Area Code)
Anita Herriges	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(9 ⁴	79)_323	-7918
TREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP	CODE		•	DATE OF BIRT
<u>302 W. Forrest, Victoria, TX 77902</u> AAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPL STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINA	TED AGAINST M	E (If more	TICESHIP	
	OF EMPLOYEES, ME		ELEPHONE (1	Include Area Code
U S Contractors Inc	<u>C (201-500</u>)		COUNTY
<u>301 Formosa Dr., Point Comfort, TX 7797</u>				057
ANE	<u> </u>	TELEPHONE	NUMBER <i>(In</i>	clude Area Code)
THEET ADDRESS GITY, STAVE AND ZIF	CODE	i		COUNTY
AUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))		DATE DI EARLIEST	SCRIMINAT	ION TOOK PLAC
RACE COLOR Image Religion Na RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY OTHER (sp)	TIONAL ORIGIN <i>ecity)</i>	04/05/2001 04/05/20		
HE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attach extra she			NTINUING A	CTION
of boilermaker after I was discharged. I believe that I have been discriminated female, in violation of Title VII of the amended.				
	ARY - (When necessa	ry for Stat	te and Local	Requirements)
local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the I sw	year or affirm that]	have read	the above c	harge and that
local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.		have read of my knowl	the above c	harge and that

CHARGE DISCRIMINATION					
his form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before ompleting this form.			360A11299		
Texas Commission on Human Rights and EEOC 16 2000					
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	······································	HOME TEL	EPHONE (Include Area Code)		
Ms. Lynn Stoebner street address CITY, STATE AN	D 275 0005	(36	51) 579-0644 DATE OF BIRTH		
	D ZIP GODE		08/12/1961		
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, I STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRI	1505 Hood Road, Inez, TX 77968 NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (if more than one list below.)				
	UMBER OF EMPLOYEES, ME	MBERS T	ELEPHONE (Include Area Code)		
USCONTRACTORS INC	<u>Cat D (501 +)</u> D ZIP CODE		(361) 552-2147 COUNTY		
301 Formosa Drive, Point Comfort, TX			057		
NAME		TELEPHONE	NUMBER (Include Area Code)		
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AN	D ZIP CODE	L	COUNTY		
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))		DATE DIS EARLIEST	SCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE		
RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION X RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY OTH	NATIONAL ORIGIN ER <i>(Specity)</i>	02/01/	/2001 04/04/2001		
		CON	ITINUING ACTION		
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attach ext.	ra sheet(s)):				
In or around February 2001, I made an oral complaint about Joe Frank Rodriguez digging through my purse and harassing me because I was a female.					
On March 27, 2001, I, and a friend of mine, complained to Speedy Wells that Severo Lopez and Joe Frank Rodriguez picked on the women that were out there. On March 30, 2001, I complained to the Human Resources department.					
On April 4, 2001, I was discharged. I was told that I was discharged due to a reduction in force. Male employees were hired for jobs I was qualified to perform near the time of my termination.					
I believe that I have been discriminated against because of my sex, female, and for having complained about being discriminated against, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.					
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my		ry for Stat	e and Local Requirements)		
address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.					
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	SIGNATURE OF COMPL	AINANT			
Date 7-11-01 EEOC FORM 5 (Rev. 07/99)	SUBSCRIBED AND SY (Month, day and year)	VORN TO I	BEFORE ME THIS DATE		

CHARGE DISCRIMINATION	GENCY CHARGE NUMBER			
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before completing this form.	* X EEOC 360A11300			
Texas Commission on Human Rights State or local Agency, if any	and EEAN 16 200			
NAWE (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) LV	HOME TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)			
Ms. Aniverita Velazquez	(361) 553-5810			
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE	DATE OF BIRTH 04/16/1962			
409 Bauer Drive, Apt. #2, Port Lavaca, TX 77979 NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENC				
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST	ME (If more than one list below.)			
NAME NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES,				
US Contractors Inc Cat D (501 +) STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE	(361) 552-2147 county			
301 Formosa Drive, Point Comfort, TX 77978	057			
NAME	TELEPHONE NUMBER(Include Area Code)			
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE	COUNTY			
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))	DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE EARLIEST LATEST			
Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image	12/27/2000 03/25/2001			
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):				
From on or about December 27, 2000 to on or about March 25, 2001, I was subjected sexual and sexist comments.				
Although I complained to the General Foreman that I felt that I was being discriminated against, the comments still continued and the General Foreman would still not let me do certain jobs because there were too many men.				
On March 19, 2001, I took and passed the boilermaker test and was given a raise to \$11.36 per hour. Other employees who passed the boilermaker test were usually started at \$12.20 per hour. Male employees would receive raises without having to take the boilermaker test.				
On March 25, 2001, I was discharged. I was told that I was discharged due to a reduction in force. After my termination, my job responsibilities were fulfilled by male employees hired around the time that I was discharged.				
I believe that I have been discriminated against because of my sex, female, and for having complained to management about their practices which I considered to be discriminatory, in violation of the Equal Pay Act, and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.				
	·····			
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or NOTARY - (When necess local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my	sary for State and Local Requirements)			
address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the I swear or affirm that	I have read the above charge and that of my knowledge, information and belief.			
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true SIGNATURE OF COM				
and correct.	SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE			
Date Charging Party (sighature) ()	······································			



