1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 JERRY VALDIVIA, ALFRED YANCY, 10 and HOSSIE WELCH, on their own behalf and on behalf of the class 11 of all persons similarly situated, 12 NO. CIV. S-94-671 LKK/GGH Plaintiffs, 13 ORDER v. 14 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor of 15 the State of California, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 The Special Master filed his Tenth Report on April 21, 2011. (Doc. No. 1647). No objections were filed. The court has considered 20 the report and ADOPTS the Special Master's findings and 21 recommendations in full. 22 Further, the court HEREBY FINDS that defendants

Plaintiffs' counsel has access to the information reasonably necessary to monitor compliance; and

demonstrated substantial compliance

23

24

25

26

requirements:

with

the

following

Deputy Commissioners shall not have authority to increase the Return to Custody Assessment at the Probable Cause Hearing.

These requirements will therefore no longer be a primary focus of Plaintiffs' or the Special Master's monitoring unless they are inextricably linked with review of the hearing process, the remedial sanctions obligations of the Permanent Injunction, or arise in the course of investigating an individual parolee's situation. These items will remain in this status unless and until it comes to the parties' or the Special Master's attention that there has been a significant decline in compliance. To allow for such attention, defendants SHALL report the status of these requirements to all parties every six months, beginning on January 8, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 13, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT