## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

MARION WATERS,	)
Plaintiff,	)
<b>v.</b>	) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:07-CV-00394-LSC
COOK'S PEST CONTROL, INC.,	)
Defendant.	

## **DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

COMES NOW the defendant in the above-styled action, Cook's Pest Control, Inc. ("defendant" or "Cook's"), and respectfully submits this motion for summary judgment and, pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, moves this Court to enter an order granting summary judgment in its favor as to all claims asserted by plaintiff Marion Waters against Cook's in this complaint, on behalf of himself and on behalf of the alleged class, because there is no genuine issue of material fact, and Cook's is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law. More specifically, and in support of its motion, Cook's states as follows:

1. Under applicable federal summary judgment standards, summary judgment is warranted in this case because the undisputed factual evidence and federal substantive law foreclose the plaintiff from recovering against Cook's.

- 2. The plaintiff cannot prevail on his claims for the following reasons:
- Plaintiff has failed to prove a *prima facie* case of disparate treatment race discrimination in the failure to hire him to a position of employment, because plaintiff has not shown that he applied or was considered for an available position with the Birmingham North District office with which he applied.
- Plaintiff has failed to prove a *prima facie* case of pattern and practice disparate treatment race discrimination in the failure to hire him to a position of employment, because plaintiff has not shown that he applied or was considered for an available position with the Birmingham North District office with which he applied. Furthermore, he has failed to produce any evidence that Cook's engaged in race discrimination towards him or any other individuals.
- Plaintiff has failed to prove a *prima facie* case of disparate impace race discrimination in the failure to hire him to a position of employment, because plaintiff has not shown that any of the policies, practices, or procedures that he alleges to have a disparate impact caused him, or anyone else, any individual harm.
- Plaintiff has no standing to bring his claims on behalf of the individuals in his alleged Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23 class, because he cannot establish a *prima facie* case regarding any of these allegations.
- 3. Cook's motion is based on admissible portions of:
  - (A) The pleadings;
  - (B) Affidavits (with exhibits);
  - (C) Other authenticated evidence;
  - (D) Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant's Interrogatories and Requests for Production; and

(E) Cook's Brief in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment as to claims alleged by Plaintiff Marion Waters.

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Cook's respectfully requests this Court to grant summary judgment on all claims alleged by Marion Waters against Cook's in this complaint, certify the judgment as final under Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and grant costs in favor of the defendant.

/s/ Mac B. Greaves

Mac B. Greaves Ronald W. Flowers, Jr.

Attorneys for Defendant | COOK'S PEST CONTROL, INC.

Burr & Forman, LLP 3400 Wachovia Tower 420 20<sup>th</sup> Street North Birmingham, AL 35203 (205) 251-3000

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 5th of June, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing Motion with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Thomas F. Campbell Raymond Bronner Campbell Law Concourse at Riverchase 100 Concourse Parkway, Suite 115 Birmingham, AL 35244

Samuel Mark Hill The Law Office of Sam Hill, L.L.C. 2117 Magnolia Avenue South, Suite 100 Birmingham, AL 35205

Samuel Fisher Wiggins, Childs, Quinn & Pantazis, LLC The Kress Building 301 19th Street North Birmingham, AL 35203

> /s/ Mac B. Greaves OF COUNSEL