IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 7009 MAR -9 PM 3: 33 **AUSTIN DIVISION**

FILED

JILL HUBLEY and Civil No. A08CA 804 JRN § § § BY _____REPORT LAURA GUENTHER On behalf of themselves and all others \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ similarly situated, **Plaintiffs CLASS ACTION** v. DELL INC. JURY TRIAL DEMAND Defendant.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Jill Hubley and Laura Guenther ("Plaintiffs"), prior to being served with a responsive pleading, file this First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") against Defendant Dell Inc. ("Dell") and allege and state as follows:

Introduction

1. This case arises out of Dell's systemic company-wide discriminatory treatment of its female employees in violation of federal and applicable state civil rights laws. Dell has not served Plaintiffs with a responsive pleading. Having satisfied all administrative prerequisites and prior to being served with a responsive pleading, Plaintiffs now file this Complaint.

Parties

A. Plaintiff Class Representatives

- 2. Plaintiff Jill Hubley is a female resident and citizen of Austin, Texas. Plaintiff was employed by Dell at its Round Rock, Texas location as a Senior Strategist in the Learning and Development division of Dell Human Resources and supported the Americas business group. Plaintiff was classified as a Grade Level D1 employee at Dell. Plaintiff worked for Dell as a Grade Level D1 between June 2005 and September 2007.
- 3. Plaintiff Laura Guenther is a female resident and citizen of Austin, Texas. Plaintiff was employed by Dell at its Round Rock, Texas location as a Senior Manager in the Global Talent Management Group of Dell Human Resources. Plaintiff was classified as a Grade Level D1 employee at Dell. Plaintiff worked for Dell as a Grade Level D1 between March 2005 and February 2008.

B. Defendant

4. Dell is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Round Rock, Texas and may be served with process by serving its registered agent for service, Corporation Service Company, 701 Brazos Street, Suite 1050, Austin, Texas 78701. Dell currently employs approximately 88,000 employees world-wide and has locations throughout the nation and in international locations.

Jurisdiction

5. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 because this action is brought under Title VII of the Civil

Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. prohibiting gender discrimination in employment.

Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

- 6. Plaintiffs have each filed a timely charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging, among other things, classwide general discrimination against women at Dell with respect to the compensation, career opportunities, lateral transfer, placement, promotion, retention and termination of female employees in violation of Title VII.
- 7. Plaintiffs have each received a notice of right to sue from the EEOC and, accordingly, have exhausted all administrative prerequisites for the maintenance of this action.

Facts

8. Since at least 2003, Dell has systematically denied equal employment opportunities to its female employees. Dell has engaged in a pattern and practice of gender discrimination with respect to compensating and promoting female employees. Dell has engaged in a pattern and practice of gender discrimination with respect to other terms and conditions of employment of female professional employees at Dell that affect compensation and promotion opportunities including, but not limited to: training and mentoring; job assignments, including assignments to ex patriate positions; opportunities for business development; participation in company programs designed to accelerate promotion and job advancement, including but not limited to Key Talent Programs and

Accelerated Development Training Programs; lateral transfers; retention; terminations; and promotion processes and procedures, including failing to credit women during promotion decisions for their experience or performance on the same basis as men and failing to consider women for timely promotions on the same basis as men.

9. Female employees have experienced discrimination with respect to training and mentoring, and in the advocacy process of promotion and advancement at Dell.

Class Action Allegations

10. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2), Plaintiffs seek to represent a worldwide class consisting of:

All women employed by Dell between February 1, 2003 and the present, whether based the United States or worldwide.

Plaintiffs reserve the right to seek to represent sub-classes of the world-wide class.