San Antonio District Office

5410 Fredericksburg Road, Suite 200 San Antonio, TX 78229-3555 (210) 281-7600 TTY (210) 281-7610 FAX (210) 281-2512

Charge No.: 360 A1 1272

Elva Griffin c/o William L. Sciba, III Cole, Cole & Easley, P.C. 302 West Forrest, P.O. Drawer 510 Victoria, Texas 77902-0510

Charging Party

U.S. Contractors, Inc. 301 Formosa Drive Point Comfort, Texas 77978

Respondent

DETERMINATION

I issue the following determination on the merits of this charge.

Respondent is an employer within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII), and timeliness, and all other requirements for coverage have been met.

Charging Party alleged that she was discriminated against because of her sex, female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, in that she was subjected to sexist and sexual comments from management, as well as inappropriate physical contact. Charging Party further alleged that she complained about the harassment and no action was taken, and instead she was demoted and discharged as a result of her complaints.

Respondent contends that it has no record of Charging Party making any such complaints, and that she was demoted because her work performance deteriorated. Respondent also stated that the Charging Party was laid off as a result of a reduction in workforce, and it retained the best qualified employees, regardless of gender.

Examination of the evidence indicates that the Charging Party complained to Respondent's management about sexist comments and sexual harassment on several occasions. Shortly after her initial complaints, the Charging Party was demoted. A few days after her demotion, Charging Party complained again about the on-going harassment, and less than two weeks later, her employment was terminated. Additionally, the Respondent failed to provide evidence to show that the male employees retained were better qualified than the Charging Party.



Charge No.: 360 A1 1272 Page 2 of 2

Based on the evidence obtained, there is reasonable cause to believe Respondent discriminated against the Charging Party, and a class of females because of their sex, in that they were subjected to sexist comments, and sexual harassment. There is also reasonable cause to believe Respondent discriminated against the Charging Party and a class of females because of their sex, and because they complained about discrimination, in that their employment was terminated. Evidence further shows that there is reasonable cause to believe that the Charging Party was also retaliated against for having participated in protected activity, in that she was demoted.

Upon finding that there is reason to believe that violations have occurred, the Commission attempts to eliminate the alleged unlawful practices by informal methods of conciliation. Therefore, the Commission now invites the parties to join with it in reaching a just resolution of this matter. The confidentiality provisions of Title VII and Commission Regulations apply to information obtained during conciliation.

If the Respondent declines to discuss settlement or when, for any other reason, a settlement acceptable to the office Director is not obtained, the Director will inform the parties and advise them of the court enforcement alternatives available to aggrieved persons and the Commission. A Commission representative will contact each party in the near future to begin conciliation.

Sincerely,

Pedro Esquivel District Director



San Antonio District Office

5410 Fredericksburg Road, Suite 200 San Antonio, TX 78229-3555 (210) 281-7600 TTY (210) 281-7610 FAX (210) 281-2512

Charge No.: 360 A1 1271

Anita Herriges c/o William L. Sciba, III Cole, Cole & Easley, P.C. 302 West Forrest, P.O. Drawer 510 Victoria, Texas 77902-0510

Charging Party

U.S. Contractors, Inc. 301 Formosa Drive Point Comfort, Texas 77978

Respondent

DETERMINATION

I issue the following determination on the merits of this charge.

Respondent is an employer within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII), and timeliness, and all other requirements for coverage have been met.

Charging Party alleged that she was discriminated against because of her sex, female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, in that she was discharged.

Examination of the evidence indicates that the Charging Party was laid off from her position as boiler maker. Respondent stated that the best qualified employees were retained, regardless of gender. However, the Respondent failed to show that the male employees retained were better qualified than the Charging Party.

Based on the evidence obtained, there is reasonable cause to believe Respondent discriminated against the Charging Party, and a class of females because of their sex, in that their employment was terminated.

Upon finding that there is reason to believe that violations have occurred, the Commission attempts to eliminate the alleged unlawful practices by informal methods of conciliation. Therefore, the Commission now invites the parties to join with it in reaching a just resolution of this matter. The confidentiality provisions of Title VII and Commission Regulations apply to information obtained during conciliation.

Charge No.: 360 A1 1271 Page 2 of 2

If the Respondent declines to discuss settlement or when, for any other reason, a settlement acceptable to the office Director is not obtained, the Director will inform the parties and advise them of the court enforcement alternatives available to aggrieved persons and the Commission. A Commission representative will contact each party in the near future to begin conciliation.

