1	James M. Finberg (SBN 114850)	
2	Eve H. Cervantez (SBN 164709)	
2	Rebekah B. Evenson (SBN 207825) ALTSHULER BERZON LLP	
3	177 Post Street, Suite 300	
4	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 421-7151	
5	Facsimile: (415) 362-8064	
3	E-Mail: jfinberg@altshulerberzon.com E-Mail: ecervantez@altshulerberzon.com	
6	E-Mail: revenson@altshulerberzon.com	
7	Kelly M. Dermody (SBN 171716)	
8	Jahan C. Sagafi (SBN 224887) LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &	
	BERNSTEIN, LLP	
9	275 Battery Street, 30 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
10	Telephone: (415) 956-1000	
11	Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 E-Mail: kdermody@lchb.com	
12	E-Mail: jsagafi@lchb.com	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and proposed Classes	
13	Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page of Motion for Preliminary Settlement Approval	
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
15	UNITED STATES DE NORTHERN DISTRIC	
	OAKLAND	
16	JUANITA WYNNE and DANTE BYRD,	Case No. C-06-3153 CW
17	on behalf of themselves and classes of those similarly situated,	REVISED ORDER (1)
18		PRELIMINARILY APPROVING
19	Plaintiffs,	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE; (2)
	v.	PROVISIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASSES; (3)
20	MCCORMICK & SCHMICK'S	APPROVING AND DIRECTING
21	SEAFOOD RESTAURANTS, INC. and MCCORMICK & SCHMICK	DISTRIBUTION OF NOTICE OF THE SETTLEMENT; AND (4) SETTING A
22	RESTAURANT CORP.,	SCHEDULE FOR THE FINAL
	Defendants.	SETTLEMENT APPROVAL PROCESS
23	Detendants.	DATE: A 112 2000
24		DATE: April 3, 2008 TIME: 2:00 p.m.
25		PLACE: Courtroom 2, 4th Floor
26		JUDGE: Hon. Claudia Wilken
		•
27		
28		

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Having reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion for an Order (1) Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement and Proposed Consent Decree; (2) Provisionally Certifying Settlement Classes; (3) Approving and Directing Distribution of Notice of the Settlement; and (4) Setting a Schedule for the Final Settlement Approval Process, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, the Declaration of James M. Finberg in support thereof, the proposed Consent Decree, Notice and Claim Form, and the arguments of counsel, along with the files and records of this case, the Court now FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows:

I. PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASSES

- A. For settlement purposes, the Parties have proposed provisional certification of the following settlement classes (terms defined in the proposed Consent Decree attached hereto as Exhibit 1):
- 1. For purposes of the injunctive and declaratory relief provided in the Decree, an injunctive-relief settlement class certified under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(2) and consisting of "All African Americans employed by McCormick & Schmick's in Front of the House or Back of the House positions between May 15, 2002 and the date the Decree terminates;" ("Front of the House" is defined in Section Section III(O) of the Decree; "Back of the House" is defined in Section III (C) of the Decree).
- 2. For purposes of the monetary relief provided in the Decree, a monetary relief settlement class certified under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) and consisting of: "All African Americans employed by McCormick & Schmick's in Front of the House or Back of the House positions between May 15, 2002 and the date of the entry of this order, except those who file a timely request to opt out of the monetary relief provisions of the Decree."
- B. The injunctive relief and monetary relief settlement classes allege claims for race discrimination brought under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (both disparate impact and disparate treatment), and 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and those class members who worked in California during the class period allege violations of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.
 - C. The Court hereby provisionally FINDS and CONCLUDES that the injunctive relief

settlement class set forth above satisfies all of the requirements for certification under Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(2). The class is sufficiently numerous (approximately 3,000 persons) that joinder is impracticable. The members of the class share common issues of fact and law regarding (1) whether McCormick & Schmick's employment policies and practices were intentionally discriminatory and/or had an adverse impact on African Americans; and (2) whether Title VII or Section 1981 have been violated. Juanita Wynne's claims are typical of those of the class she represents because they arise out of the same policies, practices and course of conduct alleged on behalf of all class members. Juanita Wynne is an adequate representative of the class she represents, because her interests are co-extensive with those of class members, and she has retained experienced counsel to represent the class. Plaintiffs are seeking meaningful declaratory and injunctive relief applicable to the class as a whole. Accordingly, the Court hereby provisionally CERTIFIES the injunctive-relief settlement class under Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(2).

