Case 4:91-cv-00415-GH Document 157 Filed 02/26/04 Page 1 of 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FEB 2 6 2004
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
WESTERN DIVISION JAMES W. McCORMACK, CI

ANGELA R., ET AL.

PLAINTIFFS

vs.

CASE NO. 4:91CV415GH

THE STATE OF ARKANSAS, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

On July 8, 1993, plaintiffs filed this class action lawsuit challenging the State of Arkansas' child welfare system. The parties, after extensive negotiations, submitted for the Court's approval a settlement agreement, which the Court approved on October 14, 1994. The settlement agreement called for significant changes in the policies and practices relating to the operation of the state's child welfare system as administered by the Division of Children and Family Services (DCFS). The original settlement agreement was to terminate by October 13, 1999, unless enforcement proceedings were filed with the Court before that date.

On August 31, 1999, the parties filed a joint motion of dismissal and approval of amended settlement agreement in which they requested a modification of the Court's 1994 Order of Dismissal, requesting that the terms of the Agreement be extended until October 13, 2001. The Court approved the motion by Order entered September 1, 1999.

On October 12, 2001, the parties filed a motion for second amended order of dismissal and enforcement of amended settlement agreement. The parties requested that the terms of the Settlement Agreement be extended until December 31, 2001, and that the Court's jurisdiction be terminated on that date unless a subsequent enforcement action is pending on or before December 31, 2001.

The Court approved the motion and a Second Amended Order of Dismissal and Enforcement of Amended Settlement Agreement was entered on October 25, 2001. By the terms of the Order, jurisdiction of the Court over enforcement of the settlement agreement terminated on December 31, 2001.

On February 2, 2004, a motion to reinstate the settlement agreement was filed by Terry Foreman. She contends that parents, who are clients of the State, possess documentation that would have prevented settlement of this case. Foreman contends that parents have documentation of abuse and other wrongful conduct by the State with regard to the provision of services of children in its care.

The Court directed the state and counsel for the plaintiffs to file a response to the motion. Only the state has filed a timely response.

To the extent movant seeks enforcement of the settlement agreement, the Court is without jurisdiction. *Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co.*, 511 U.S. 375 (1994) (district court lacks inherent authority to enforce settlement agreement where no retention of enforcement jurisdiction). Here, the Court's jurisdiction over enforcement of the settlement agreement terminated on December 31, 2001.

To the extent movant seeks to set aside the settlement under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b), the motion is untimely. Motions to set aside foe mistake or fraud or newly discovered evidence must be brought within one year after the order. The other provision of Rule 60(b) do not apply in this instance.

Furthermore, as the State notes, movant does not have standing to challenge the settlement. The Settlement Agreement applies to children who are or will be in the custody of the State by reason of a complaint of abuse or neglect or Family in Need of Services petition. Movant is not the parent or guardian of any child who is within the class.

In sum, the Court is without jurisdiction to provide the relief requested.

Accordingly, the motion to reinstate the Angela R federal agreement is denied; the motion for default judgment on the defendants is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 36 day of February, 2004.

THIS DOCUMENT ENTERED ON DOCKET SHEET IN COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 58 AND/OR 79(a) FRCP

-2-

loj

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of Arkansas U.S. Court House 600 West Capitol, Suite 402 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201-3325

February 27, 2004

* * MAILING CERTIFICATE OF CLERK * *

Re: 4:91-cv-00415.

True and correct copies of the attached were mailed by the clerk to the following: press, file

Angela S. Jegley, Esq. U. S. Attorney's Office Eastern District of Arkansas 425 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 500 Post Office Box 1229 Little Rock, AR 72203-1229

Debby Thetford Nye, Esq. Mitchell, Williams, Selig, Gates & Woodyard, P.L.L.C. 425 West Capitol Avenue Suite 1800 Little Rock, AR 72201-3525

Elizabeth Murphy McGee, Esq. Arkansas Department of Human Services Office of Chief Counsel 700 Main Street Post Office Box 1437 Little Rock, AR 72203-1437

Breck G. Hopkins, Esq. Arkansas Department of Human Services Office of Chief Counsel 700 Main Street Post Office Box 1437 Little Rock, AR 72203-1437

Bruce Paul Hurlbut, Esq. Arkansas State Highway & Transportation Department Legal Division 10324 Interstate 30 Post Office Box 2261 Little Rock, AR 72203-2261

Mary B. Stallcup, Esq.

Case 4:91-cv-00415-GH Document 157 Filed 02/26/04 Page 4 of 4

University of Central Arkansas 200 Donaghey Administration Building Conway, AR 72035

Mona J. Teague, Esq. Legal Aid of Arkansas Georgetown Square 4083 North Shiloh Drive Suite 3 Fayetteville, AR 72703-5202

Richard M. Pearl, Esq. Law Offices of Richard M. Pearl 1816 Fifth Street Berkeley, CA 94710

Terry Foreman 5117 North 50th Fort Smith, AR 72904

		James	₩.	McCormack,	Clerk
	2/27/04		L	Jones	
Date: _		BY:			