Case4:09-cv-02306-CW Document244 Filed11/30/09 Page1 of 15

1	Michael G. Woods, # 58683 Timothy J. Buchanan, # 100409	(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)	
2	McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP		
3	P.O. Box 28912 5 River Park Place East		
4	Fresno, CA 93720-1501 Telephone: (559) 433-1300		
5	Facsimile: (559) 433-2300		
6	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF FRESNO and FRESNO COUNTY IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE		
7	SERVICES PUBLIC AUTHORITY		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND DIVISION		
11			
12	SIA CHUE YANG, et al.,	Case No. C 09-02306 CW	
13	Plaintiffs,	ANSWER OF FRESNO COUNTY AND	
14	v.	FRESNO COUNTY IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PUBLIC	
15	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor of the State of California; JOHN	AUTHORITY TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE	
16	A. WAGNER, Director of the California Department of Social Services; DAVID	RELIEF AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
17 18	MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of the California Department of Health Care Services; JOHN CHIANG, California State		
19	Controller; FRESNO COUNTY; and FRESNO COUNTY IN-HOME		
	SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PUBLIC		
20 21	AUTHORITY, Defendants.		
22	Detendants.		
	Come now Defendants FRESNO	COLINTY and ERESNO COLINTY IN-HOME	
23	Come now, Defendants FRESNO COUNTY and FRESNO COUNTY IN-HOME		
24	SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PUBLIC AUTHORITY (hereinafter "Fresno County"), and		
25	responding to Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (hereinafter referred to as		
26	"Complaint") in the above-captioned action, admit, deny, and allege as follows:		
27			
28 stow,	///		
0	1		

MCCORMICK, BARSTOW SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 5 RIVER PARK PLACE EAST FRESNO, CA 93720-1501

2

4

5

7

8

6

10

9

1112

14

13

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2425

26

2728

MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP

RIVER PARK PLACE EAST

INTRODUCTION

- 1. In answer to Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 2. In response to paragraph 2, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 3. In response to paragraph 3, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 12306.1(d)(6) speaks for itself, but to the extent that it would be possible to respond to the allegations stated or implied therein, Fresno County denies them.
- 4. In answer to Paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 5. In answer to Paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 6. In answer to Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.

JURISDICTION

- 7. In response to paragraph 7, Fresno County admits that Plaintiffs have filed this action under the listed provisions of law.
- 8. In response to paragraph 8, Fresno County admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under the mentioned statutes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

9. In answer to Paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required.

VENUE

10. In answer to Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them. Further, Fresno County contends that venue is proper, as to the claims asserted against it, in the Eastern District of California.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

- 11. In answer to Paragraph 11 of Plaintiffs' Complaint, to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them. Further, Fresno County contends that venue is proper, as to the claims asserted against it, in the Eastern District of California.
- 12. In response to Paragraph 12, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denIES generally and specifically the allegations.
- 13. In response to Paragraph 13, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 14. In response to Paragraph 14, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 15. In response to Paragraph 15, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 16. In response to Paragraph 16, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally

26

27

28

ER PARK PLACE EAST RESNO CA 93720-1501

and specifically the allegations.

- 17. In response to Paragraph 17, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 18. In response to Paragraph 18, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 19. In response to Paragraph 19, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 20. In response to Paragraph 20, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 21. In response to Paragraph 21, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 22. In response to Paragraph 22, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 23. In response to Paragraph 23, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 24. In response to Paragraph 24, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.
- 25. In response to Paragraph 25, Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies generally and specifically the allegations.

- 26. In response to Paragraph 26, Fresno County admits that some IHSS providers earn wages greater than \$9.50 per hour and benefits greater than \$.60 per hour. Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the remaining allegations.
- 27. In response to paragraph 27, Fresno County admits that Arnold Schwarzenegger is the Governor of the State of California.
- 28. In response to Paragraph 28, Fresno County admits that John Wagner is the Director of the California Department of Social Services (DSS). Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies them.
- 29. In response to Paragraph 29, Fresno County admits that Defendant David Maxwell-Jolly is the Director of the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS). Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein, and on that basis, denies them.
- 30. In response to Paragraph 30, Fresno County admits that Defendant John Chiang is the California State Controller. Fresno County lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein and on that basis denies them.
- 31. In response to paragraph 31, Fresno County admits the allegations contained therein.
- 32. In response to paragraph 32, Fresno County admits that Fresno County In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority administers the IHSS program in Fresno county, but denies that it is solely responsible for determining the wages and benefits of Fresno County's IHSS providers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

33. In response to paragraph 33, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 12300(a) speaks for itself, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.

