1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California RICHARD T. WALDOW JENNIFER M. KIM LESLIE P. MCELROY Supervising Deputy Attorneys General TRACEY L. ANGELOPOULOS State Bar No. 220095 TARA L. NEWMAN State Bar No. 210960 CHARA L. CRANE Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2451 Fax: (213) 897-2805 E-mail: Tracey.Angelopoulos@doj.ca.g Attorneys for Defendant	ov
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	WESTERN DIVISION	
14		
15		CV10 04102 CIO (F.)
16	SIERRA MEDICAL SERVICES ALLIANCES, et al.,	CV10-04182 SJO (Ex)
17	Plaintiffs,	Honorable S. James Otero
18	v.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR STAY
19 20	DAVID MAXWELL-JOLLY, Director of the Department of Health Care Services of the State of California, CALIFORNIA	Date: August 9, 2010 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 1
21		Action Filed: June 4, 2010
22	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,	Tienon Tired. Julie 1, 2010
23	Defendants.	
24		
25	TO PLAINTIFFS AND THE AT	TORNEYS OF RECORD:
26	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 9, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. in	
27	Courtroom 1 of the above-entitled court, located at 312 N. Spring Street in Los	
28	Angeles, California, Defendants David Maxwell-Jolly (the Director), Director of	

the California Department of Health Care Services (the Department), and the Department will, and hereby do, move for an order staying this case pending resolution of the Director's Petitions for Certiorari in the United States Supreme Court in *Independent Living Center of Southern California, et al. v. Shewry*, U.S.S.C. Case No. 09-958, (*Independent Living*), and *California Pharmacists Association, et al. v. Maxwell-Jolly*, U.S.S.C. Case No. 09-1158.

Plaintiffs' complaint challenges California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 51527 (section 51527), which provides Medi-Cal reimbursement rates for medical transportation services. Plaintiffs contend section 51527 violates the Supremacy Clause because it was enacted without regard to the requirements of 42 U.S.C. section 1396a(a)(30)(A) (section 30(A)). However, the issue of whether private parties may enforce section 30(A) through a Supremacy Clause claim is currently pending before the United States Supreme Court in the Director's Petition for Certiorari in *Independent Living*. On May 24, 2010, the Supreme Court invited the Solicitor General to file a brief in *Independent Living*. Defendants seek to stay any new proceedings in this case while the Director's Petition for Writ of Certiorari in *Independent Living* is pending to preserve the resources of the Court and parties.

In addition, Plaintiffs have already litigated and lost the same issues presented in this case in a parallel state court proceeding, *Sierra Medical Services*, *Inc.*, *et al.* v. *Department of Health Care Services*, *et al.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS 114671. The state court proceeding is currently on appeal to the California Court of Appeal. This matter should be stayed under the *Colorado River* doctrine pending the outcome of the appeal. *Colorado River Water Conservation Dist.* v. *United States*, 424 U.S. 800, 817, 96 S. Ct. 1236, 47 L. Ed. 2d 483 (1976).

On July 1, 2010, and in accordance with Local Rule 7-19.1, Defendants gave notice to Plaintiffs' counsel of their intent to file an ex part application for an order

Case 2i10-cv-04182-CAS -MAN Document 19 Filed 07/07/10 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:178