Sincerely,

wy 16, 2003 Date

Pedro Esquivel

District Director



San Antonio District Office

5410 Fredericksburg Road, Suite 200 San Antonio, TX 78229-3555 (210) 281-7600 TTY (210) 281-7610 FAX (210) 281-2512

Charge No.: 360 A1 1299

Lynn Stoebner c/o William L. Sciba, III Cole, Cole & Easley, P.C. 302 West Forrest, P.O. Drawer 510 Victoria, Texas 77902-0510

U.S. Contractors, Inc. 301 Formosa Drive Point Comfort, Texas 77978 **Charging Party**

Respondent

DETERMINATION

I issue the following determination on the merits of this charge.

Respondent is an employer within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII), and timeliness, and all other requirements for coverage have been met.

Charging Party alleged that she was discriminated against because of her sex, female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, in that she was subjected to harassment because of her sex. Charging Party further alleged that she complained about the harassment and no action was taken, and instead she was discharged as a result of her complaints.

Respondent contends that it has no record of Charging Party making any such complaints, and that she was laid off as a result of a reduction in workforce, and it retained the best qualified employees, regardless of gender.

Examination of the evidence corroborates that the Charging Party complained to Respondent's management about harassment based on her sex. The last time she complained about the harassment was in March of 2001 and less than one week later her employment was terminated. Additionally, Respondent failed to show that the male employees retained were better qualified than the Charging Party.

Based on the evidence obtained, there is reasonable cause to believe Respondent discriminated against the Charging Party, and a class of females because of their sex, in that they were subjected to sex harassment. There is also reasonable cause to believe Respondent discriminated against the Charging Party, and a class of females because of their sex, and because they complained about discrimination, in that their employment was terminated.

Charge No.: 360 A1 1299 Page 2 of 2

Upon finding that there is reason to believe that violations have occurred, the Commission attempts to eliminate the alleged unlawful practices by informal methods of conciliation. Therefore, the Commission now invites the parties to join with it in reaching a just resolution of this matter. The confidentiality provisions of Title VII and Commission Regulations apply to information obtained during conciliation.

If the Respondent declines to discuss settlement or when, for any other reason, a settlement acceptable to the office Director is not obtained, the Director will inform the parties and advise them of the court enforcement alternatives available to aggrieved persons and the Commission. A Commission representative will contact each party in the near future to begin conciliation.

Sincerely,

Pedro Esqui

District Director



San Antonio District Office

5410 Fredericksburg Road, Suite 200 San Antonio, TX 78229-3555 (210) 281-7600 TTY (210) 281-7610 FAX (210) 281-2512

Charge No.: 360 A1 1300

Aniyeritza Velasquez c/o William L. Sciba, III Cole, Cole & Easley, P.C. 302 West Forrest, P.O. Drawer 510 Victoria, Texas 77902-0510

Charging Party

U.S. Contractors, Inc. 301 Formosa Drive Point Comfort, Texas 77978

Respondent

DETERMINATION

I issue the following determination on the merits of this charge.

Respondent is an employer within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII), and timeliness, and all other requirements for coverage have been met.

Charging Party alleged that she was discriminated against because of her sex, female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, in that she was subjected to sexist and sexual comments. Charging Party further alleged that she complained about the harassment and no action was taken. Instead she was not given the same pay raise given to males for passing the boiler maker test, she was not assigned to the same duties as males, and her employment was terminated.

Respondent contends that it has no record of Charging Party making any such complaints, and that she was laid off as a result of a reduction in workforce, and it retained the best qualified employees, regardless of gender.

Examination of the evidence indicates that the Charging Party complained to Respondent's management about sexist comments and sexual harassment. Shortly after her complaints, the Charging Party's employment was terminated. Additionally, the Respondent failed to provide evidence to show that the male employees retained were better qualified than the Charging Party.

Based on the evidence obtained, there is reasonable cause to believe Respondent discriminated against the Charging Party, and a class of females because of their sex, in that they were subjected to sexist comments, and sexual harassment. There is also reasonable cause to believe Respondent discriminated against the Charging Party and a class of females because of their sex, and because they complained about discrimination, in that their employment was terminated.

Charge No.: 360 A1 1300 Page 2 of 2

Upon finding that there is reason to believe that violations have occurred, the Commission attempts to eliminate the alleged unlawful practices by informal methods of conciliation. Therefore, the Commission now invites the parties to join with it in reaching a just resolution of this matter. The confidentiality provisions of Title VII and Commission Regulations apply to information obtained during conciliation.

If the Respondent declines to discuss settlement or when, for any other reason, a settlement acceptable to the office Director is not obtained, the Director will inform the parties and advise them of the court enforcement alternatives available to aggrieved persons and the Commission. A Commission representative will contact each party in the near future to begin conciliation.

January 16, 2003 Date

Sincerely,

Pedro Esqui District Director