D. The Court also hereby provisionally FINDS and CONCLUDES that the monetary relief settlement class described above satisfies all of the requirements for certification under Rule 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(2). As discussed in Paragraph 3, above, the requirements of Rule 23(a) - numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy appear to be satisfied. In addition, the Court provisionally concludes that the monetary relief settlement class satisfies the requirements for certification under Rule 23(b)(3). Questions of law or fact common to the class predominate over individualized issues, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Because certification of the monetary relief settlement class is proposed in the context of a settlement, the Court need not inquire whether the case, if tried as a class action, would present intractable management problems. Accordingly, the Court provisionally CERTIFIES the monetary-relief settlement class as set forth above, under Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3).

II. APPOINTMENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVES AND CLASS COUNSEL

A. The Court finds that Class Representative Juanita Wynne has claims typical of class members and is an adequate representative of the class members. The Court appoints her to

/

^1

serve as Class Representatives of both the injunctive relief and monetary relief classes.

B. The Court finds that Altshuler Berzon LLP; Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP; the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area; Thomas A. Warren Law Offices; Lewis, Feinberg, Lee, Renaker & Jackson, P.C.; and Kingsley & Kinsgsley have extensive experience and expertise in prosecuting employment discrimination class action cases. The Court appoints these firms as Class Counsel for both the injunctive relief and monetary relief classes.

III. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CONSENT DECREE

A. The Court has reviewed the terms of the [Proposed] Consent Decree attached as Exhibit 1, including specifically the injunctive relief provisions and the plan of allocation. The Court also reviewed the Motion papers and the declaration of James M. Finberg, which describe Class Counsel's legal and factual investigation, and the settlement mediation process. Based on review of those papers, and the Court's familiarity with this case, the Court concludes that the settlement and Consent Decree are the result of extensive, arms' length negotiations between the Parties after Class Counsel had investigated the class claims and became familiar with the strengths and weakness of plaintiffs' case. The assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process confirms that the settlement is non-collusive. Based on that review, and the Court's familiarity with the issues in the case, the Court concludes that the proposed Consent Decree has no obvious defects and is within the range of possible settlement approval, such that notice to the Class is appropriate.

B. It is therefore ORDERED that:

- 1. The [Proposed] Consent Decree and the settlement it embodies are hereby PRELIMINARILY APPROVED. Final approval and entry of the Consent Decree is subject to the hearing of any objections of members of the Settlement Class to the proposed settlement embodied in the Consent Decree.
- 2. Pending determination of the fairness of the Consent Decree, all further litigation of this action is hereby STAYED.

IV.

APPROVAL OF THE FORM AND MANNER OF DISTRIBUTING CLASS NOTICE AND CLAIM FORM

A. The Parties have also submitted for this Court's approval a proposed Class Notice and a proposed Claim Form, which the Court has carefully reviewed. The Court finds and concludes as follows:

- 1. The proposed Class Notice is the best notice practical under the circumstances and allows Class Members a full and fair opportunity to consider the proposed Settlement. The proposed plan for distributing the Class Notice and Claim Form, which are attached as Exhibits 2 and 3 hereto, likewise is a reasonable method calculated to reach all members of the Class who would be bound by the Settlement. Under this plan, the Claims Administrator will distribute the Class Notice and Claim Form to Class Members, all of whom are current or former employees of the Company, by first class U.S. Mail to their last known addresses, as updated by the USPS NCOA service. There is no additional method of distribution that would be reasonably likely to notify Class Members who may not receive notice pursuant to the proposed distribution plan.
- 2. The Class Notice fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably informs Class Members of: (1) the nature of this litigation, the settlement class, the identity of Class Counsel, and the essential terms of the Settlement and Decree, including injunctive relief and the plan of allocation; (2) Class Counsel's forthcoming application for attorneys' fees, the proposed service payments to the Class Representative, and other payments that will be deducted from the settlement fund; (3) how to participate in the Settlement; (4) this Court's procedures for final approval of the Settlement Agreement and Settlement, and about class members' right to appear through counsel if they desire; (5) how to challenge or opt-out of the Settlement, if they wish to do so; and (6) how to obtain additional information regarding this litigation, the Settlement, and the Decree.
- 3. Similarly, the proposed Notice and Claim Form allow members of the Settlement Classes a full and fair opportunity to submit a claim for proceeds in connection with the Settlement. The Notice and Claim Form fairly, accurately, and reasonably inform Settlement

26

27

28

2. To be effective, any opt-out statement must be sent to the Claims

Administrator postmarked no later than 60 days after the date that the Claims Administrator first
mails the Class Notice to the Class. Only those class members who request exclusion in the time
and manner set forth herein shall be excluded from the class for monetary relief purposes.

