

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIKESHA MARTINEZ, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,
Defendants.

No. C 09-02306 CW
ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT
SANCTIONS AND
ATTORNEYS' FEES

On July 24, 2009, the Court issued an order further clarifying the injunction issued on June 26, 2009. In the July 24 order, the Court noted that Plaintiffs' motion for civil contempt sanctions and attorneys' fees would be taken under submission. Although State Defendants were less than compliant with the Court's previous efforts to enjoin the implementation of California Welfare and Institutions Code § 12306.1(d)(6), it appears that they have complied with the Court's most recent order. After considering State Defendants' conduct thus far, the Court does not find it appropriate to order civil contempt sanctions at this time. Docket No. 181. Further, the Court denies without prejudice Plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees. Id.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/9/09



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge