

1. EEOC Case ID#: EE-TN-0099.

2. Docket number/Court of each of the related or consolidated cases: 1:98-cv-00252 (E.D. Tenn.); 1:98-cv-00264 (E.D. Tenn.); 1:99-cv-0092 (E.D. Tenn.).

3. Related or consolidated? Consolidated.

4. Docket entry # (or other location) where consolidation or relation appears:

1:98-cv-00252: #4 (1:98-cv-00264) and #17 (1:99-cv-0092).

1:98-cv-00264: #5.

1:99-cv-0092: Top of docket.

5. Date of consolidation/relation?

1:98-cv-00252: 9/3/1998 (1:98-cv-00264) and 3/24/1999 (1:99-cv-0092).

1:98-cv-00264: 9/3/1998.

1:99-cv-0092: No docket entry.

6. Terms of the consolidation (e.g. “consolidated for purposes of discovery only, trial to be in front of original judge” or “consolidated for purposes of discovery; decision on trial consolidation to be made later”):

1:98-cv-00252: Order grants motion to consolidate.

1:98-cv-00264: ORDER granting motion to consolidate case with 1:98-cv-252; filings to be made in 1:98-cv-252.

1:99-cv-0092: No order on docket.

7. For each case, who are the parties (include charging parties if EEOC is plaintiff) and what is the basic theory of the case? (e.g. sexual harassment, age discrimination)

1:98-cv-00252: Plaintiff: EEOC; charging parties: Kenneth Clack and Keith Darby; defendant: Rock-Tenn Company; theory: unknown.

1:98-cv-00264: Plaintiff: Kenneth Clack; defendant: Rock-Tenn Company; theory: unknown.

1:99-cv-0092: Plaintiff: Keith Darby; defendant: Rock-Tenn Company; theory: unknown.

8. Briefly describe the procedural history of each case prior to their being related or consolidated.

1:98-cv-00252: EEOC filed suit on 7/27/1998. Kenneth Clack intervened before first consolidation. Not much happened before the second consolidation.

1:98-cv-00264: Clack filed suit on 8/3/1998. Not much happened before consolidation.

1:99-cv-0092: No consolidation appears on docket; nothing happened besides the answer before the consolidation date from the lead case.

9. After the cases were related/consolidated, what happened?

1:98-cv-00252: All activity takes place on this docket. There are duplicate entries for consent decrees on the other two dockets.