2 3 4 5	County Counsel MICHAEL R. LINDEN Deputy County Counsel – State Bar No. 192485 2220 Tulare Street, Ste. 500 Fresno, California 93721 Telephone: (559) 600-3479 Facsimile: (559) 600-3480		
6 7 8	JOHN H. HAGAR, ESQ. – State Bar No. 80139 1809 "S" Street, Suite 101-215 Sacramento, California 95811 Telephone: (415) 215-2400		
9	COUNTY SHERIFF		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	JOHN B. CRUZ, et al.,	Case No. 1:93-cv-05070-MCE	
14	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED MODIFICATION RE JAIL	
15	VS.	CAPACITY; ORDER	
16 17	COUNTY OF FRESNO, et al.,		
17 18	Defendants.		
19			
20	Unanticipated changes in fact and law have arisen that require the parties to		
21	modify the terms of the Stipulation Re Permanent Injunction; Order filed February 25		
22	1994 ("Order"). Specifically:		
23	A. At the time of the Order, defendants were engaged in programs to expand the		
24	Fresno County jail system, including the construction of additional jail housing units.		
25	The expansion was completed, and the	e new housing unit s staffed and made	
26	operational. However, over the past seve	e ral years unanticipated and severe budget	

circumstances whereby defendant s have been unable to staff all of the housing units

shortfalls faced by t he State of Califor nia ("State"), and by defendants, created

27

28

1 KEVIN B. BRIGGS

23

24

25

26

27

28

in the Fresno County jail system. As a result, some housing units have been rendered unusable.

B. In response to serious budget shor tfalls, and in response to a United States Supreme Court decision affirming a thr ee-judge panel order requiring time-phased reductions of the inmate population in the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"), the State has effectuated an unanticipated change in the California law governing incarce ration responsibilities. Pursuant to recent legis lation commonly known as "realignment" (including Assembly Bills 109 and 117), the State has transferred responsibility for the incarcerat ion of certain classifications of offenders and parolees from state prison to county ja ils. However, questions have arisen concerning the availability of funding necessary to house these additional inmates in the Fresno County Jail. Furthermore, while the long term impact of realignment cannot yet be measured, serious initial problems have arisen because of: (a) the transfer of more inmates into the Fresno County Jail than the CDCR had estimated; (b) an increase in the average length of stay for inmates in the jail because of these transfers, thereby limiting the beds available for new book ings; (c) additional classi fication challenges created by the influx of former state prisoners; and (d) increased correctional and health care costs.

In addition, on July 14, 2011, the Fresno Co unty Superior Court filed a motion to intervene in this matter, seeking clarification of the term "capacities," as set forth in paragraphs 2.B. and 2.J. of the Order; and the term "capacity" as set forth in paragraph 3 of the Order. Specifically, the question was raised whether the term "capacity" should be defined as "design capacity" or "actual staffed capacity."

Because of the unanticipated changes of fact and law set forth above, the parties stipulate, pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Order, to modify the *Stipulation Re Permanent Injunction; Order* filed February 25, 1994 as follows:

1. The term "capacities," as set forth in paragraphs 2.B., and 2.J. of the Order, is defined as actual staffed capacities.

1	2. The term "capacity," as set forth in	paragraph 3 of the Order, is defined as
2	actual staffed capacity.	
3		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5	Dated: December 5, 2011	
6	KEVIN	B. BRIGGS
7	County	Counsel
8	By:	/s/ Michael Linden
9	Michael	R. Linden, Deputy
10	COUNTY	Attorney for Defendants OF FRESNO and
11		MARGARET MIMS, FRESNO COUNTY SHERIFF
12		OHERMI
13	Dated: December 5, 2011	
14		
15	Ву:	/s/ John Hagar
16		JOHN H. HAGAR Attorney for Defendants
17	COUNTY	OF FRESNO and
18		MARGARET MIMS, FRESNO COUNTY SHERIFF
19		
20	Dated: December 5, 2011	
21	Dated. December 3, 2011	
22	Ву:	/s/ Paul Comiskey
23		PAUL COMISKEY Attorney for Plaintiffs
24		•
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Modification re. Jail Capacity	Case No. 1:93-cv-05070-MCE
	woomoation to. Jail Oapaolty	0030 NO. 1.30-07-0007 0-1910L

1	Dated: December 5, 2011	
2		
3	Ву:	<u>/s/ Catherine Campbell</u> CATHERINE CAMPBELL
4		Attorney for Plaintiffs
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	D	
8	Dated: February 13, 2012	I lan OSS
9		MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR
10		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
20	I	