- 11. Numerosity. The number of women in the class exceeds 2,000. It would be impracticable to bring all, or even a substantial percentage of, such persons before the Court as individual plaintiffs through joinder.
- 12. Commonality. There are questions of law and fact common to the class. The overarching question of law and fact that is common to all members of the class is whether Dell, through the acts and/or omissions of its management and supervisory workforce, has adopted and/or maintained a policy or practice of employment discrimination that is generally applicable to the class of all of Dell's female employees. This overarching common issue of law and fact includes numerous subissues of law and

fact that are also common to all members of the class. These subissues include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Whether Dell's female employees have been promoted at rates that are disproportionately low compared to the rates at which male employees have been promoted;
- Whether Dell's female employees have been afforded treatment in respect of numerous aspects of employment (including but not limited to training, job assignments, short-term "ex-patriate" assignments outside the United States, participation in company programs designed to accelerate promotion and job advancement; lateral transfers, reductions in force, business development opportunities, promotion, termination and compensation) that is less favorable than the treatment afforded by Dell to its male employees;
- Whether the employment policies or practices of Dell that have adversely affected its female executives violate Title VII because of the disparate impact of those policies or practices on women; and
- Whether Dell's discriminatory employment practices constitute a "continuing violation."
- typical of the claims of all class members because: (a) the class representatives and all class members have been subjected to the same company-wide practice of gender discrimination in employment; (b) their claims are based upon allegations that they have been adversely affected by Dell's practice of gender discrimination in a similar manner to that of class members in that they have received less favorable treatment than male employees at Dell with respect to numerous aspects of employment, including, without limitation, as to compensation and promotion; and (c) their claims are all based on the same legal theory or theories as those of the class members.

- 14. Adequacy of Representation. The above-identified class representatives are adequate representatives of the class because: (1) they are willing and able to represent the proposed class and have every incentive to pursue this action to a successful conclusion; (2) their interests are not in any way antagonistic to those of the other class members; and (3) they are represented by counsel experienced in litigating employment discrimination claims and class actions.
- 15. Propriety of Maintenance of Class Action Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2). Class action status is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Dell has acted and/or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making declaratory and final injunctive relief appropriate. Such generally applicable grounds consist of the adoption and/or maintenance by Dell of a common, company-wide policy or practice of gender discrimination in employment to which each member of the class has been subjected. This relief would predominate over monetary relief

COUNT I

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF GENDER – CLASS CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

- 16. Plaintiff restates and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint as though set forth here in full.
- 17. Plaintiffs and members of the class are employees of Dell within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e) et seq.

- 18. The discriminatory policies or practices of Dell, as set forth in this Complaint, have denied Plaintiffs and members of the class their right to equal employment opportunity in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e) et seq.
- 19. Dell has adopted and/or maintained a system-wide policy or practice of gender discrimination by, among other things, denying Plaintiffs and members of the class equal opportunities as described above.
- 20. Dell has adopted and/or maintained subjective employment practices, including but not limited to, subjective and disparate practices for assessing and evaluating the ability and potential of their female professional employees that have had the adverse impact of denying female professional employees equal career advancement and other employment opportunities on account of their gender.
- 21. By reason of Dell's discriminatory policies or practices as set forth in this Complaint, Plaintiffs and members of the class have suffered damages including, but not limited to, past and future loss of income and benefits and promotional opportunities. Plaintiffs and members of the class are threatened with further injury and loss which are irreparable in nature and for which they have no adequate remedy at law.

ALTERNATIVE COUNT II

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF GENDER AND RETALIATION— INDIVIDUAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF (HUBLEY)

- 22. In the alternative and in the event that this Court does not determine that class certification is appropriate, Plaintiff Jill Hubley asserts the following individual claim of discrimination and retaliation.
- 23. Plaintiff restates and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint as though set forth here in full.
- 24. Plaintiff has experienced gender discrimination and retaliation with respect to the failure of Dell to promote her to Grade Level D3, with respect to her compensation and benefits, with respect to the termination of her employment pursuant to Dell's purported "job elimination" and with respect to the failure of Dell to allow her to laterally transfer or be hired into other available positions for which she was qualified. In addition, Dell engaged in illegal retaliation against Plaintiff because she complained of sexual discrimination and harassment at Dell.
- 25. Dell's wrongful conduct towards Plaintiff was intentional, malicious, deliberate, willful and oppressive, and was carried out with reckless and callous disregard for her rights.
- 26. By reason of Dell's discriminatory and unlawful employment practices as set forth in this Complaint, Plaintiff has suffered damage including, but not limited to,

lost income, lost benefits, embarrassment, emotional distress, physical injury, humiliation, indignity and a reduced quality of life.

