Case	:07-cv-05540-AG-AN Document 111 Filed 05/17/10 Page 1 of 6 Page ID #:1046
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  MAY 1 7 2010
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALLEORNIA
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  DEPUT
4	0.0
5	ALFREDO B. PAYARES, ZINNIA   Case No. CV 07-05540 AG (ANx)
6	GONZALEZ, and GREGORY
7	WALKER,  PROPOSED ORDER OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
8	V.
9	CHASE BANK USA, N.A., and Judge: Hon. Andrew J. Guilford JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,
10	
11	Defendants.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

1 2

## [PROPOSED] ORDER OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class Members, and the Defendants Chase Bank USA, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (collectively, "Chase") in this case (together, the "Parties"), entered into a Settlement Agreement, providing for a proposed settlement (the "Settlement") of this Action. A copy of the Settlement Agreement and its exhibits was previously submitted to the Court in connection with Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval.

Plaintiffs have moved for, and Defendants have indicated that they do not oppose, entry of this Order, which, *inter alia*, (i) provides for notice to affected persons, (ii) establishes a procedure for filing of objections to the Settlement, and (iii) schedules a hearing for final approval of the Settlement. After due consideration,

## IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all capitalized terms used in this Order will have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise defined in this Order.
- 2. Based on the Court's review of the Settlement Agreement, the supporting briefs and declarations, argument of counsel, and the entire record, including Defendants' statement of non-opposition, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement is within the range of possible approval. The Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement Agreement is granted.
- 3. If, for any reason, the Settlement is not finally approved or does not become effective, this provisional approval and class certification Order shall be null and void, and shall not be used or referred to for any purpose in this Action or any other action or proceeding.

Case	2:07-cv-05540-AG-AN Document 111 Filed 05/17/10 Page 3 of 6 Page ID #:1048
1	4. Solely for the purpose of settlement in accordance with the Settlement
2	Agreement, and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of
3	Civil Procedure, this Court hereby provisionally approves the following settlement
4	class ("Settlement Class"):
5	All African-American and Hispanic borrowers who, since
6	August 23, 2005, obtained a mortgage loan originated
7	through Chase's wholesale channel.
8	5. For settlement purposes only, and after considering the relevant factors
9	in Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, the Court conditionally designates Class Representatives
10	Alfredo B. Payares, Zinnia Gonzalez, and Gregory Walker as representatives of the
11	Settlement Class, and Class Counsel are conditionally appointed as counsel for the
12	Settlement Class. The law firms and attorneys conditionally representing the
13	Settlement Class are:
14	Theodore J. Pintar COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
15	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101
16	Gary E. Klein
17	RODDY KLEIN & RYAN 727 Atlantic Ave. 2d Floor
18	Boston, MA 02111
19	Andrew S. Friedman BONNET FAIRBOURN FRIEDMAN & BALINT P.C.
20	2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1000 Phoenix, AZ 85012
21	Mark A. Chavez
22	CHAVEZ & GERTLER LLP 42 Miller Avenue
23	Mill Valley, CA 94941
24	Donna Siegel Moffa BARROWAY TOPAZ KESSLER MELTZER & CHECK, LLP
25	280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087
26	Jeffrey L. Taren
27	KINOY TAREN & GERAGHTY P.C.
28	224 S. Michigan Avenue, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60604

- 6. Chase is hereby authorized to retain Tilghman & Co., P.C., 3415 Independence Drive, Suite 102, Birmingham, Alabama 35209, as Settlement Administrator to administer the notice procedures and other aspects of this proposed Settlement, as more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. A hearing (the Fairness Hearing) shall be held before this Court on September 13, 2010, at 10:00 a.m., to hear objections and determine: (i) whether the proposed Settlement and compromise of this Action as set forth in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Class Members and should be approved by the Court; (ii) whether the Final Judgment should be entered approving the Settlement; (iii) whether to approve the request of Class Counsel for payment of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses; and (iv) whether to approve the request of Class Representatives for service payments. Notice of such hearing shall be provided to Class Members in the fashion described in section 3.3 of the Settlement Agreement. Such notice shall be substantially in the form of Exhibits B, C, and D hereto, as appropriate, and shall be mailed on or before June 16, 2010.
- 8. Any interested person may appear at the Fairness Hearing to show cause why the proposed Settlement should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in good faith and/or why the request of Class Counsel for approval of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation-related expenses should or should not be approved as fair and reasonable and/or why the request of Class Representatives for approval of service payments should or should not be approved as fair and reasonable; provided, however, that no person shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed Settlement, the fees, costs, and reimbursements requested by Class Counsel, or the service payments requested by Class Representatives, unless that person (i) has sent or delivered written objections and copies of any supporting papers and briefs so that they are received no later than July 30, 2010, to counsel below:

lawsuit, Payares v. Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A., Case No. 07-05540; (b) state the Class Member's full name and address; (c) contain the Class Member's signature; and (d) unequivocally state the Class Member's intent to be excluded from the Settlement Class, to be excluded from the Settlement, not to participate in the

25

26

2.7

28

Settlement, and/or to waive all right to the benefits of the Settlement. Any Class Member who does not submit a timely Opt-Out, and otherwise comply with all requirements for requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class as set forth above and in the Class Notice, shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement, including the Release, as embodied in sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 of the Settlement Agreement, and any Final Judgment entered in the Action. Further, any Class Member who is a successful Opt-Out will be deemed to have waived any rights or benefits under the Settlement, and will not have standing to object to the Settlement or to seek to

- All memoranda, affidavits, declarations, and other evidence in support of the request for approval of the Settlement, Class Counsel's request for approval of attorneys' fees, costs, and reimbursement of expenses, and Class Representatives' request for approval of service payments shall be filed on or
- The Court expressly reserves its right to adjourn the Fairness Hearing from time to time without further notice other than to counsel of record and to approve the proposed Settlement and request for approval of attorneys' fees and expenses and request for approval of Class Representative service payments at or after the originally scheduled Fairness Hearing.

HONORABLE ANDREW J. GUILFOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26

23

24

25

27 28

sf-2726543