Supreme Judicial Court and Appeals Court of Massachusetts Public Case Information



Home

Bottom >

Case Search
Docket Number
Involved Party
Attorney Appearance
Lower Court
Lower Court Judge

SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT for the Commonwealth Case Docket

TODD J. ELIA-WARNKEN vs. RICHARD A. ELIA

SJC-11023

Court Calendars

P Help & Site Info

Helpful Links

Privacy Policy

CASE HEADER	CASE	HEADER
-------------	------	--------

Case StatusDecided (Full Opinion)Status Date07/26/2012NatureDomestic Relation/DivorceEntry Date07/26/2011AppellantPlaintiffCase TypeCivil

Brief Status Brief Due

QuorumIreland, C.J., Spina, Cordy, Botsford, Gants, Duffly, Lenk, JJ.Argued Date04/05/2012Decision Date07/26/2012AC/SJ Number2011-P-0069Citation463 Mass. 29DAR/FAR NumberLower Ct NumberWO09D0940DR

Lower Court Worcester Probate & Fam Lower Ct Judge Ronald W. King, J.

Route to SJC Sua Sponte Transfer from Appeals Court

INVOLVED PARTY

ATTORNEY APPEARANCE

Todd J. Elia-Warnken <u>Nicholas J. Plante</u>, Esquire

Plaintiff/Appellant

Blue br transferred w/case

Richard A. Elia Paige Dunmire Firment, Esquire

Defendant/Appellee Withdrawn

Red brief transferred w case <u>Karen L. Loewy</u>, Esquire

Vermont Family Law and Estate PI Hobart F. Popick, Esquire

Amicus

BRIEFS

<u>Appellant Warnken Brief</u> 🔎

Amicus Vermont Family Brief 🔊

Appellee Elia Brief 🔎

DOCKET ENTRIES

Entry Date Paper Entry Text

07/26/2011 #1 Entered. Notice to counsel.

07/26/2011 Transferred from Appeals Court: Appellant's brief and record appendix

(vol. 1) 4 copies each. Appellee's brief 4 copies. Amici Curiae's brief (4)

copies.

07/28/2011 #2 INTERIM ORDER: We transferred this case to this court on our own

motion. The report of the Probate and Family Court judge, however, is defective, as he failed to make an interlocutory ruling on the defendant's motion to dismiss. See G. L. c. 215, § 13; Mass. R. Dom. Rel. P. 64. See also Estate of Southwick, 88 Mass. App. Ct. 740, 745-746 (2006), and cases cited; Heck v. Commonwealth, 397 Mass. 336, 338-339 (1986). Accordingly, we direct the judge to decide the defendant's motion to dismiss within thirty days (and, if he allows the motion, to enter a final judgment of dismissal forthwith). We shall retain jurisdiction

thereafter to file in this court supplemental memoranda, if they choose, addressing any additional issues that may be raised by the judge's ruling and any memorandum of decision he issues. The matter shall thereafter be placed on a list for oral argument and decision in the ordinary course. If the judge denies the motion to dismiss, we shall treat the matter as a report of the correctness of that interlocutory ruling; if he allows it, we shall treat the matter as an appeal from the final judgment of dismissal. By the Court. 07/29/2011 #3 Additional 14 copies of brief filed by Richard Elia. Additional 14 copies of amicus brief filed by Vermont Family Law and 08/03/2011 #4 Estate Planning Attorneys. Received from Probate Court: Copy of "Defendant's Motion to Dismiss 08/10/2011 #5 Complaint and Counterclaim for Divorce" filed in Probate court on March 26, 2010 and Copy of "Judgment of Dismissal" entered in Probate Court on August 1, 2011. 10/25/2011 #6 LETTER from Atty. Loewy that appellee does not plan to file a supplemental memorandum. 11/14/2011 #7 NOTICE of January argument sent. 12/08/2011 #8 NOTICE of February argument sent. 01/17/2012 #9 NOTICE of March argument sent. 01/27/2012 #10 ANNOUNCEMENT: The Justices are soliciting amicus briefs. Whether a couple can validly marry in Massachusetts when one of the parties is simultaneously a party to an undissolved out-of-state civil union with another. The case is tentatively scheduled for argument in March... 02/08/2012 #11 NOTICE of April argument sent. 03/08/2012 #12 ORDERED for argument on April 5. Notice sent.

over the report in the meantime. The parties shall have fourteen days

< Top As of 09/08/2012 02:00

Gants, J., Duffly, J., Lenk, J.).

RESCRIPT ISSUED to trial court.

Court)

Oral argument held. (Ireland, C.J., Spina, J., Cordy, J., Botsford, J.,

RESCRIPT (Full Opinion): We answer the reported question in the affirmative: a Vermont civil union must be dissolved prior to either party entering into marriage with a third person in the Commonwealth. (By the

(2015-03-02 20:14:11)

© 2001 RSI

04/05/2012

08/24/2012

07/26/2012 #13