CHED

MAY 08 1991



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JERRY ANDERSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. CIV F-90-205 REC JFM

VS.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COUNTY OF KERN, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This matter came on regularly for hearing on defendants' motion to modify the preliminary injunction and plaintiffs' motion for interim attorneys' fees on October 24, 1991. Richard Herman and Paul Comiskey appeared for plaintiffs. Holly Gallagher, Deputy County Counsel, appeared for defendants. Sheriff Carl Sparks, a defendant, was also present.

Plaintiffs seek interim attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$472,890.00. It is undisputed that interim attorneys' fees may be awarded pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1988 to prevent extreme cash flow problems of plaintiffs' attorneys and to encourage the

246 1

AO 72 (Rev.8/82) f. Austin State Univ., 706 F.2d 608, 633 (5th Cir. 1983). The court finds that it is premature to categorize this litigation as "protracted." This action was filed on April 9, 1990. Final posttrial briefing was completed on July 24, 1991. Findings and Recommendations on the merits of this action are imminent.

Moreover, plaintiffs' counsel have not shown that they are experiencing "extreme" cash flow problems as a result of this litigation during the time period for which fees are sought, i.e., through March 8, 1991. Deposition of Paul Persons at 6-7; Deposition of Paul Comiskey at 14-17; Deposition of Dan Stormer (under seal); Deposition of Richard Herman. The court finds that an interim award of attorneys' fees is not required nor appropriate at this time.

Defendants request a modification of the existing preliminary injunction to permit them to implement a "pilot program" under the auspices of the Board of Corrections. The program would provide for the double bunking of existing cells in, and for the removal of triple bunks currently installed in the dayrooms of, the Lerdo Pretrial Facility. The existing preliminary injunction provides for the single-celling of all inmates in the Lerdo Pretrial Facility, except that a maximum of twelve prisoners may be housed in the dayrooms of each unit providing that two single cells adjoining the dayrooms are unoccupied so that those inmates housed in the dayrooms may have access to the toilets and washbasins in those cells. The Pretrial Facility consists of seven

AO 72 (Rev.8/82) "pods" each containing six housing units. Each housing unit consists, at present, of 16 single cells. Thus, at the present time, the preliminary injunction permits a maximum of 26 prisoners to be housed in each housing unit in the Lerdo Pretrial Facility: 14 in the single cells with two single cells unoccupied, plus 12 in the dayrooms. Under the proposed modification, a maximum of 32 inmates could be housed in each housing unit, with two inmates housed in each of the 16 single cells and no inmates housed in the dayrooms. Under the approved project, a total of seven of the existing housing units (or a total of 112 cells) would not be modified; that is, double bunks would not be installed in these cells. Moreover, the program requires that a minimum total of 136 cells be retained as single occupancy cells. An additional four deputies would be assigned to the Lerdo Pretrial Facility to maintain appropriate inmate/staffing ratios.

The court finds, after reviewing the proposed pilot project and in light of the Board of Corrections' approval of the project after a hearing at which plaintiffs' counsel were represented and were heard, that a modification of the preliminary injunction to permit defendants to begin the process of implementing the program is warranted.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Plaintiffs' motion for an interim award of attorneys' fees be denied.
- 2. Defendants' motion for a modification of the preliminary injunction be granted.

AO: 72 (Rev.8/82) 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3. Paragraph B (1) of the Modified Preliminary Injunction of March 24, 1991 shall be modified to read as follows:

The Kern County Jails will have a system-wide prisoner population capacity of 2,330. The rated capacity for each of the following jails is as follows and will not be exceeded:

3

5

K

17

1 !

18

10

20

21

.13

14

15

- a. Kern County Receiving Center: 282 inmates;
- b. Womens' Minimum Facility: 96 inmates;
- c. Mens' Minimum Facility: 704 inmates (this figure may be adjusted so long as the total population remains within the overall cap);
- d. Lerdo Pretrial Facility: The County of Kern may proceed in accordance with the pilot program approved by the Board of Corrections on July 18, 1991. Upon the addition of a second bunk to each of the cells in a housing unit, the bunks in the dayroom of that unit will be removed, and the inmates will be housed in the cells. A maximum of 32 inmates may be housed in a housing unit. A second bunk will not be installed in any of the cells of seven of the 42 housing units. Upon completion of the installation of the second bunks in each of the cells of those housing units not excluded from the pilot a ogram, and the removal of the bunks from the dayrooms, the rated capacity of the Lerdo Pretrial Facility will be 1,232 inmates, and the inmate population of that Facility will not exceed this limit.
- 4. In all other respects, the Modified Preliminary Injunction issued March 24, 1991 is unchanged.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the

United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within ten days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Greenhow v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 863 F.2d 633 (9th Cir. 1988).

DATED: November 5, 1991.

JFM:ca:cw

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

united States District Court for the Eastern District of California

November 8, 1991

* CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE * *

1:90-cv-00205

Anderson

v.

Kern, County of

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California.

That on November 8, 1991, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

> Paul Wayne Comiskey P O Box 1019 2308 J Street Sacramento, CA 95812-1019

SS/JFM

REC

Richard P Herman 229 Marine Ave P O Box 328 Balboa Island, CA 92662

Paul T Persons 1834 Arroyo Canyon Chico, CA 95928

Dan Lewis Stormer Litt and Stormer 3550 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90010

Michael Joseph Webb 1430 Truxtun Avenue Suite 460 Bakersfield, CA 93301-5264

H. A. Sala 1211 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 Kern County Counsel 1415 Truxtum Avenue Fifth Floor Administration and Courts Building Bakersfield, CA 93301

Holly Gallagher
Kern County Counsel
1415 Truxtun Avenue
Fifth Floor
Administration and Courts Building
Bakersfield, CA 93301

John H Hagar Jr P O Box 86935 Los Angeles, CA 90086-0935

DAG California State Attorney General 1515 K Street Suite 511 P O Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Jack L. Wagner, Clerk

BY: Deputy Clerk