- 34. In response to paragraph 34, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 12300(b)-(c) speaks for itself, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 35. In response to paragraph 35, Fresno County admits the allegations contained therein.
- 36. In response to paragraph 36, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 12301.2 speaks for itself, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 37. In response to paragraph 37, Fresno County admits that the majority of IHSS providers are related to the person they serve. With regard to the remaining allegations, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis generally and specifically denies them.
- 38. In response to paragraph 38, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code sections 12306(b)-(c) and 12306.1(c)-(d) speak for themselves, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required.
- 39. In response to paragraph 39, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 12306.1(d)(5) speaks for itself, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required.
- 40. In response to paragraph 40, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 12301.6(a)(2), (c)(1) speaks for itself, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
 - 41. In response to paragraph 41, Fresno County admits the Collective Bargaining

Agreements may determine the level of wages and benefits to IHSS workers in some counties and that some Collective Bargaining Agreements are renegotiated every two or three years. Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained therein and on that basis denies them.

- 42. In response to paragraph 42, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 4132.95(j)(2)(A)(i) speaks for itself, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 43. In response to paragraph 43, Fresno County responds that the document speaks for itself, but to the extent that it would be possible to respond to the allegations stated or implied in paragraph 43, Fresno County denies them.
- 44. In response to paragraph 44, Fresno County responds that Welfare and Institutions Code section 12306.1(a)(b) speaks for itself, and to the extent it contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 45. In response to paragraph 45, Fresno County admits that the California Legislature enacted Welfare and Institutions Code section 12306.1(d)(6). However, as to the remaining allegations, the Senate Bill speaks for itself, but to the extent that it would be possible to respond to the allegations stated or implied in paragraph 45, Fresno County denies them.
- 46. In response to paragraph 46, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny this allegation, and on that basis denies it.
- 47. In response to paragraph 47, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny this allegation, and on that basis denies it.
- 48. In response to paragraph 48, Fresno County admits that DSS issued an All-County Letter on or about April 2, 2009 which speaks for itself, but to the extent that it would be possible to respond to the allegations stated or implied in paragraph 47, Fresno County denies them.

- 49. In response to paragraph 49, Fresno County states that the letter speaks for itself and admits that the letter was issued, but to the extent that it would be possible to respond to the allegations stated or implied in paragraph 49, Fresno County denies them.
- 50. In response to paragraph 50, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 51. In response to paragraph 51, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 52. In response to paragraph 52, Fresno County admits the allegations contained therein but has since taken steps consistent with the Court's Order to rescind the requested rate reduction.
- 53. In response to paragraph 53, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 54. In response to paragraph 54, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 55. In response to paragraph 55, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 56. In response to paragraph 56, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 57. In response to paragraph 57, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 58. In response to paragraph 58, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 59. In response to paragraph 59, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 60. In response to paragraph 60, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 61. In response to paragraph 61, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.

62. In response to paragraph 62, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 63. In response to paragraph 63, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 64. In response to paragraph 64, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny this allegation, and on that basis generally and specifically denies it.
- 65. In response to paragraph 65, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny this allegation, and on that basis generally and specifically denies it.
- 66. In response to paragraph 66 and its subparts, Fresno County responds that the allegations contain legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 67. In response to paragraph 67, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 68. In response to paragraph 68, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 69. In response to paragraph 69, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 70. In response to paragraph 70, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
 - 71. In response to paragraph 71, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains

27

legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.

- 72. In response to paragraph 72, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 73. In response to paragraph 73, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 74. In response to paragraph 74, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 75. In response to paragraph 75, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 76. In response to paragraph 76, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 77. In response to paragraph 77, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 78. In response to paragraph 78, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 79. In response to paragraph 79, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 80. In response to paragraph 80, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations

RESNO CA 93720-1501

Cas
contaii
require
that su
to form
require that su to form
set for
inclusi
Disabi
conclu

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

81. In response to paragraphs 81 through 86, Fresno County states that it is not required to respond to these allegations because the claim is not asserted against it. To the extent that such response is deemed necessary, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

82. In response to paragraphs 87 through 92, Fresno County states that it is not required to respond to these allegations because the claim is not asserted against it. To the extent that such response is deemed necessary, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 83. In response to paragraph 93, Fresno County incorporates by reference as if fully set forth therein, each and every admission, denial and allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 92 inclusive.
- 84. In response to paragraph 94, Fresno County responds that the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12132, speaks for itself. To the extent this allegation contains legal conclusions, no admission or denial is required.
- 85. In response to paragraph 95, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required.
- 86. In response to paragraph 96, Fresno County responds it lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 87. In response to paragraph 97, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.
- 88. In response to paragraph 98, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations, and on that basis denies them.