ALTERNATIVE COUNT III

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF GENDER-INDIVIDUAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF (GUENTHER)

- 27. In the alternative and in the event that this Court does not determine that class certification is appropriate, Plaintiff Laura Guenther asserts the following individual claim of discrimination.
- 28. Plaintiff restates and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as though set forth here in full.
- 29. Plaintiff has experienced gender discrimination with respect to the failure of Dell to promote her to Grade Level D3 and with respect to her compensation and benefits.
- 30. Dell's wrongful conduct towards Plaintiff was intentional, malicious, deliberate, willful and oppressive, and was carried out with reckless and callous disregard for her rights.
- 31. By reason of Dell's discriminatory and unlawful employment practices as set forth in this Complaint, Plaintiff has suffered damage including, but not limited to, lost income, lost benefits, embarrassment, emotional distress, physical injury, humiliation, indignity and a reduced quality of life.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray:

- 1. That this case be maintained as a class action on behalf of the proposed class; that Plaintiffs be designated as representatives of the class, and that their counsel of record be designated as Class Counsel;
- 2. That the practices of Defendant complained of herein be determined and adjudged to be in violation of the rights of Plaintiffs and the members of the class under Title VII;
- 3. That a permanent prohibitory injunction be issued prohibiting Defendant, its officers, agents, employees and successors from engaging in the employment practices complained of herein;
- 4. That a permanent mandatory injunction be issued requiring that Defendant adopt employment practices in accord with the requirements of Title VII prohibiting gender discrimination in employment;
- 5. That judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiffs and the members of the class set forth herein, and against Defendant, for back pay (including interest or an appropriate inflation factor), front pay, benefits and all other amounts owed to Plaintiffs and the members of the class;
- 6. That Plaintiffs be awarded compensatory and punitive damages for their individual claims in the event the that this Court does not determine that class certification is appropriate;

- That the Plaintiffs and members of the class be awarded such other and 7. further legal and equitable relief as may be found appropriate and as the court may deem just or equitable;
- That the Plaintiffs and members of the class be awarded costs including, 8. but not limited to, attorneys' fees, experts' fees, and other costs and expenses of this litigation; and
- That the Court retain jurisdiction over Defendant until such time as it is 9. satisfied that it has remedied the practices complained of and it determined to be in full compliance with the law.

Jury Demand

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues triable of right by jury.

Dated: March 9, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

HANCE SCARBOROUGH, LLP 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500 Austin, TX 78701 (512) 479-8888

(512) 482-6891 Fax

By: ____ Geoffrey D. Weisbart State Bar No. 21102645 gweisbart@hslawmail.com Terry L. Scarborough State Bar No. 17716000 tscarborough@hslawmail.com Tonia L. Lucio State Bar No. 00793080

tlucio@hslawmail.com

Julie A. Springer State Bar No. 18966770 ispringer@scottdoug.com Steve McConnico State Bar No. 13450300 smcconmico@scottdoug.com Chris Sileo State Bar No. 24027977 csileo@scottdoug.com Jennifer Knauth State Bar No. 12394680 iknauth@scottdoug.com SCOTT, DOUGLASS & McCONNICO, L.L.P. 600 Congress Avenue, Suite 1500 Austin, Texas 78701-2589 (512) 495-6300 (512) 474-0731 Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS JILL HUBLEY AND LAURA GUENTHER, ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been forwarded to all counsel of record herein by way of:

	U.S. Mail, First Class
	Certified Mail (return receipt requested)
	Facsimile
	Federal Express
	Hand Delivery
\boxtimes	ECF

on this 9th day of March, 2009, to-wit:

Jason Boulette (Bar No. 90001846)
BOULETTE & GOLDEN LLP
1221 S. McPac Evergagyyay

1221 S. MoPac Expressway 3 Barton Skyway, Suite 300

Austin, Texas 78746 Phone: 512.732.8901 Fax: 512.732.8905

E-mail: jason@boulettegolden.com

Michael Burkhardt (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Anne Martinez (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1701 Market Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

Phone: 215.963.5000 Fax: 215.963.5001

E-mail: mburkhardt@morganlewis.com aemartinez@morganlewis.com

Geoffrey D. Weisbart

SBN 20793080