This matter is before the Court on the joint motions for preliminary approval of the settlement, leave to file a Sec ond Amended Complaint and for conditional certification of the settlement classes (the "Motions") of plaintiffs Cari Shields and Amber Boggs (collectively, "Named Plaintiffs") and defendants Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc. and Disney Online (collectively, "Defendants"). The Motions seek, among other things, the Court's preliminary approval of the Class Action Settlement Agreement And Release (the "Settlement Agreement") entered into between Named Plaintiffs, on the one hand, and Defendants and Walt Disney Parks and Resorts Online (collectively, "Disney"), on the other hand.

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Having considered the Motions as presented in the supporting briefs and papers and at oral argument, the absence of opposition to the Motions, and the Court having found that the proposed resolution of this matter set forth in the Settlement Agreement, taken as a whole, is fundamentally fair, adequate, and reasonable to all concerned, and for other good cause shown, the Court hereby Orders as follows:

- 1. Conditioned on the Court's final a pproval of the proposed settlem ent, the following classes shall be certified as settlement classes under Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:
- a. The Website Class: All individuals with visual im pairments who (a) have a disability, as that term is defined in 42 U.S.C. §12102, and (b) have been or will be unable to gain equal access to or enjoyment of one or m ore of the websites owned or operated by Disn ey such as www.disney.go.com , www.disneyland.com, www.disneyworld.com, and www.disneycruise.com as a result of their visual disability.
- b. The Effective Co mmunication Class: All individuals with visual impairments who (a) have a disability, as that term is defined in 42 U.S.C. §12102, and (b) have been or will be denied equal access to or enjoyment of the Disney Parks because of (i) the absence of maps in an alternative format, or (ii) the absence of menus in an alternative format, or (iii) the absence of schedules of events at the Disney Parks in an alternative format, or (iv) i nadequate or inconsistent operation of the audio description service on the Handheld Device, or (v) Disney's refusal to provide a free or discounted pass to the ir sighted companions, or (vi) the failure to be read, in full, the menus, maps or schedules of events at the Disney Parks.
- c. <u>The Service Animal Class</u>: All individuals with visual impairments who (a) have a disability, as that term is defined in 42 U.S.C. §12102, and (b) have been o r will be denied equal access to or enjoym ent of the Disney

D

- Parks because of (i) the fee charged for the use of a kennel for their service animal, or (ii) the absence of reasonably-designat ed service animal relief areas, or (iii) the absence of a location to kennel their service animal at attractions that do not allow service animals, or (iv) the lack of e qual interaction with Disney em ployees who portray Disney charact ers because the individuals with visual impairments are accompanied by service animals.
- d. The Infrastructure Class: All individuals with visual impairments who (a) have a disability, as that term is defined in 42 U.S.C. §12102, and (b) have been or will be denied equal access to or enjoyment of the Disney Parks because of (i) physical barriers to access, or (ii) the lack of reasonable modifications to Disney's policies and practices to permit such equal access or enjoyment. Among other things, the mem bers of this class have been or will be denied equal access to or enjoyment of the parade viewing areas at the Disneyland Resort and the Walt Disney World Resort, and to public lockers or parking lots at the Disneyland Resort.
- 2. The Proposed Second Amended Comp laint, which is att ached as Exhibit D to the Settlement Agreement, is hereby deemed filed as of the date of this Order, and the date for Disney's response to that complaint shall be 20 days after the Court's ruling at the final approval h earing set in Paragraph 5 below. If the Court does not issue an order of final a pproval of the Settlement Agreement, the Second Amended Complaint shall have no force and effect, and the lawsuit will continue pursuant to the First Amended Complaint.
- 3. Conditioned on the Court's final a pproval of the proposed settlem ent, Andy Dogali of Fori zs & Dogali, PA, and Eugene Feldman, of Eugene Feldman, Attorney at Law, APC, are appointed as Class Counsel of the conditionally-certified classes under Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Conditioned on the Court's final a pproval of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the Court hereby grant s preliminary approval to the proposed

1	settlement and compromise contained in the Settlement Agreement as it finds that,
2	taken as a whole, the proposed settlement is fundam entally fair, adequate, and
3	reasonable to all concerned.
4	5. A final hearing on the approval of the Settlement Agreement will be
5	held by this Court atm. on, 2012.
6	6. No later than fourteen days afte r entry of this Order, Notice of the
7	Final Approval and Fairness Hearing (in the form appended to this Order as Exhibit
8	A), shall be provided in the following manner:
9	a. Class Counsel shall email or mail a copy of the N otice to each
10	individual known to Class Counsel to have a visual disability and to have expressed
11	any concerns similar to those which Named Plaintiffs have alleged in the Action.
12	b. Defendants will make reasonable efforts, and will bear the costs.
13	to publish the Notice in the next editi on of any regularly-circulating, written
14	publication of the National Fe deration of the Blind, the American Council of t he
15	Blind, The American Foundation for the Blind and the Los Angeles Radio Reader
16	Service.
17	7. All other proceedings in this matter are hereby stayed pending the
18	Court's ruling on final approval of the Settlement Agreement.
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	DATED:
21	HONORABLE DOLLY M. GEE
22	U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	[Signatures of submitting counsel on next page]
	1

1	Respectfully submitted by,
2	Respectfully submitted by,
3	
4	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
5	/s/ David H. Raizman
6	David H. Raizman
7	Attorneys for Defendants Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.,
8	Disney Online and Walt Disney Parks and
9	Resorts Online
10	FORIZS & DOGALI, P.A.
11	EUGENE FELDMAN ATTORNEY AT LAW, APC
12	ECCENTERED IN INVITAGE I THE ELIVI, THE
13	/s/ Andy Dogali Andy Dogali
14	Eugene Feldman
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Cari Shields and
16	Amber Boggs and Class Plaintiffs
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	