

**EEOC Case ID#:** EE-ID-0012

**Docket numbers of the related or consolidate cases:** CV-04-00478 and CV-04-00613 (Idaho)

**Related or consolidated?** consolidated

**Docket entry # (or other location) where consolidation or relation appears:** docket entry #23 in case #CV-00478 and docket entry #9 in case 00613

**Date of consolidation/relation?** 05/26/2005

**Terms of the consolidation:** “All filing shall be done in the lead case, CV 04-00613.

**For each case, who are the parties and what is the basic theory of the case?**

04-00478: plaintiff is EEOC; intervenor plaintiff is Suzanne Yorgensen; defendant is Hollywood Entertainment Corporation; theory—Disability discrimination, failure to promote and constructive discharge

04-00613: plaintiff is Suzanne Yorgensen; defendant is Hollywood Entertainment Corp.; theory—disability discrimination

**Briefly describe the procedural history of each case prior to their being related or consolidated.**

04-00478 was filed by EEOC on 9/21/04; little activity other than scheduling orders, etc, and then Yorgensen moved to interview and to consolidate her private suit with this EEOC suit.

04-00613: case was originally filed in state court and removed to federal court on 12/9/04. Very little happened before motion to consolidate on 3/11/05

**After the cases were related/consolidated, what happened? was one case designated the lead case and all subsequent activity appears on that case docket? do both dockets contain lots of subsequent entries and if so, are they mostly or entirely duplicative, or do they indicate different types of activities in the two cases?**

Although 04-00613 was designated the lead case, most of the subsequent litigation activity is recorded in the docket of 04-00489. Nothing much of substance happens until settled by consent decree in 3/06.