•			
1	RICHARD R. TRUJILLO	JUN 3 0 1998	
2	MARY JO O'NEILL #005924		
3	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Phoenix District Office 3300 North Central Avenue, Suite 690 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone: (602) 640-5033		
4			
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
9			
10			
11	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT		
12	OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION		
13	Plaintiff,	CIV98-12UUPHXROS	
14	VS.	) ) COMPLAINT	
15			
16	K-MART CORPORATION, a Michigan Corporation	JURY TRIAL DEMAND	
17			
18	Defendants.	)	
19	NATURE OF THE ACTION		
20	This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil		
21	Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex and		
22	retaliation, and to provide appropriate relief to Debbie Denton and a class of females including		
23	Maria Garcia who were adversely affected by such practices. The Commission alleges		
24	defendant sexually harassed Debbie Denton and a class of females, including Maria Garcia.		
25	The Commission alleges that defendants constructively discharged Debbie Denton and a class		
26	of females as a result of sexual harassment and/or retaliation. The Commission alleges		
27	defendants retaliated against Debbie Denton, and a class of females and terminated her		
28	employment in retaliation for opposing practices made unla	awful by Title VII.	

,

•

1	1 JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
2	1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§451, 1331, 1337,			
3	1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3)			
4	of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1) and (3)			
5	("Title VII"), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981a.			
6	2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the			
7	jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona.			
8	PARTIES			
9	3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"),			
10	is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation			
11	and enforcement of Title VII and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Sections			
12	706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).			
13	4. At all relevant times to this action, Defendant K-Mart Corporation has			
14	continuously been doing business in the State of Arizona and the City of Winslow and has			
15	continuously had at least 600 employees.			
16	STATEMENT OF CLAIMS			
17	5. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Debbie Denton			
18	filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant.			
19	6. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.			
20	7. Since at least April 1993, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment			
21	practices at the Phoenix, Arizona facility, in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42			
22	U.S.C. §2000e-2(a) by sexually harassing a class of females because of their sex. As a result			
23	of the sexual harassment Debbie Denton and a class of females were constructively discharged.			
24	8. Since at least April 1993, Defendant has engaged in unlawful employment			
· 25	practices at the Winslow, Arizona facility, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42			
26	U.S.C. §2000e-3(a) by retaliating against Debbie Denton and a class of females because they			
27	opposed practices made unlawful by Title VII. As a result of the retaliation Debbie Denton			
28	2			

and a class of females were constructively discharged, terminated, and/or subject to different
 terms and conditions of employment.

9. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Debbie
Denton, and a class of females, of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely
affect their status as employees because of sex.

10. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were intentional.

7 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were done with malice
8 or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Debbie Denton and a class of
9 females including Maria Garcia.

10

11

6

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors,
assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in sexual
harassment and retaliation and any other employment practice which discriminates on the basis
of sex.

B. Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices and programs
which provide equal employment opportunities for women and for those who oppose practices
made unlawful by Title VII, and which eradicate the effects of their past and present unlawful
employment practices.

C. Order Defendants to make whole Debbie Denton and a class of females by
providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest in amounts to be determined at trial,
and other affirmative relief to eradicate the effects of their unlawful employment practices,
including, but not limited to front pay and reinstatement.

D. Order Defendants to make whole Debbie Denton and a class of females, including Maria Garcia, by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described above, including, but not limited to job search expenses and medical expenses, in amounts to be determined at trial.

28

3

1	E.	Order Defendants to make whole Debbie Denton and a class of females	
2	including Maria Garcia, by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses		
3	resulting from the unlawful practices complained of above, including emotional pain and		
4	suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, in		
5	amounts to be determined at trial.		
6	F.	Order Defendants to pay Debbie Denton and a class of females including Maria	
7	Garcia punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct described above, in amounts to		
8	be determined at trial.		
9	G.	Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public	
10	interest.		
11	H.	Award the Commission its costs in this action.	
12		JURY TRIAL DEMAND	
13	The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint. $\bigcirc$		
14		20 th	
15	DAT	ED this () day of June, 1998.	
16		Respectfully submitted,	
17		C. GREGORY STEWART	
18		General Counsel	
19		GWENOLYN YOUNG REAMS Associate General Counsel	
20	·		
21		EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	
22		1801 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20507	
23		Mailantallart	
24 25		RICHARD R. TRUJILLO Regional Attorney	
26			
27			
28		4	

.

 $\overline{\bigcirc}$ 

. . .

Heil MARY JOO Supervisory Trial Attorney

TRISHA KIRDEX

• , •

TRISHA KIRTER Trial Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Phoenix District Office 3300 North Central, Suite 690 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 (602) 640-5033

Attorneys for Plaintiff