25

26

27

28

- 3. The Claims Administrator shall stamp the date received on the original of any opt-out statement and serve copies on Class Counsel and counsel for McCormick & Schmick's no later than two business days after receipt of such statement. Class Counsel will file the date-stamped originals with the Clerk of the Court no later than five (5) business days prior to the date of the Fairness Hearing
- 4. Class members shall be permitted to withdraw or rescind their opt-out statements by submitting a "rescission of opt-out" statement to the Claims Administrator. The rescission of opt-out statement shall include the following language:

I previously submitted an Opt-out statement seeking exclusion from the class monetary settlement. I have reconsidered and wish to withdraw my Opt-out statement. I understand that by rescinding my Opt-out I may be eligible to receive an award from the claims settlement fund and may not bring a separate legal action against McCormick & Schmick's seeking damages with respect to the Released Claims. I further understand that in order to receive an award from the claims settlement fund, I must submit a complete and timely claim form.

To be effective, any opt-out recission statement must sent to the Claims Administrator postmarked no later than the deadline for the claims filing period specified herein.

- 5. The Claims Administrator shall stamp the date received on the original of any rescission of opt-out statement and serve copies on Class Counsel and counsel for McCormick & Schmick's no later than two business days after receipt of such statement. Class Counsel will file the date-stamped originals with the Clerk of the Court no later than five (5) business days prior to the date of the Fairness Hearing.
 - C. Defendant's Right to Rescind Agreement

McCormick & Schmick's shall have the unilateral right to revoke the Consent Decree prior to the Settlement Effective Date if five percent (5%) or more of the Class Members opt-out of the monetary relief provisions of the Consent Decree and do not rescind their opt-out statements. To exercise this option, the Company must inform Class Counsel that it will revoke the Consent Decree within 10 business days of the deadline for postmarking opt out statements.

In the event that McCormick & Schmick's exercises its unilateral right to revoke the Consent Decree pursuant to this section, all monies in the Settlement Fund, and all income earned thereon, shall be immediately returned to the entity that funded the Settlement Fund.

D. Deadline for Filing Objections to Settlement and [Proposed] Consent Decree Class members objecting to the terms of the settlement must do so in writing. To be effective, any objection must be sent to the Claims Administrator postmarked no later than 60 days after the date that the Claims Administrator first mails the Class Notice to the Class. The Claims Administrator will record the date of receipt of the objection and forward it to both McCormick & Schmick's and Class Counsel within two (2) business days following receipt.

Class Counsel will file the original objections with the Clerk of the Court no later than ten (10) days prior to the scheduled Fairness Hearing date. The Claims Administrator shall retain copies of all written objections until such time as it has completed its duties and responsibilities under this Decree.

E. Deadline for Submitting Claims Forms

A Class Member who does not opt out will be eligible to receive his or her proportionate share of the settlement benefit. To receive this share, such a Class Member must properly and timely complete a Claim Form in accordance with the terms of the Consent Decree. To be effective, the Claim Form must be sent to the Claims Administrator postmarked no later than 70 days after the initial mailing of the Class Notice to class members. Settlement Class members who do not file timely and valid Claim Forms shall nonetheless be bound by the judgment and release in this action as set forth in the proposed Consent Decree, unless that Settlement Class member timely opts out of the Settlement.

It shall be the sole responsibility of each member of the Settlement Class who seeks a monetary award to notify the Claims Administrator if the class member changes his or her address. Failure of a Settlement Class member to keep the Claims Administrator apprised of his or her address may result in the claim being denied or forfeited.

F. Deadline for Submitting Motion Seeking Final Approval

Case4:06-cv-03153-CW Document82 Filed04/04/08 Page10 of 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

No later than 35 days before the Fairness Hearing, Plaintiffs shall file a Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement and Consent Decree. On or before one week before the Fairness Hearing, the Parties may file with the Court a reply brief responding to any filed objections. G. Deadline for Petition for Attorneys Fees Class Counsel shall file with this Court their petition for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses no later than 35 days before the Fairness Hearing. Class Counsel may file a reply to any opposition to memorandum filed by any objector no later than one week before the Fairness Hearing. H. Deadline for Petition for Approval of Service Payments Class Counsel shall file with this Court their petition for an award of service payments to the Class Representatives no later than 35 days before the Fairness Hearing. Class Counsel may file a reply to any opposition memorandum filed by any objector no later than one week before the Fairness Hearing. VI. PLAINTIFFS' AND CLASS MEMBERS' RELEASE If, at the Fairness Hearing, this Court grants Final Approval to the Settlement and Consent Decree, each individual Settlement Class member who does not timely opt out will release their claims, as set forth in Consent Decree, by operation of this Court's entry of the Judgment and Final Approval, regardless of whether he or she submits a Claim Form or receives any share of the Settlement Fund. VII. APPOINTMENT OF CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR Rosenthal & Company, LLC, of Novato, California is hereby appointed Claims Administrator to carry out the duties set forth in this Order and the Consent Decree. IT IS SO ORDERED 4/4/08 Dated:

9

United States District Judge