- 89. In response to paragraph 99, Fresno County responds that the allegations contain legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County denies the allegations contained therein insofar as they address IHSS providers and recipients of IHSS care in Fresno County. Fresno County lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and on that basis denies them.
- 90. In response to paragraph 100, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County denies the allegations contained therein.
- 91. In response to paragraph 101, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County denies the allegations contained therein.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 92. In response to paragraph 102, Fresno County incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein, each and every admission, denial and allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 101, inclusive.
- 93. In response to paragraph 103, Fresno County responds that Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. §794(a) speaks for itself.
- 94. In response to paragraph 104, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required.
- 95. In response to paragraph 105, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and on that basis denies them.
- 96. In response to paragraph 106, Fresno County denies, generally and specifically, the allegations contained therein.
- 97. In response to paragraph 107, Fresno County lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and on that basis denies them.
- 98. In response to paragraph 108, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County denies the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies them.

1	99. In response to paragraph 109, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains		
2	legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County		
3	denies the allegations contained therein.		
4	100. In response to paragraph 110, Fresno County responds that the allegation contains		
5	legal conclusions, so that no admission or denial is required. Except as so limited, Fresno County		
6	denies the allegations contained therein.		
7	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
8	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
9	As a First Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that Plaintiffs have failed to state a		
10	claim upon which relief may be granted.		
11	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
12	As a Second Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that Plaintiffs lack standing to		
13	bring this action.		
14	THIRD SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
15	As and for a Third Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that any attempt to restrict		
16	Fresno County from reducing the wages paid to IHSS providers as provided for in the Collective		
17	Bargaining Agreement lawfully negotiated and entered into by Fresno County and SEIU-UH-		
18	West violates due process protections accorded to Fresno County and would violate California		
19	Welfare & Institution Code Section 12302 which permits local governments to contract with		
20	private individuals and entities to implement IHSS programs at the County level.		
21	FOURTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	As and for a Fourth Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that any attempts by		
23	Plaintiffs to prevent Fresno County from reducing wages to IHSS providers in accordance with		
24	the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding between Fresno County and SEIU-UHW-West,		
25	violates the doctrine of Home Rule.		
26	FIFTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
27	As and for a Fifth Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that at all times mentioned		
28 row,	herein, Fresno County acted in good faith and with a reasonable belief as to the legalities of the 13		
E &	ANSWER OF FRESNO COUNTY AND FRESNO COUNTY IN HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PURLIC AUTHORITY TO PLAINTIEFS!		

1 things and matters attributed to Fresno County and that as a consequence thereof, no liability 2 should be imposed on Fresno County. 3 SIXTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 As and for a Sixth Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that Fresno County has an 5 independent basis on which to reduce wages to IHSS providers as provided for in the 6 Memorandum of Understanding between Fresno County In-Home Supportive Services Public 7 Authority and SEIU-UHW-West. 8 SEVENTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 9 As and for a Seventh Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that Plaintiffs' Complaint is barred to the extent it attempts to interfere with the Memorandum of Understanding 10 11 between Fresno County In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority and SEIU-UHW-West. 12 EIGHTH SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 13 As and for a Eighth Affirmative Defense, Fresno County asserts that any wage reduction it 14 may implement is substantially justified. 15 NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE As and for an Ninth affirmative defense, Fresno County asserts that Plaintiffs' claims are 16 17 barred by Plaintiffs' failure to exhaust the grievance procedure set forth in the Memorandum of 18 Understanding between Fresno County In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority and SEIU-19 UHW-West. 20 **PRAYER** 21 WHEREFORE, Fresno County prays judgment as follows: 22 1. That the Complaint of Plaintiffs against Fresno County herein be 23 dismissed: 24 2. That Plaintiffs take nothing by reason of the Complaint; 25 3. That Plaintiffs be granted no relief in this action; 26 That Fresno County has judgment against Plaintiffs; 4. 27 5. That Fresno County recovers costs of suit incurred herein; 28 6. That Fresno County recovers reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to State

Case4:09-cv-02306-CW Document244 Filed11/30/09 Page15 of 15

1	and Federal law; and,
2	7. For such other relief as the court may deem just and proper.
3	<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>
4	Defendants FRESNO COUNTY and FRESNO COUNTY IN-HOME
5	SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PUBLIC AUTHORITY hereby reaffirms its demand for a trial by
6	jury in the aforementioned action.
7	
8	Dated: November 30, 2009 McCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
9	WITTE & CHICKOTTI ELI
10	By:/s/ Michael G. Woods
11	Michael G. Woods Timothy J. Buchanan
12	Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF FRESNO and FRESNO
13	COUNTY IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PUBLIC AUTHORITY
14	
15	1469406.v1
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ARSTOW, AYTE &	15 ANSWER OF FRESNO COUNTY AND FRESNO COUNTY IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PUBLIC AUTHORITY TO PLAINTIFFS'

MCCORMICK, BARSTOW SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP 5 RIVER PARK PLACE EAST FRESNO, CA 93720-1501